

ICPSR 35536

**General Social Survey, 1993, 1998,
2000, 2002 with Cultural,
Information Security, and Freedom
Modules [United States]**

James A. Davis

*National Opinion Research Center. National
Data Program for the Social Sciences*

Tom W. Smith

*National Opinion Research Center. National
Data Program for the Social Sciences*

Peter V. Marsden

*National Opinion Research Center. National
Data Program for the Social Sciences*

Codebook for General Social Survey 1993 Data
with Cultural Module

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106
www.icpsr.umich.edu

Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:
<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/35536/terms>

Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered as part of this study may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

NOTICE

WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

ICPSR CODEBOOK NOTES FOR #35536

General Social Survey, 1993, 1998, 2000, 2002 with Cultural, Information Security, and Freedom Modules [United States]

- 1) This data collection was previously distributed by the Cultural Policy and the Arts National Data Archive (CPANDA). The CPANDA Identification Number (study number) for the entire data collection is c00006. The CPANDA Identification number for the GSS 1993 is a00006, for the GSS 1998 is a00031, for the GSS 2000 is a00032, and for the GSS 2002 is a00079.
- 2) NADAC made the following changes to the data.
 - a. The GSS 2000 data distributed by CPANDA had 4,222 variables. Of these, 3,117 variables were in a variable group named "Not asked in 2000." NADAC removed these variables from the GSS 2000 dataset being distributed by NADAC (Dataset 3) since all cases in the variables in this group were coded using some form of missing data code.
 - b. Recoded the missing values of "D" in the CPANDA Website version of this collection to code -2 and labeled it as "Blank."
 - c. Inserted an explicit decimal to the values of the weight variables (rather than an implied decimal).
 - d. Removed code labels from variables with decimated values (e.g., TVRELIG, SEI, PASEI, MASEI, SPSEI) to produce the Stata versions of the data. These code labels are still present in the SPSS, SAS, and R versions.
 - e. For 1993 (Dataset 1), removed the declaration of code 8 as a missing value for the variable, CHILDS; removed code 0 as a missing value for the variable, REG16; and removed code -.1 as a missing value for the variable TVRELIG and code 8 as a missing value for variable, RACCHURH in order to conform to the SPSS specifications for declaring missing values.
 - f. For 1998 (Dataset 2), removed the declaration of code -.1 as a missing value for the variable TVRELIG in order to conform to the SPSS specifications for declaring missing values.
 - g. For 2000 (Dataset 3), recoded blanks (missing) in the variable, WEEKSWRK to code 0 to be consistent with the display of this variable on the CPANDA Website.
- 3) Users are encouraged to read more about the General Social Survey on the NORC Website at <http://www3.norc.org/GSS+Website>.

ICPSR 35536

General Social Survey, 1993, 1998, 2000, 2002 with Cultural, Information Security, and Freedom Modules [United States]

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, median, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

General Social Survey 1993 Data with Cultural Module

CASEID: Sequential Record ID

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

Location: 1-4 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

YEAR: GSS YEA FOR THIS RESPONDENT

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1993	-	1606	100.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 1993.00
- Median: 1993.00
- Mode: 1993.00
- Minimum: 1993.00
- Maximum: 1993.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 5-8 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

ID: RESPONDNT ID NUMBER

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

Location: 9-12 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

REGION: REGION OF INTERVIEW

Notes: Region of interview. See Q. 26 (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) for a listing of states within regions, or refer to "Statistical Abstract" (any edition), published by U.S. Bureau of the Census, for this information.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	NEW ENGLAND	60	3.7 %
2	MIDDLE ATLANTIC	230	14.3 %
3	E. NOR. CENTRAL	299	18.6 %
4	W. NOR. CENTRAL	118	7.3 %
5	SOUTH ATLANTIC	278	17.3 %
6	E. SOU. CENTRAL	129	8.0 %
7	W. SOU. CENTRAL	152	9.5 %
8	MOUNTAIN	86	5.4 %
9	PACIFIC	254	15.8 %
0	NOT ASSIGNED	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 103-103 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0

XNORCSIZ: EXPANDED N.O.R.C. SIZE CODE

Notes: a. A suburb is defined as any incorporated area or unincorporated area of 1,000+ (or listed as such in the U.S. Census PC (1)-A books) within the boundaries of an SMSA but not within the limits of a central city of the SMSA. Some SMSAs have more than one central city, e.g. Minneapolis-St-Paul In these cases, both cities are coded as central cities. b. If such an instance were to arise, a city of 50,000 or over which is not part of an SMSA would be coded '7'. c. Unincorporated areas of over 2,499 are treated as incorporated areas of the same size. Unincorporated areas under 1,000 are not listed by the Census and are treated here as part of the next larger civil division, usually the township. The source of the data is the 1970 U.S. Census population figures published in the PC (1)-A series. Tables 6 and 10. Practically, the codes '6' and '10' are localities not listed in Table 6 (Population of Incorporated Places and Unincorporated Places over 1,000). For the 1980 frame cases analogous tables from the 1980 Census were used. Remarks: See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Report No. 4.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	CITY GT 250000	265	16.5 %
2	CITY,50-250000	190	11.8 %
3	SUBURB, LRG CITY	366	22.8 %
4	SUBURB, MED CITY	194	12.1 %
5	UNINC,LRG CITY	72	4.5 %
6	UNINC,MED CITY	106	6.6 %
7	CITY,10-49999	131	8.2 %
8	TOWN GT 2500	101	6.3 %
9	SMALLER AREAS	117	7.3 %
10	OPEN COUNTRY	64	4.0 %
0	NOT ASSIGNED	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 10.00

Location: 104-105 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0

SRCBELT: SRC BELT CODE

Notes: The SRC belt code is described in Appendix D: Recodes (in GSS Cumulative Codebook). See Appendix N (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) for changes across surveys. See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Report No. 4.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	12 LRGST SMSA'S	110	6.8 %
2	SMSA'S 13-100	237	14.8 %
3	SUBURB, 12 LRGST	220	13.7 %
4	SUBURB, 13-100	247	15.4 %
5	OTHER URBAN	609	37.9 %
6	OTHER RURAL	183	11.4 %
0	NOT ASSIGNED	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 106-106 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0

SIZE: SIZE OF PLACE IN 1000S

Notes: Size of place in thousands -- A 4-digit number which provides actual size of place of interview. Remember when using this code to add 3 zeros. Remarks: This code is the population to the nearest 1,000 of the smallest civil division listed by the U.S. Census (city, town, other incorporated area over 1,000 in population, township, division, etc.) which encompasses the segment. If a segment falls into more than one locality, the following rules apply in determining the locality for which the rounded population figure is coded. If the predominance of the listings for any segment are in one of the localities, the rounded population of that locality is coded. If the listings are distributed equally over localities in the segment, and the localities are all cities, towns, or villages, the rounded population of the larger city or town is coded. The same is true if the localities are all rural townships or divisions. If the listings are distributed equally over localities in the segment and the localities include a town or a village and a rural township or division, the rounded population of the town or village is coded. The source of the data is the 1970 U.S. Census population figures published in the PC(1)-A series, Tables 6 and 10. For cases from the 1980 and 1990 frames analogous tables from the 1980 and 1990 Censuses were used. See Appendix N (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) for changes across surveys.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	62	3.9 %
1	-	84	5.2 %
2	-	93	5.8 %
3	-	64	4.0 %
4	-	65	4.0 %
5	-	30	1.9 %
6	-	49	3.1 %
7	-	29	1.8 %
8	-	4	0.2 %
9	-	35	2.2 %
10	-	31	1.9 %
11	-	36	2.2 %
12	-	9	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
13	-	8	0.5 %
14	-	40	2.5 %
15	-	20	1.2 %
16	-	10	0.6 %
17	-	8	0.5 %
18	-	11	0.7 %
19	-	24	1.5 %
20	-	15	0.9 %
21	-	14	0.9 %
23	-	13	0.8 %
24	-	14	0.9 %
25	-	5	0.3 %
26	-	19	1.2 %
27	-	15	0.9 %
28	-	6	0.4 %
29	-	11	0.7 %
31	-	9	0.6 %
33	-	9	0.6 %
34	-	26	1.6 %
35	-	22	1.4 %
37	-	25	1.6 %
38	-	19	1.2 %
39	-	10	0.6 %
40	-	2	0.1 %
41	-	3	0.2 %
42	-	11	0.7 %
43	-	10	0.6 %
44	-	13	0.8 %
45	-	12	0.7 %
49	-	14	0.9 %
50	-	7	0.4 %
51	-	6	0.4 %
52	-	15	0.9 %
53	-	6	0.4 %
54	-	3	0.2 %
55	-	12	0.7 %
57	-	6	0.4 %
59	-	6	0.4 %
61	-	7	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
62	-	7	0.4 %
63	-	7	0.4 %
66	-	9	0.6 %
67	-	10	0.6 %
68	-	13	0.8 %
69	-	2	0.1 %
70	-	5	0.3 %
71	-	5	0.3 %
72	-	4	0.2 %
74	-	8	0.5 %
75	-	16	1.0 %
76	-	4	0.2 %
78	-	6	0.4 %
79	-	4	0.2 %
82	-	6	0.4 %
83	-	15	0.9 %
84	-	8	0.5 %
85	-	5	0.3 %
86	-	5	0.3 %
90	-	1	0.1 %
99	-	10	0.6 %
100	-	4	0.2 %
101	-	2	0.1 %
102	-	6	0.4 %
104	-	9	0.6 %
105	-	17	1.1 %
109	-	1	0.1 %
110	-	1	0.1 %
126	-	6	0.4 %
134	-	6	0.4 %
139	-	2	0.1 %
148	-	4	0.2 %
149	-	11	0.7 %
165	-	8	0.5 %
171	-	4	0.2 %
175	-	1	0.1 %
181	-	6	0.4 %
196	-	4	0.2 %
203	-	14	0.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
232	-	3	0.2 %
250	-	5	0.3 %
261	-	4	0.2 %
272	-	3	0.2 %
314	-	1	0.1 %
328	-	3	0.2 %
329	-	3	0.2 %
339	-	4	0.2 %
364	-	6	0.4 %
-1	NOT ASSIGNED	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Please note that only the first 100 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 357.86
- Median: 27.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7323.00
- Standard Deviation: 1225.27

Location: 107-110 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

WRKSTAT: LABOR FRCE STATUS

Question: Last week were you working full time, part time, going to school, keeping house, or what?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	WORKING FULLTIME	809	50.4 %
2	WORKING PARTTIME	167	10.4 %
3	TEMP NOT WORKING	33	2.1 %
4	UNEMPL, LAID OFF	52	3.2 %
5	RETIRED	243	15.1 %
6	SCHOOL	47	2.9 %
7	KEEPING HOUSE	216	13.4 %
8	OTHER	39	2.4 %
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 13-13 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

HRS1: NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED LAST WEEK

Question: If working full or part time: How many hours did you work last week at all jobs?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	3	0.2 %
3	-	1	0.1 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	3	0.2 %
6	-	2	0.1 %
7	-	3	0.2 %
8	-	6	0.4 %
9	-	1	0.1 %
10	-	7	0.4 %
12	-	5	0.3 %
13	-	2	0.1 %
14	-	3	0.2 %
15	-	11	0.7 %
16	-	12	0.7 %
17	-	1	0.1 %
18	-	4	0.2 %
20	-	35	2.2 %
21	-	7	0.4 %
22	-	3	0.2 %
23	-	3	0.2 %
24	-	14	0.9 %
25	-	15	0.9 %
26	-	2	0.1 %
28	-	4	0.2 %
29	-	2	0.1 %
30	-	25	1.6 %
32	-	14	0.9 %
33	-	2	0.1 %
34	-	3	0.2 %
35	-	29	1.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
36	-	11	0.7 %
37	-	9	0.6 %
38	-	16	1.0 %
39	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	296	18.4 %
41	-	4	0.2 %
42	-	16	1.0 %
43	-	6	0.4 %
44	-	18	1.1 %
45	-	48	3.0 %
46	-	11	0.7 %
47	-	3	0.2 %
48	-	21	1.3 %
49	-	1	0.1 %
50	-	89	5.5 %
51	-	2	0.1 %
52	-	10	0.6 %
53	-	2	0.1 %
54	-	3	0.2 %
55	-	40	2.5 %
56	-	9	0.6 %
57	-	2	0.1 %
58	-	4	0.2 %
59	-	1	0.1 %
60	-	55	3.4 %
61	-	1	0.1 %
62	-	1	0.1 %
65	-	18	1.1 %
66	-	1	0.1 %
67	-	1	0.1 %
68	-	1	0.1 %
70	-	17	1.1 %
72	-	1	0.1 %
75	-	6	0.4 %
80	-	16	1.0 %
89	-	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	630	39.2 %
98	DK	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
99	NA	6	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 968 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 41.82
- Median: 40.00
- Mode: 40.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 89.00
- Standard Deviation: 14.44

Location: 14-15 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

HRS2: NUMBER OF HOURS USUALLY WORK A WEEK

Question: If with a job, but not at work: How many hours a week do you usually work at all jobs?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	-	1	0.1 %
20	-	1	0.1 %
24	-	2	0.1 %
25	-	1	0.1 %
30	-	3	0.2 %
35	-	3	0.2 %
36	-	1	0.1 %
40	-	10	0.6 %
42	-	1	0.1 %
44	-	1	0.1 %
45	-	3	0.2 %
50	-	2	0.1 %
60	-	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	1573	97.9 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 31 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 37.90
- Median: 40.00
- Mode: 40.00

- Minimum: 10.00
- Maximum: 60.00
- Standard Deviation: 10.62

Location: 16-17 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

EVWORK: EVER WORK AS LONG AS ONE YEAR

Question: If retired, in school, keeping house, or others: Did you ever work for as long as one year?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	464	28.9 %
2	NO	78	4.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1061	66.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 542 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 18-18 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

WRKSLF: R SELF-EMP OR WORKS FOR SOMEBODY

Question: (Are/Were) you self employed or (do/did) you work for someone else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SELF-EMPLOYED	187	11.6 %
2	SOMEONE ELSE	1331	82.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	79	4.9 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	9	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,518 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 19-19 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

OCC80: RS CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (1980)

Question: What kind of work do you (did you normally) do? That is, what (is/as) your job called?

Notes: Remarks: Coded using the U.S. Bureau of the Census 3 digit occupation and industries codes for 1980 and 2 digit 1989 GSS/NORC prestige score. See Q.2 (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook) for question text and Appendices F-H(in the GSS Cumulative Codebook) for full details and distributions. The distributions above are for the first digit of each three codes, except that missing value codes are collapsed with blanks. Commute is a two-digit variable.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	-	5	0.3 %
6	-	1	0.1 %
7	-	6	0.4 %
8	-	1	0.1 %
9	-	1	0.1 %
13	-	1	0.1 %
14	-	9	0.6 %
15	-	4	0.2 %
16	-	10	0.6 %
17	-	1	0.1 %
18	-	2	0.1 %
19	-	78	4.9 %
23	-	18	1.1 %
24	-	1	0.1 %
25	-	14	0.9 %
27	-	5	0.3 %
28	-	2	0.1 %
29	-	5	0.3 %
33	-	7	0.4 %
36	-	2	0.1 %
43	-	3	0.2 %
44	-	3	0.2 %
48	-	2	0.1 %
53	-	3	0.2 %
56	-	1	0.1 %
57	-	3	0.2 %
59	-	4	0.2 %
64	-	7	0.4 %
65	-	1	0.1 %
75	-	2	0.1 %
76	-	1	0.1 %
84	-	7	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
85	-	2	0.1 %
86	-	1	0.1 %
89	-	2	0.1 %
95	-	23	1.4 %
96	-	1	0.1 %
97	-	2	0.1 %
103	-	2	0.1 %
104	-	2	0.1 %
105	-	5	0.3 %
129	-	1	0.1 %
134	-	1	0.1 %
135	-	1	0.1 %
137	-	1	0.1 %
143	-	2	0.1 %
148	-	1	0.1 %
154	-	1	0.1 %
155	-	4	0.2 %
156	-	30	1.9 %
157	-	12	0.7 %
158	-	4	0.2 %
159	-	9	0.6 %
163	-	5	0.3 %
164	-	3	0.2 %
165	-	1	0.1 %
167	-	3	0.2 %
174	-	11	0.7 %
175	-	4	0.2 %
176	-	3	0.2 %
178	-	16	1.0 %
183	-	5	0.3 %
185	-	6	0.4 %
186	-	2	0.1 %
187	-	2	0.1 %
188	-	6	0.4 %
189	-	3	0.2 %
193	-	1	0.1 %
194	-	2	0.1 %
195	-	2	0.1 %
197	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
198	-	1	0.1 %
203	-	6	0.4 %
206	-	2	0.1 %
207	-	8	0.5 %
208	-	5	0.3 %
213	-	6	0.4 %
216	-	1	0.1 %
217	-	3	0.2 %
223	-	1	0.1 %
224	-	3	0.2 %
225	-	1	0.1 %
226	-	2	0.1 %
227	-	1	0.1 %
228	-	1	0.1 %
229	-	4	0.2 %
234	-	2	0.1 %
235	-	2	0.1 %
243	-	50	3.1 %
253	-	13	0.8 %
254	-	11	0.7 %
255	-	4	0.2 %
256	-	3	0.2 %
258	-	2	0.1 %
259	-	20	1.2 %
263	-	4	0.2 %
264	-	4	0.2 %
266	-	1	0.1 %
268	-	2	0.1 %
269	-	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	78	4.9 %
998	DK	0	0.0 %
999	NA	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Please note that only the first 100 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,526 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 371.54

- Minimum: 5.00
- Maximum: 889.00
- Standard Deviation: 242.65

Location: 20-22 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 998 , 999

PRESTG80: RS OCCUPATIONAL PRESTIGE SCORE (1980)

Notes: Remarks: Coded using the U.S. Bureau of the Census 3 digit occupation and industries codes for 1980 and 2 digit 1989 GSS/NORC prestige score. See Q.2 (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook) for question text and Appendices F-H(in the GSS Cumulative Codebook) for full details and distributions. The distributions above are for the first digit of each three codes, except that missing value codes are collapsed with blanks. Commute is a two-digit variable.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
17	-	2	0.1 %
19	-	2	0.1 %
20	-	10	0.6 %
21	-	3	0.2 %
22	-	32	2.0 %
23	-	27	1.7 %
24	-	31	1.9 %
25	-	8	0.5 %
26	-	2	0.1 %
27	-	23	1.4 %
28	-	55	3.4 %
29	-	53	3.3 %
30	-	60	3.7 %
31	-	33	2.1 %
32	-	30	1.9 %
33	-	17	1.1 %
34	-	39	2.4 %
35	-	42	2.6 %
36	-	83	5.2 %
37	-	11	0.7 %
38	-	18	1.1 %
39	-	49	3.1 %
40	-	61	3.8 %
41	-	21	1.3 %
42	-	67	4.2 %
43	-	26	1.6 %
44	-	55	3.4 %
45	-	33	2.1 %
46	-	72	4.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
47	-	58	3.6 %
48	-	21	1.3 %
49	-	45	2.8 %
50	-	25	1.6 %
51	-	117	7.3 %
52	-	20	1.2 %
53	-	14	0.9 %
54	-	11	0.7 %
55	-	5	0.3 %
56	-	2	0.1 %
57	-	12	0.7 %
58	-	4	0.2 %
59	-	7	0.4 %
60	-	22	1.4 %
61	-	10	0.6 %
62	-	7	0.4 %
63	-	6	0.4 %
64	-	42	2.6 %
65	-	23	1.4 %
66	-	35	2.2 %
68	-	7	0.4 %
69	-	13	0.8 %
70	-	2	0.1 %
71	-	4	0.2 %
72	-	5	0.3 %
73	-	6	0.4 %
74	-	15	0.9 %
75	-	16	1.0 %
86	-	7	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
0	DK,NA,NAP	80	5.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,526 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 43.20
- Median: 42.00
- Mode: 51.00
- Minimum: 17.00
- Maximum: 86.00
- Standard Deviation: 13.22

Location: 23-24 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0

INDUS80: RS INDUSTRY CODE (1980)

Question: What (do/did) you actually do in that job? Tell me, what (are/were) some of your main duties? What kind of place (do/did) you work for?

Notes: Remarks: Coded using the U.S. Bureau of the Census 3 digit occupation and industries codes for 1980 and 2 digit 1989 GSS/NORC prestige score. See Q.2 (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook) for question text and Appendices F-H(in the GSS Cumulative Codebook) for full details and distributions. The distributions above are for the first digit of each three codes, except that missing value codes are collapsed with blanks. Commute is a two-digit variable.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	-	16	1.0 %
11	-	10	0.6 %
20	-	2	0.1 %
21	-	7	0.4 %
30	-	1	0.1 %
31	-	1	0.1 %
41	-	1	0.1 %
42	-	4	0.2 %
60	-	82	5.1 %
100	-	9	0.6 %
101	-	3	0.2 %
102	-	3	0.2 %
110	-	1	0.1 %
111	-	2	0.1 %
112	-	2	0.1 %
120	-	1	0.1 %
121	-	1	0.1 %
122	-	2	0.1 %
130	-	1	0.1 %
132	-	3	0.2 %
141	-	1	0.1 %
142	-	2	0.1 %
150	-	4	0.2 %
151	-	27	1.7 %
152	-	5	0.3 %
160	-	1	0.1 %
161	-	2	0.1 %
162	-	1	0.1 %
171	-	5	0.3 %
172	-	23	1.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
180	-	2	0.1 %
181	-	4	0.2 %
182	-	1	0.1 %
192	-	10	0.6 %
200	-	3	0.2 %
201	-	1	0.1 %
210	-	2	0.1 %
211	-	2	0.1 %
212	-	9	0.6 %
221	-	2	0.1 %
222	-	1	0.1 %
231	-	7	0.4 %
242	-	8	0.5 %
250	-	3	0.2 %
251	-	1	0.1 %
262	-	2	0.1 %
271	-	2	0.1 %
272	-	4	0.2 %
281	-	2	0.1 %
282	-	5	0.3 %
292	-	6	0.4 %
300	-	6	0.4 %
301	-	1	0.1 %
312	-	2	0.1 %
320	-	2	0.1 %
321	-	1	0.1 %
322	-	7	0.4 %
331	-	8	0.5 %
332	-	1	0.1 %
341	-	5	0.3 %
342	-	12	0.7 %
350	-	2	0.1 %
351	-	26	1.6 %
352	-	7	0.4 %
360	-	4	0.2 %
362	-	2	0.1 %
370	-	1	0.1 %
372	-	5	0.3 %
381	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
390	-	3	0.2 %
391	-	6	0.4 %
392	-	5	0.3 %
400	-	7	0.4 %
401	-	10	0.6 %
410	-	28	1.7 %
411	-	4	0.2 %
412	-	9	0.6 %
420	-	1	0.1 %
421	-	7	0.4 %
432	-	5	0.3 %
440	-	2	0.1 %
441	-	24	1.5 %
442	-	3	0.2 %
460	-	5	0.3 %
461	-	1	0.1 %
462	-	2	0.1 %
470	-	1	0.1 %
471	-	5	0.3 %
472	-	2	0.1 %
500	-	5	0.3 %
501	-	1	0.1 %
502	-	1	0.1 %
510	-	2	0.1 %
511	-	1	0.1 %
512	-	1	0.1 %
522	-	1	0.1 %
530	-	6	0.4 %
531	-	7	0.4 %
532	-	3	0.2 %
540	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	78	4.9 %
998	DK	0	0.0 %
999	NA	9	0.6 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Please note that only the first 100 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,519 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 581.98
- Median: 651.00
- Minimum: 10.00
- Maximum: 932.00
- Standard Deviation: 279.02

Location: 25-27 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 998 , 999

MARITAL: MARITAL STATUS

Question: Are you currently--married, widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never been married?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MARRIED	859	53.5 %
2	WIDOWED	172	10.7 %
3	DIVORCED	230	14.3 %
4	SEPARATED	44	2.7 %
5	NEVER MARRIED	300	18.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,605 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 28-28 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 9

AGEWED: AGE WHEN FIRST MARRIED

Question: If ever married: How old were you when you first married?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
13	-	1	0.1 %
14	-	4	0.2 %
15	-	8	0.5 %
16	-	36	2.2 %
17	-	47	2.9 %
18	-	126	7.8 %
19	-	137	8.5 %
20	-	129	8.0 %
21	-	145	9.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
22	-	106	6.6 %
23	-	90	5.6 %
24	-	88	5.5 %
25	-	79	4.9 %
26	-	63	3.9 %
27	-	54	3.4 %
28	-	29	1.8 %
29	-	36	2.2 %
30	-	27	1.7 %
31	-	18	1.1 %
32	-	21	1.3 %
33	-	11	0.7 %
34	-	5	0.3 %
35	-	7	0.4 %
36	-	7	0.4 %
37	-	3	0.2 %
38	-	4	0.2 %
40	-	3	0.2 %
41	-	1	0.1 %
42	-	2	0.1 %
43	-	1	0.1 %
45	-	1	0.1 %
47	-	1	0.1 %
49	-	1	0.1 %
50	-	1	0.1 %
54	-	1	0.1 %
58	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	300	18.7 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	12	0.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,294 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 22.74
- Median: 22.00
- Mode: 21.00
- Minimum: 13.00
- Maximum: 58.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.96

Location: 29-30 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

DIVORCE: EVER BEEN DIVORCED OR SEPARATED

Question: If currently married or widowed: Have you ever been divorced or legally separated?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	213	13.3 %
2	NO	812	50.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	574	35.7 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	7	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,025 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 31-31 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

WIDOWED: EVER BEEN WIDOWED

Question: If currently married, separated, or divorced: Have you ever been widowed?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	40	2.5 %
2	NO	1075	66.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	472	29.4 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	19	1.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,115 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 32-32 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SPWRKSTA: SPOUSE LABOR FORCE STATUS

Question: Last week was your (wife/husband) working full time, part time, going to school, keeping home, or what?

Notes: If R is currently married ask Q5 in GSS cumulative codebook. Others skip to instructions before Q8 (in GSS cumulative codebook). Remarks: See Appendix L (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook): Hours worked, for detailed distribution. The distribution for the first digit Col. 28, is given below. See Appendix N for changes across surveys. The distribution for the first digit, Col. 30, is given below. Contents of Col. 27, punch 8 (Other, Specify), available from NORC. Card B contained responses for punches 1 through 8. See Appendix N for changes across surveys.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	WORKING FULLTIME	484	30.1 %
2	WORKING PARTTIME	83	5.2 %
3	TEMP NOT WORKING	18	1.1 %
4	UNEMPL, LAID OFF	21	1.3 %
5	RETIRED	112	7.0 %
6	SCHOOL	6	0.4 %
7	KEEPING HOUSE	120	7.5 %
8	OTHER	12	0.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	747	46.5 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 856 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 33-33 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

SPHRS1: NUMBER OF HRS SPOUSE WORKED LAST WEEK

Question: If working full or part time: How many hours did (he/she)work last week, at all jobs?

Notes: Remarks: See Appendix L (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook): Hours worked, for detailed distribution. The distribution for the first digit Col. 28, is given below. See Appendix N for changes across surveys. The distribution for the first digit, Col. 30, is given below. Contents of Col. 27, punch 8 (Other, Specify), available from NORC. Card B contained responses for punches 1 through 8. See Appendix N for changes across surveys.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	-	2	0.1 %
4	-	1	0.1 %
5	-	2	0.1 %
6	-	2	0.1 %
7	-	1	0.1 %
8	-	6	0.4 %
10	-	6	0.4 %
12	-	3	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
13	-	1	0.1 %
15	-	4	0.2 %
16	-	6	0.4 %
17	-	1	0.1 %
18	-	1	0.1 %
20	-	20	1.2 %
22	-	2	0.1 %
24	-	8	0.5 %
25	-	11	0.7 %
26	-	2	0.1 %
30	-	10	0.6 %
32	-	6	0.4 %
35	-	9	0.6 %
36	-	2	0.1 %
37	-	2	0.1 %
38	-	10	0.6 %
39	-	1	0.1 %
40	-	223	13.9 %
41	-	2	0.1 %
42	-	6	0.4 %
43	-	3	0.2 %
44	-	4	0.2 %
45	-	38	2.4 %
46	-	3	0.2 %
47	-	2	0.1 %
48	-	11	0.7 %
50	-	48	3.0 %
52	-	3	0.2 %
53	-	1	0.1 %
54	-	1	0.1 %
55	-	16	1.0 %
56	-	1	0.1 %
57	-	1	0.1 %
58	-	1	0.1 %
60	-	44	2.7 %
62	-	1	0.1 %
65	-	9	0.6 %
70	-	8	0.5 %
72	-	5	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
75	-	1	0.1 %
76	-	1	0.1 %
80	-	5	0.3 %
89	-	7	0.4 %
Missing Data			
-1	NAP	1036	64.5 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	6	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 564 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 42.28
- Median: 40.00
- Mode: 40.00
- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 89.00
- Standard Deviation: 14.55

Location: 34-35 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

SPHRS2: NO. OF HRS SPOUSE USUALLY WORKS A WEEK

Question: If with a job but not at work: How many hours a week does (he/she) usually work, at all jobs?

Notes: Remarks: See Appendix L (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook): Hours worked, for detailed distribution. The distribution for the first digit Col. 28, is given below. See Appendix N for changes across surveys. The distribution for the first digit, Col. 30, is given below. Contents of Col. 27, punch 8 (Other, Specify), available from NORC. Card B contained responses for punches 1 through 8. See Appendix N for changes across surveys.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
20	-	1	0.1 %
30	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	6	0.4 %
45	-	3	0.2 %
50	-	2	0.1 %
55	-	1	0.1 %
60	-	2	0.1 %
65	-	1	0.1 %
Missing Data			
-1	NAP	1585	98.7 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 44.17
- Median: 42.50
- Mode: 40.00
- Minimum: 20.00
- Maximum: 65.00
- Standard Deviation: 11.41

Location: 36-37 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

SPEVWORK: SPOUSE EVER WORK AS LONG AS A YEAR

Question: If retired, in school, keeping house, or others: Did (he/she) ever work for as long as one year?

Notes: Remarks: See Appendix L (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook): Hours worked, for detailed distribution. The distribution for the first digit Col. 28, is given below. See Appendix N for changes across surveys. The distribution for the first digit, Col. 30, is given below. Contents of Col. 27, punch 8 (Other, Specify), available from NORC. Card B contained responses for punches 1 through 8. See Appendix N for changes across surveys.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	214	13.3 %
2	NO	34	2.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1353	84.2 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 248 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 38-38 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SPWRKSLF: SPOUSE SELF-EMP. OR WORKS FOR SOMEBODY

Question: (Is/Was)(he/she) self-employed or (does/did)(he/she) work for someone else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SELF-EMPLOYED	122	7.6 %
2	SOMEONE ELSE	693	43.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	781	48.6 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	9	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 815 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 39-39 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SPOCC80: SPOUSE CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (1980)

Question: What kind of work (does/did) your [spouse] normally do? That is, what (is/was) (his/her) job called?

Notes: Remarks: The above questions are not coded but are used to code occupation, prestige, and industry. This survey uses the U.S. Bureau of the Census 3 digit occupation classification for 1970 and or 1980 occupations, the 2 digit Hodge, Siegel, Rossi prestige score, and the U.S. Bureau of the Census 3 digit industrial classifications for 1972-1990 and the 1980 Census occupational and industrial classifications and the NORC/GSS prestige score for 1988 to the present. Detailed occupational classifications, prestige scores and industrial classifications can be found in Appendices F, G and H (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook). See also Appendix J (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook) for socio-economic index scores. The distributions given below are for the first digit of each of the three codes. See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Report No. 59.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	-	1	0.1 %
5	-	3	0.2 %
7	-	5	0.3 %
9	-	1	0.1 %
13	-	1	0.1 %
14	-	4	0.2 %
15	-	1	0.1 %
16	-	3	0.2 %
19	-	62	3.9 %
23	-	7	0.4 %
25	-	6	0.4 %
27	-	4	0.2 %
28	-	1	0.1 %
33	-	3	0.2 %
35	-	1	0.1 %
36	-	2	0.1 %
37	-	1	0.1 %
43	-	1	0.1 %
48	-	1	0.1 %
49	-	1	0.1 %
53	-	3	0.2 %
55	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
56	-	3	0.2 %
57	-	2	0.1 %
58	-	1	0.1 %
59	-	3	0.2 %
64	-	5	0.3 %
65	-	1	0.1 %
75	-	1	0.1 %
76	-	1	0.1 %
77	-	2	0.1 %
79	-	1	0.1 %
84	-	3	0.2 %
85	-	1	0.1 %
95	-	17	1.1 %
98	-	1	0.1 %
103	-	2	0.1 %
104	-	1	0.1 %
128	-	1	0.1 %
134	-	1	0.1 %
145	-	1	0.1 %
154	-	1	0.1 %
155	-	3	0.2 %
156	-	16	1.0 %
157	-	4	0.2 %
158	-	1	0.1 %
159	-	2	0.1 %
163	-	4	0.2 %
164	-	3	0.2 %
167	-	1	0.1 %
174	-	3	0.2 %
176	-	5	0.3 %
178	-	10	0.6 %
183	-	1	0.1 %
187	-	2	0.1 %
188	-	6	0.4 %
195	-	2	0.1 %
198	-	1	0.1 %
203	-	1	0.1 %
205	-	1	0.1 %
206	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
207	-	4	0.2 %
208	-	1	0.1 %
213	-	2	0.1 %
217	-	1	0.1 %
226	-	1	0.1 %
227	-	1	0.1 %
229	-	5	0.3 %
234	-	4	0.2 %
235	-	2	0.1 %
243	-	26	1.6 %
253	-	7	0.4 %
254	-	2	0.1 %
255	-	2	0.1 %
256	-	2	0.1 %
257	-	1	0.1 %
259	-	15	0.9 %
264	-	2	0.1 %
266	-	2	0.1 %
268	-	1	0.1 %
274	-	4	0.2 %
275	-	1	0.1 %
276	-	15	0.9 %
277	-	2	0.1 %
283	-	1	0.1 %
285	-	5	0.3 %
303	-	7	0.4 %
306	-	1	0.1 %
307	-	6	0.4 %
308	-	1	0.1 %
313	-	31	1.9 %
314	-	2	0.1 %
315	-	4	0.2 %
319	-	9	0.6 %
323	-	1	0.1 %
329	-	2	0.1 %
335	-	1	0.1 %
336	-	1	0.1 %
337	-	18	1.1 %
344	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
0	DK,NA,NAP	781	48.6 %
999	-	6	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Please note that only the first 100 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 819 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 370.53
- Median: 337.00
- Mode: 19.00
- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 889.00
- Standard Deviation: 250.96

Location: 40-42 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 999

SPPRES80: SPOUSES OCCUPATIONAL PRESTIGE SCORE (1980)

Notes: Remarks: The above questions are not coded but are used to code occupation, prestige, and industry. This survey uses the U.S. Bureau of the Census 3 digit occupation classification for 1970 and or 1980 occupations, the 2 digit Hodge, Siegel, Rossi prestige score, and the U.S. Bureau of the Census 3 digit industrial classifications for 1972-1990 and the 1980 Census occupational and industrial classifications and the NORC/GSS prestige score for 1988 to the present. Detailed occupational classifications, prestige scores and industrial classifications can be found in Appendices F, G and H (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook). See also Appendix J (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook) for socio-economic index scores. The distributions given below are for the first digit of each of the three codes. See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Report No. 59.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
17	-	1	0.1 %
19	-	1	0.1 %
20	-	2	0.1 %
21	-	3	0.2 %
22	-	19	1.2 %
23	-	13	0.8 %
24	-	12	0.7 %
25	-	6	0.4 %
26	-	1	0.1 %
27	-	10	0.6 %
28	-	22	1.4 %
29	-	20	1.2 %
30	-	28	1.7 %
31	-	7	0.4 %
32	-	15	0.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
33	-	10	0.6 %
34	-	25	1.6 %
35	-	28	1.7 %
36	-	38	2.4 %
37	-	6	0.4 %
38	-	5	0.3 %
39	-	32	2.0 %
40	-	29	1.8 %
41	-	9	0.6 %
42	-	32	2.0 %
43	-	14	0.9 %
44	-	29	1.8 %
45	-	19	1.2 %
46	-	33	2.1 %
47	-	43	2.7 %
48	-	7	0.4 %
49	-	25	1.6 %
50	-	14	0.9 %
51	-	87	5.4 %
52	-	10	0.6 %
53	-	6	0.4 %
54	-	5	0.3 %
55	-	5	0.3 %
57	-	9	0.6 %
58	-	6	0.4 %
59	-	7	0.4 %
60	-	14	0.9 %
61	-	10	0.6 %
62	-	3	0.2 %
63	-	4	0.2 %
64	-	24	1.5 %
65	-	9	0.6 %
66	-	21	1.3 %
68	-	1	0.1 %
69	-	10	0.6 %
70	-	1	0.1 %
71	-	3	0.2 %
72	-	1	0.1 %
73	-	3	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
74	-	9	0.6 %
75	-	10	0.6 %
86	-	3	0.2 %
Missing Data			
0	DK,NA,NAP	787	49.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 819 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 44.46
- Median: 44.00
- Mode: 51.00
- Minimum: 17.00
- Maximum: 86.00
- Standard Deviation: 13.06

Location: 43-44 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0

SPIND80: SPOUSES INDUSTRY CODE (1980)

Question: What (does/did) [spouse] actually do in that job? Tell me, what (are/were) some of (his/her) main duties? What kind of place (does/did) [spouse] work for?

Notes: Remarks: The above questions are not coded but are used to code occupation, prestige, and industry. This survey uses the U.S. Bureau of the Census 3 digit occupation classification for 1970 and or 1980 occupations, the 2 digit Hodge, Siegel, Rossi prestige score, and the U.S. Bureau of the Census 3 digit industrial classifications for 1972-1990 and the 1980 Census occupational and industrial classifications and the NORC/GSS prestige score for 1988 to the present. Detailed occupational classifications, prestige scores and industrial classifications can be found in Appendices F, G and H (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook). See also Appendix J (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook) for socio-economic index scores. The distributions given below are for the first digit of each of the three codes. See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Report No. 59.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	-	9	0.6 %
11	-	6	0.4 %
20	-	1	0.1 %
21	-	3	0.2 %
30	-	1	0.1 %
31	-	1	0.1 %
42	-	3	0.2 %
50	-	1	0.1 %
60	-	63	3.9 %
100	-	2	0.1 %
102	-	1	0.1 %
111	-	2	0.1 %
120	-	5	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
121	-	2	0.1 %
132	-	1	0.1 %
142	-	4	0.2 %
150	-	2	0.1 %
151	-	16	1.0 %
152	-	2	0.1 %
160	-	1	0.1 %
161	-	2	0.1 %
162	-	2	0.1 %
171	-	2	0.1 %
172	-	14	0.9 %
181	-	2	0.1 %
190	-	1	0.1 %
192	-	3	0.2 %
200	-	1	0.1 %
201	-	1	0.1 %
210	-	1	0.1 %
212	-	4	0.2 %
221	-	2	0.1 %
231	-	2	0.1 %
241	-	2	0.1 %
242	-	3	0.2 %
250	-	2	0.1 %
251	-	1	0.1 %
252	-	3	0.2 %
262	-	2	0.1 %
270	-	2	0.1 %
271	-	3	0.2 %
272	-	1	0.1 %
280	-	1	0.1 %
281	-	2	0.1 %
282	-	1	0.1 %
292	-	2	0.1 %
300	-	6	0.4 %
311	-	1	0.1 %
312	-	2	0.1 %
320	-	2	0.1 %
321	-	1	0.1 %
322	-	5	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
331	-	5	0.3 %
340	-	1	0.1 %
341	-	3	0.2 %
342	-	8	0.5 %
350	-	1	0.1 %
351	-	14	0.9 %
352	-	4	0.2 %
360	-	1	0.1 %
370	-	1	0.1 %
372	-	1	0.1 %
390	-	1	0.1 %
391	-	3	0.2 %
392	-	1	0.1 %
400	-	4	0.2 %
401	-	6	0.4 %
410	-	18	1.1 %
411	-	2	0.1 %
412	-	7	0.4 %
420	-	3	0.2 %
421	-	6	0.4 %
432	-	2	0.1 %
440	-	3	0.2 %
441	-	8	0.5 %
442	-	1	0.1 %
460	-	4	0.2 %
461	-	3	0.2 %
462	-	1	0.1 %
471	-	4	0.2 %
472	-	2	0.1 %
500	-	1	0.1 %
501	-	2	0.1 %
502	-	1	0.1 %
510	-	2	0.1 %
512	-	1	0.1 %
521	-	1	0.1 %
522	-	1	0.1 %
530	-	2	0.1 %
531	-	2	0.1 %
532	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
540	-	1	0.1 %
541	-	1	0.1 %
550	-	8	0.5 %
551	-	1	0.1 %
562	-	3	0.2 %
571	-	1	0.1 %
580	-	2	0.1 %
581	-	2	0.1 %
591	-	12	0.7 %
Missing Data			
0	DK,NA,NAP	781	48.6 %
999	-	9	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Please note that only the first 100 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 816 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 555.38
- Median: 640.50
- Mode: 60.00
- Minimum: 10.00
- Maximum: 932.00
- Standard Deviation: 291.12

Location: 45-47 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 999

PAWRKSLF: FATHER SELF-EMP. OR WORKED FOR SOMEBODY

Question: Was he self employed or did he work for someone else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SELF-EMPLOYED	412	25.7 %
2	SOMEONE ELSE	945	58.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	207	12.9 %
8	DK	5	0.3 %
9	NA	37	2.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,357 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 48-48 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PAOCC80: FATHERS CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (1980)

Notes: Remarks: The above questions are not coded but are used to code occupation, prestige, and industry. This survey uses the U.S. Bureau of the Census 3 digit occupation classification for 1970 and or 1980 occupations, the 2 digit Hodge, Siegel, Rossi prestige score, and the U.S. Bureau of the Census 3 digit industrial classifications for 1972-1990 and the 1980 Census occupational and industrial classifications and the NORC/GSS prestige score for 1988 to the present. Detailed occupational classifications, prestige scores and industrial classifications can be found in Appendices F, G and H (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook). See also Appendix J (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook) for socio-economic index scores. The distributions given below are for the first digit of each of the three codes. See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Report No. 59.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	-	1	0.1 %
4	-	1	0.1 %
5	-	6	0.4 %
6	-	1	0.1 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
8	-	3	0.2 %
9	-	1	0.1 %
13	-	1	0.1 %
14	-	6	0.4 %
15	-	2	0.1 %
17	-	1	0.1 %
19	-	94	5.9 %
23	-	12	0.7 %
25	-	3	0.2 %
27	-	4	0.2 %
29	-	1	0.1 %
33	-	1	0.1 %
35	-	1	0.1 %
36	-	4	0.2 %
43	-	2	0.1 %
44	-	3	0.2 %
47	-	1	0.1 %
53	-	11	0.7 %
54	-	1	0.1 %
55	-	7	0.4 %
56	-	2	0.1 %
57	-	4	0.2 %
58	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
59	-	9	0.6 %
64	-	1	0.1 %
68	-	1	0.1 %
73	-	5	0.3 %
75	-	2	0.1 %
77	-	1	0.1 %
79	-	1	0.1 %
84	-	13	0.8 %
85	-	4	0.2 %
86	-	1	0.1 %
87	-	2	0.1 %
96	-	2	0.1 %
115	-	1	0.1 %
116	-	1	0.1 %
127	-	1	0.1 %
128	-	1	0.1 %
135	-	1	0.1 %
138	-	1	0.1 %
144	-	1	0.1 %
147	-	1	0.1 %
156	-	6	0.4 %
157	-	9	0.6 %
159	-	2	0.1 %
163	-	1	0.1 %
174	-	2	0.1 %
176	-	11	0.7 %
178	-	10	0.6 %
179	-	1	0.1 %
185	-	4	0.2 %
186	-	1	0.1 %
194	-	1	0.1 %
195	-	2	0.1 %
206	-	1	0.1 %
213	-	2	0.1 %
216	-	1	0.1 %
224	-	1	0.1 %
226	-	5	0.3 %
229	-	1	0.1 %
235	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
243	-	66	4.1 %
253	-	13	0.8 %
254	-	4	0.2 %
255	-	1	0.1 %
256	-	3	0.2 %
257	-	2	0.1 %
259	-	29	1.8 %
263	-	6	0.4 %
264	-	2	0.1 %
267	-	1	0.1 %
274	-	4	0.2 %
275	-	1	0.1 %
276	-	2	0.1 %
277	-	3	0.2 %
285	-	1	0.1 %
303	-	1	0.1 %
304	-	1	0.1 %
307	-	10	0.6 %
308	-	1	0.1 %
313	-	1	0.1 %
314	-	1	0.1 %
316	-	2	0.1 %
318	-	2	0.1 %
319	-	1	0.1 %
335	-	1	0.1 %
336	-	1	0.1 %
337	-	3	0.2 %
338	-	2	0.1 %
348	-	1	0.1 %
354	-	4	0.2 %
355	-	8	0.5 %
359	-	1	0.1 %
364	-	3	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	197	12.3 %
998	DK	0	0.0 %
999	NA	42	2.6 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Please note that only the first 100 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,367 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 446.89
- Median: 473.00
- Mode: 473.00
- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 889.00
- Standard Deviation: 258.58

Location: 49-51 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 998 , 999

PAPRES80: FATHERS OCCUPATIONAL PRESTIGE SCORE (1980)

Notes: Remarks: The above questions are not coded but are used to code occupation, prestige, and industry. This survey uses the U.S. Bureau of the Census 3 digit occupation classification for 1970 and or 1980 occupations, the 2 digit Hodge, Siegel, Rossi prestige score, and the U.S. Bureau of the Census 3 digit industrial classifications for 1972-1990 and the 1980 Census occupational and industrial classifications and the NORC/GSS prestige score for 1988 to the present. Detailed occupational classifications, prestige scores and industrial classifications can be found in Appendices F, G and H (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook). See also Appendix J (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook) for socio-economic index scores. The distributions given below are for the first digit of each of the three codes. See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Report No. 59.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
19	-	2	0.1 %
20	-	2	0.1 %
21	-	5	0.3 %
22	-	20	1.2 %
23	-	21	1.3 %
24	-	47	2.9 %
25	-	2	0.1 %
26	-	2	0.1 %
27	-	18	1.1 %
28	-	11	0.7 %
29	-	17	1.1 %
30	-	62	3.9 %
31	-	15	0.9 %
32	-	24	1.5 %
33	-	16	1.0 %
34	-	32	2.0 %
35	-	31	1.9 %
36	-	45	2.8 %
37	-	6	0.4 %
38	-	9	0.6 %
39	-	49	3.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
40	-	260	16.2 %
41	-	9	0.6 %
42	-	52	3.2 %
43	-	28	1.7 %
44	-	80	5.0 %
45	-	27	1.7 %
46	-	4	0.2 %
47	-	64	4.0 %
48	-	9	0.6 %
49	-	51	3.2 %
50	-	26	1.6 %
51	-	117	7.3 %
52	-	2	0.1 %
53	-	15	0.9 %
54	-	13	0.8 %
55	-	1	0.1 %
57	-	1	0.1 %
58	-	2	0.1 %
59	-	4	0.2 %
60	-	21	1.3 %
61	-	7	0.4 %
62	-	4	0.2 %
63	-	2	0.1 %
64	-	23	1.4 %
65	-	12	0.7 %
66	-	10	0.6 %
67	-	2	0.1 %
68	-	2	0.1 %
69	-	24	1.5 %
70	-	3	0.2 %
71	-	10	0.6 %
72	-	7	0.4 %
73	-	7	0.4 %
74	-	9	0.6 %
75	-	10	0.6 %
86	-	13	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
0	DK,NA,NAP	239	14.9 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,367 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 43.33
- Median: 40.00
- Mode: 40.00
- Minimum: 19.00
- Maximum: 86.00
- Standard Deviation: 12.42

Location: 52-53 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0

PAIND80: FATHERS INDUSTRY CODE (1980)

Question: What did he actually do in that job? Tell me, what were some of his main duties? What kind of place did he work for?
 Notes: Remarks: The above questions are not coded but are used to code occupation, prestige, and industry. This survey uses the U.S. Bureau of the Census 3 digit occupation classification for 1970 and or 1980 occupations, the 2 digit Hodge, Siegel, Rossi prestige score, and the U.S. Bureau of the Census 3 digit industrial classifications for 1972-1990 and the 1980 Census occupational and industrial classifications and the NORC/GSS prestige score for 1988 to the present. Detailed occupational classifications, prestige scores and industrial classifications can be found in Appendices F, G and H (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook). See also Appendix J (in the GSS Cumulative Codebook) for socio-economic index scores. The distributions given below are for the first digit of each of the three codes. See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Report No. 59.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	-	177	11.0 %
11	-	57	3.5 %
20	-	10	0.6 %
21	-	9	0.6 %
30	-	1	0.1 %
31	-	3	0.2 %
40	-	2	0.1 %
41	-	9	0.6 %
42	-	6	0.4 %
50	-	5	0.3 %
60	-	137	8.5 %
100	-	5	0.3 %
101	-	8	0.5 %
102	-	1	0.1 %
110	-	3	0.2 %
111	-	6	0.4 %
120	-	1	0.1 %
121	-	1	0.1 %
122	-	2	0.1 %
132	-	2	0.1 %
140	-	2	0.1 %
141	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
142	-	3	0.2 %
150	-	4	0.2 %
151	-	8	0.5 %
152	-	1	0.1 %
160	-	6	0.4 %
161	-	7	0.4 %
162	-	4	0.2 %
171	-	2	0.1 %
172	-	15	0.9 %
180	-	4	0.2 %
181	-	5	0.3 %
182	-	5	0.3 %
192	-	13	0.8 %
200	-	5	0.3 %
201	-	1	0.1 %
210	-	4	0.2 %
211	-	1	0.1 %
220	-	4	0.2 %
221	-	4	0.2 %
230	-	8	0.5 %
231	-	15	0.9 %
241	-	3	0.2 %
242	-	9	0.6 %
250	-	5	0.3 %
251	-	4	0.2 %
252	-	3	0.2 %
262	-	2	0.1 %
270	-	3	0.2 %
271	-	21	1.3 %
272	-	3	0.2 %
280	-	3	0.2 %
281	-	3	0.2 %
282	-	2	0.1 %
291	-	2	0.1 %
292	-	3	0.2 %
300	-	2	0.1 %
301	-	2	0.1 %
310	-	2	0.1 %
311	-	3	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
312	-	4	0.2 %
320	-	9	0.6 %
321	-	3	0.2 %
322	-	8	0.5 %
331	-	16	1.0 %
332	-	2	0.1 %
340	-	1	0.1 %
341	-	4	0.2 %
342	-	6	0.4 %
350	-	1	0.1 %
351	-	33	2.1 %
352	-	5	0.3 %
360	-	10	0.6 %
361	-	4	0.2 %
362	-	5	0.3 %
370	-	1	0.1 %
371	-	3	0.2 %
372	-	1	0.1 %
391	-	8	0.5 %
392	-	7	0.4 %
400	-	32	2.0 %
401	-	8	0.5 %
402	-	2	0.1 %
410	-	28	1.7 %
411	-	1	0.1 %
412	-	17	1.1 %
420	-	5	0.3 %
421	-	6	0.4 %
432	-	2	0.1 %
440	-	2	0.1 %
441	-	13	0.8 %
442	-	2	0.1 %
460	-	15	0.9 %
462	-	1	0.1 %
470	-	5	0.3 %
471	-	6	0.4 %
472	-	2	0.1 %
500	-	1	0.1 %
502	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	197	12.3 %
998	DK	0	0.0 %
999	NA	38	2.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Please note that only the first 100 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 369.77
- Median: 341.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 10.00
- Maximum: 990.00
- Standard Deviation: 309.09

Location: 54-56 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 998 , 999

SIBS: NUMBER OF BROTHERS AND SISTERS

Question: How many brothers and sisters did you have? Please count those born alive but no longer living. as well as those alive now. Also include stepbrothers and stepsisters, and children adopted by your parents.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	89	5.5 %
1	-	260	16.2 %
2	-	341	21.2 %
3	-	282	17.6 %
4	-	170	10.6 %
5	-	117	7.3 %
6	-	93	5.8 %
7	-	67	4.2 %
8	-	60	3.7 %
9	-	47	2.9 %
10	-	23	1.4 %
11	-	15	0.9 %
12	-	11	0.7 %
13	-	11	0.7 %
14	-	3	0.2 %
15	-	4	0.2 %
16	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
17	-	1	0.1 %
19	-	2	0.1 %
21	-	1	0.1 %
22	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
98	DK	3	0.2 %
99	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,600 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 3.68
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 22.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.95

Location: 57-58 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99

CHILDS: NUMBER OF CHILDREN

Question: How many children have you ever had? Please count all that were born alive at any time (including any you had from a previous marriage).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	447	27.8 %
1	-	259	16.1 %
2	-	423	26.3 %
3	-	243	15.1 %
4	-	122	7.6 %
5	-	64	4.0 %
6	-	14	0.9 %
7	-	8	0.5 %
8	EIGHT OR MORE	21	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 1.84
- Median: 2.00

- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.68

Location: 59-59 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

AGE: AGE OF RESPONDENT

Notes: Remarks: Data of birth has been recoded into actual age in Cols. 56 and 57. See Appendix D: Recodes (in GSS Cumulative Codebook). See Appendix E: Age Distributions (in GSS Cumulative Codebook), for detailed responses. See Appendix N (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) for changes across surveys.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
18	-	5	0.3 %
19	-	18	1.1 %
20	-	20	1.2 %
21	-	24	1.5 %
22	-	16	1.0 %
23	-	28	1.7 %
24	-	26	1.6 %
25	-	35	2.2 %
26	-	27	1.7 %
27	-	23	1.4 %
28	-	46	2.9 %
29	-	33	2.1 %
30	-	39	2.4 %
31	-	36	2.2 %
32	-	30	1.9 %
33	-	36	2.2 %
34	-	28	1.7 %
35	-	44	2.7 %
36	-	45	2.8 %
37	-	38	2.4 %
38	-	44	2.7 %
39	-	41	2.6 %
40	-	40	2.5 %
41	-	39	2.4 %
42	-	32	2.0 %
43	-	40	2.5 %
44	-	29	1.8 %
45	-	33	2.1 %
46	-	33	2.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
47	-	36	2.2 %
48	-	20	1.2 %
49	-	29	1.8 %
50	-	24	1.5 %
51	-	27	1.7 %
52	-	22	1.4 %
53	-	18	1.1 %
54	-	19	1.2 %
55	-	23	1.4 %
56	-	13	0.8 %
57	-	19	1.2 %
58	-	25	1.6 %
59	-	16	1.0 %
60	-	17	1.1 %
61	-	12	0.7 %
62	-	18	1.1 %
63	-	19	1.2 %
64	-	14	0.9 %
65	-	18	1.1 %
66	-	20	1.2 %
67	-	11	0.7 %
68	-	18	1.1 %
69	-	20	1.2 %
70	-	12	0.7 %
71	-	17	1.1 %
72	-	20	1.2 %
73	-	20	1.2 %
74	-	19	1.2 %
75	-	18	1.1 %
76	-	14	0.9 %
77	-	15	0.9 %
78	-	14	0.9 %
79	-	7	0.4 %
80	-	6	0.4 %
81	-	9	0.6 %
82	-	12	0.7 %
83	-	3	0.2 %
84	-	4	0.2 %
85	-	4	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
86	-	5	0.3 %
87	-	6	0.4 %
88	-	3	0.2 %
89	-	7	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 46.05
- Median: 43.00
- Mode: 28.00
- Minimum: 18.00
- Maximum: 89.00
- Standard Deviation: 17.36

Location: 60-61 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99

EDUC: HIGHEST YEAR OF SCHOOL COMPLETED

Question: What is the highest grade in elementary or high school that you finished and got credit for? If finished 9th-12th grade or DK: Did you ever get a high school diploma or a GED certificate? Did you complete one or more years of college for credit--not including schooling such as business college, technical or vocational school? If Yes: How many years did you complete? Do you have any college degree? (IF Yes: What degree or degrees?)

Notes: Code exact grade or highest degree earned. See Appendix D Recodes (in GSS Cumulative Codebook), for a discussion of original format of Qs 15-18 (in GSS Cumulative Codebook). See Appendix N (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) for changes across surveys.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	3	0.2 %
2	-	4	0.2 %
4	-	7	0.4 %
5	-	7	0.4 %
6	-	20	1.2 %
7	-	27	1.7 %
8	-	61	3.8 %
9	-	47	2.9 %
10	-	58	3.6 %
11	-	86	5.4 %
12	-	477	29.7 %
13	-	154	9.6 %
14	-	177	11.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
15	-	75	4.7 %
16	-	224	13.9 %
17	-	50	3.1 %
18	-	73	4.5 %
19	-	25	1.6 %
20	-	27	1.7 %
Missing Data			
97	NAP	0	0.0 %
98	DK	4	0.2 %
99	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,602 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 13.05
- Median: 13.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 20.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.05

Location: 62-63 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 97 , 98 , 99

PAEDUC: HIGHEST YEAR SCHOOL COMPLETED, FATHER

Question: What is the highest grade in elementary or high school that your father (father substitute) finished and got credit for? If finished 9th-12th grade or DK: Did he ever get a high school diploma or a GED certificate? Did he complete one or more years of college for credit--not including schooling such as business college, technical or vocational school? If Yes: How many years did he complete? Does he have any college degree? (IF Yes: What degree or degrees?)

Notes: Added text: Code exact grade or highest degree earned.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	22	1.4 %
1	-	2	0.1 %
2	-	9	0.6 %
3	-	39	2.4 %
4	-	22	1.4 %
5	-	23	1.4 %
6	-	63	3.9 %
7	-	34	2.1 %
8	-	187	11.6 %
9	-	34	2.1 %
10	-	62	3.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
11	-	34	2.1 %
12	-	317	19.7 %
13	-	37	2.3 %
14	-	79	4.9 %
15	-	20	1.2 %
16	-	123	7.7 %
17	-	12	0.7 %
18	-	36	2.2 %
19	-	9	0.6 %
20	-	31	1.9 %
	Missing Data		
97	NAP	197	12.3 %
98	DK	185	11.5 %
99	NA	29	1.8 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,195 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 10.92
- Median: 12.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 20.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.28

Location: 64-65 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 97 , 98 , 99

MAEDUC: HIGHEST YEAR SCHOOL COMPLETED, MOTHER

Question: What is the highest grade in elementary or high school that your mother (mother substitute) finished and got credit for? If finished 9th-12th grade or DK: Did she ever get a high school diploma or a GED certificate? Did she complete one or more years of college for credit--not including schooling such as business college, technical or vocational school? If Yes: How many years did she complete? Does she have any college degree? (IF Yes: What degree or degrees?)

Notes: Code exact grade or highest degree earned.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	23	1.4 %
1	-	1	0.1 %
2	-	8	0.5 %
3	-	14	0.9 %
4	-	23	1.4 %
5	-	23	1.4 %
6	-	40	2.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	-	37	2.3 %
8	-	177	11.0 %
9	-	35	2.2 %
10	-	76	4.7 %
11	-	47	2.9 %
12	-	573	35.7 %
13	-	47	2.9 %
14	-	101	6.3 %
15	-	22	1.4 %
16	-	102	6.4 %
17	-	5	0.3 %
18	-	17	1.1 %
19	-	3	0.2 %
20	-	5	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
97	NAP	41	2.6 %
98	DK	159	9.9 %
99	NA	27	1.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,379 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 11.01
- Median: 12.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 20.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.40

Location: 66-67 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 97 , 98 , 99

SPEDUC: HIGHEST YEAR SCHOOL COMPLETED, SPOUSE

Question: What is the highest grade in elementary or high school that your spouse finished and got credit for? If finished 9th-12th grade or DK: Did (he/she) ever get a high school diploma or a GED certificate? Did (he/she) complete one or more years of college for credit--not including schooling such as business college, technical or vocational school? If Yes: How many years did (he/she) complete? Does (he/she) have any college degree? (IF Yes: What degree or degrees?)

Notes: Code exact grade or highest degree earned.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2	0.1 %
2	-	1	0.1 %
3	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	2	0.1 %
6	-	4	0.2 %
7	-	10	0.6 %
8	-	20	1.2 %
9	-	22	1.4 %
10	-	45	2.8 %
11	-	34	2.1 %
12	-	287	17.9 %
13	-	65	4.0 %
14	-	99	6.2 %
15	-	27	1.7 %
16	-	139	8.7 %
17	-	29	1.8 %
18	-	34	2.1 %
19	-	9	0.6 %
20	-	15	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
97	NAP	747	46.5 %
98	DK	6	0.4 %
99	NA	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 848 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 13.19
- Median: 12.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 20.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.87

Location: 68-69 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 97 , 98 , 99

DEGREE: RS HIGHEST DEGREE

Question: What is the highest grade in elementary or high school that you finished and got credit for? If Finished 9th-12th grade or DK: Did you ever get a high school diploma or a GED certificate? Did you complete one or more years of college for credit--not including schooling such as business college, technical or vocational school? If Yes: How many years did you complete? Do you have any college degree? (IF Yes: What degree or degrees?)

Notes: Code exact grade or highest degree earned. See Appendix D Recodes (in GSS Cumulative Codebook), for a discussion of original format of Qs 15-18 (in GSS Cumulative Codebook). See Appendix N (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) for changes across surveys.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	LT HIGH SCHOOL	294	18.3 %
1	HIGH SCHOOL	840	52.3 %
2	JUNIOR COLLEGE	97	6.0 %
3	BACHELOR	253	15.8 %
4	GRADUATE	118	7.3 %
	Missing Data		
7	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	2	0.1 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,602 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 70-70 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 7 , 8 , 9

PADEG: FATHERS HIGHEST DEGREE

Question: What is the highest grade in elementary or high school that your father (father substitute) finished and got credit for? If finished 9th-12th grade or DK: Did he ever get a high school diploma or a GED certificate? Did he complete one or more years of college for credit--not including schooling such as business college, technical or vocational school? If Yes: How many years did he complete? Does he have any college degree? (IF Yes: What degree or degrees?)

Notes: Code exact grade or highest degree earned. See Appendix D Recodes (in GSS Cumulative Codebook), for a discussion of original format of Qs 15-18 (in GSS Cumulative Codebook). See Appendix N (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) for changes across surveys.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	LT HIGH SCHOOL	597	37.2 %
1	HIGH SCHOOL	457	28.5 %
2	JUNIOR COLLEGE	26	1.6 %
3	BACHELOR	131	8.2 %
4	GRADUATE	76	4.7 %
	Missing Data		
7	NAP	197	12.3 %
8	DK	98	6.1 %
9	NA	24	1.5 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,287 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 71-71 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 7 , 8 , 9

MADEG: MOTHERS HIGHEST DEGREE

Question: What is the highest grade in elementary or high school that your mother (mother substitute) finished and got credit for? If finished 9th-12th grade or DK: Did she ever get a high school diploma or a GED certificate? Did she complete one or more years of college for credit--not including schooling such as business college, technical or vocational school? If Yes: How many years did she complete? Does she have any college degree? (IF Yes: What degree or degrees?)

Notes: Code exact grade or highest degree earned. See Appendix D Recodes (in GSS Cumulative Codebook), for a discussion of original format of Qs 15-18 (in GSS Cumulative Codebook). See Appendix N (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) for changes across surveys.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	LT HIGH SCHOOL	571	35.6 %
1	HIGH SCHOOL	697	43.4 %
2	JUNIOR COLLEGE	43	2.7 %
3	BACHELOR	103	6.4 %
4	GRADUATE	30	1.9 %
	Missing Data		
7	NAP	41	2.6 %
8	DK	100	6.2 %
9	NA	21	1.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,444 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 72-72 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 7 , 8 , 9

SPDEG: SPOUSES HIGHEST DEGREE

Question: What is the highest grade in elementary or high school that your spouse finished and got credit for? If finished 9th-12th grade or DK: Did (he/she) ever get a high school diploma or a GED certificate? Did (he/she) complete one or more years of college for credit--not including schooling such as business college, technical or vocational school? If Yes: How many years did (he/she) complete? Does (he/she) have any college degree? (IF Yes: What degree or degrees?)

Notes: Code exact grade or highest degree earned. See Appendix D Recodes (in GSS Cumulative Codebook), for a discussion of original format of Qs 15-18 (in GSS Cumulative Codebook). See Appendix N (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) for changes across surveys.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	LT HIGH SCHOOL	133	8.3 %
1	HIGH SCHOOL	454	28.3 %
2	JUNIOR COLLEGE	44	2.7 %
3	BACHELOR	148	9.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	GRADUATE	72	4.5 %
	Missing Data		
7	NAP	747	46.5 %
8	DK	5	0.3 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 851 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 73-73 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 7 , 8 , 9

SEX: RESPONDENTS SEX

Notes: Interviewer coded. See Appendix T, GSS methodological Report No. 9. If planning to perform trend analysis with this variable, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	685	42.7 %
2	FEMALE	921	57.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 74-74 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RACE: RACE OF RESPONDENT

Question: What race do you consider yourself?

Notes: Code without asking only if there is no doubt in your mind. Record verbatim and code. Remarks: Interviewer coded except in cases where question suggested was asked. Punch 3 in Col. 71 (Other Specify) included 1 Aleut, 154 American Indian, 1 Arab, 139 Asian, 1 Chamorro, 24 Chinese, 1 Chinese/Japanese, 1 Creole, 2 Cuban, 1 Dominican, 1 Egyptian, 38 Filipino, 1 Guyanese, 6 Hawaiian, 6 Hindu, 267 Hispanic, 1 Hmong, 4 Human, 31 Indian (Asian), 1 Indonesian, 1 Israelite, 3 Iranian, 1 Jamaican, 29 Japanese, 11 Korean, 3 Loas, 4 Latin, 3 Latino, 2 Lebanese, 1 Malaysian, 2 Mestizo, 59 Mexican, 3 Mulatto, 18 Native American, 49 Oriental, 5 Pacific Island, 3 Polynesian, 22 Puerto Rican, 30 Spanish, 2 Thai, 3 Vietnamese, 1 West Indies, 8 "Mixed", 1 "mixed bag", 1 "person of color", "1/2 American Indian, 1/2 Hispanic", 1 "halfbreed", 5 "Brown" and 3 "Yellow", 2 Amerasian", 2 "Biracial", 1 "Black and Asian", and 1 "Black and White", 1 "Black, American Indian/White", 1 "Euro-Asian", 1 "don't have one, just me", 1 "White+Mexican", 2 "1/2 Caucasian, 1/2 Philipins", 1 "African Cherokee", 1 "Mexican and Indian", 1 "American/Bangdi" and 1 "wheat color." If planning to perform trend analysis wit this variable, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56. See also GSS Methodological Reports 85 and 89.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	WHITE	1347	83.9 %
2	BLACK	179	11.1 %
3	OTHER	80	5.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 75-75 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RES16: TYPE OF PLACE LIVED IN WHEN 16 YRS OLD

Question: Which if the categories on this card comes closest to the type of place you were living in when you were 16 years old?

Notes: Card C contained responses for Punches 1 to 6.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	COUNTRY, NONFARM	187	11.6 %
2	FARM	288	17.9 %
3	TOWN LT 50000	466	29.0 %
4	50000 TO 250000	220	13.7 %
5	BIG-CITY SUBURB	205	12.8 %
6	CITY GT 250000	236	14.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	2	0.1 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,602 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 76-76 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9

REG16: REGION OF RESIDENCE, AGE 16

Question: In what state or foreign country were you living when you were 16 years old?

Notes: New England = Main, Vermont, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island. Middle Atlantic = New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania. East North Central = Wisconsin, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio. West North Central = Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas. South Atlantic = Delaware, Maryland, West Virginia, Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, District of Columbia. East South Central = Kentucky, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi. West South Central = Arkansas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, Texas. Mountain = Montana, Idaho, Wyoming, Nevada, Utah, Colorado, Arizona, New Mexico. Pacific = Washington, Oregon, California, Alaska, Hawaii.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	FOREIGN	84	5.2 %
1	NEW ENGLAND	57	3.5 %
2	MIDDLE ATLANTIC	244	15.2 %
3	E. NOR. CENTRAL	332	20.7 %
4	W. NOR. CENTRAL	136	8.5 %
5	SOUTH ATLANTIC	223	13.9 %
6	E. SOU. CENTRAL	137	8.5 %
7	W. SOU. CENTRAL	155	9.7 %
8	MOUNTAIN	66	4.1 %
9	PACIFIC	172	10.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 77-77 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MOBILE16: GEOGRAPHIC MOBILITY SINCE AGE 16

Question: When you were 16 years old, were you living in this same (city/town/county)?

Notes: Refer to region codes below and enter code number in box. Remarks: See Appendix D: Recodes (in GSS Cumulative Codebook), for discussion of the recoding of the original question.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SAME CITY	662	41.2 %
2	SAME ST,DIF CITY	426	26.5 %
3	DIFFERENT STATE	515	32.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,603 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 78-78 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9

FAMILY16: LIVING WITH PARENTS WHEN 16 YRS OLD

Question: Were you living with both your own mother and father around the time you were 16? (If no: With whom were you living around that time?)(If R. married or left home by age 16, probe: "Before that.")

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	OTHER	33	2.1 %
1	MOTHER & FATHER	1192	74.2 %
2	FATHER & STPMOTHER	33	2.1 %
3	MOTHER & STPFATHER	72	4.5 %
4	FATHER	34	2.1 %
5	MOTHER	166	10.3 %
6	MALE RELATIVE	7	0.4 %
7	FEMALE RELATIVE	31	1.9 %
8	M AND F RELATIVES	38	2.4 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 79-79 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

FAMDIF16: REASON NOT LIVING WITH PARENTS

Question: If not living with both own mother and father: What happened?

Notes: Contents of Punches 6, 7, 0 in Col. 75 (Other specify) are available from NORC. See Appendix N (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) for changes across surveys. If planning to perform trend analysis with FAMILY16, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	PARENT DIED	143	8.9 %
2	DIVORCE,SEPARATED	192	12.0 %
3	ARMED FORCES	0	0.0 %
4	INSTITUTION	0	0.0 %
5	OTHER	72	4.5 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1192	74.2 %
8	DK	7	0.4 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 407 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 80-80 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MAWORK: MOTHERS EMPLOYMENT SINCE MARRIAGE

Question: Did your mother ever work for pay as long as a year after she was married?

Notes: Ask only for respondents who lived with own mother (see Q27 in GSS cumulative codebook): (Others skip to Q29 in GSS cumulative codebook.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	940	58.5 %
2	NO	449	28.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	166	10.3 %
8	DK	37	2.3 %
9	NA	14	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,389 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 81-81 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MAWKBORN: MOTHERS EMPLOYMENT AFTER R WAS BORN

Question: Did she [your mother] work for as long as a year before you started first grade?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	418	26.0 %
2	NO	486	30.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	652	40.6 %
8	DK	32	2.0 %
9	NA	18	1.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 904 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 82-82 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

INCOM16: RS FAMILY INCOME WHEN 16 YRS OLD

Question: Thinking about the time when you were 16 years old, compared with American families in general then, would you say your family income was--far below average, below average, average, above average, or far above average? (Probe: Just your best guess.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	FAR BELOW AVERAGE	116	7.2 %
2	BELOW AVERAGE	393	24.5 %
3	AVERAGE	795	49.5 %
4	ABOVE AVERAGE	252	15.7 %
5	FAR ABOVE AVERAGE	34	2.1 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	0	0.0 %
7	LIVED IN INSTITUTION	0	0.0 %
8	DK	12	0.7 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,590 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 83-84 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 7 , 8 , 9

BORN: WAS R BORN IN THIS COUNTRY

Question: Were you born in this country?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1481	92.2 %
2	NO	117	7.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	8	0.5 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,598 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 85-85 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PARBORN: WERE RS PARENTS BORN IN THIS COUNTRY

Question: Were both your parents born in this country?

Notes: If respondent is uncertain of parent use same persons as in Qs 8, 16, 17, 20 and 21 in GSS cumulative codebook.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	BOTH IN U.S	1351	84.1 %
1	MOTHER ONLY	36	2.2 %
2	FATHER ONLY	33	2.1 %
3	MOTHER; FA. DK	3	0.2 %
4	NOT MOTHER;FA.DK	1	0.1 %
5	FATHER; MO.DK	0	0.0 %
6	NOT FATHER;MO.DK	0	0.0 %
7	DK FOR BOTH	5	0.3 %
8	NEITHER IN U.S	167	10.4 %
	Missing Data		
-2	NAP	0	0.0 %
9	NA	10	0.6 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,596 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 86-87 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 9

GRANBORN: HOW MANY GRANDPARENTS BORN OUTSIDE U.S.

Question: Were all of your four grandparents born in this country? If no ask: How many were born outside the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ALL IN U.S	925	57.6 %
1	-	96	6.0 %
2	-	166	10.3 %
3	-	39	2.4 %
4	-	272	16.9 %
	Missing Data		
-2	NAP	83	5.2 %
8	DK	14	0.9 %
9	NA	11	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,498 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 1.09
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.57

Location: 88-89 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 8 , 9

HOMPOP: NUMBER OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLD

Question: Household size and Composition: Number of household members.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	377	23.5 %
2	-	521	32.4 %
3	-	295	18.4 %
4	-	267	16.6 %
5	-	107	6.7 %
6	-	31	1.9 %
7	-	5	0.3 %
8	-	1	0.1 %
9	-	2	0.1 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 2.59
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.35

Location: 90-91 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99

BABIES: HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS LESS THAN 6 YRS OLD

Notes: Household size and Composition: Number of household members.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1321	82.3 %
1	-	198	12.3 %
2	-	75	4.7 %
3	-	9	0.6 %
8	8 OR MORE	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,603 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 0.23
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.55

Location: 92-92 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

PRETEEN: HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS 6 THRU 12 YRS OLD

Notes: Household size and Composition: Number of members 6 to 12 years.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1294	80.6 %
1	-	192	12.0 %
2	-	96	6.0 %
3	-	18	1.1 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
8	8 OR MORE	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,602 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 0.28
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.64

Location: 93-93 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

TEENS: HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS 13 THRU 17 YRS OLD

Question: Household size and Composition: Number of members 13 to 17 years old.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1377	85.7 %
1	-	173	10.8 %
2	-	52	3.2 %
3	-	4	0.2 %
8	8 OR MORE	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 0.18
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Location: 94-94 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

ADULTS: HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS 18 YRS AND OLDER

Question: Household size and Composition: Number of members over 17 years old.

Notes: See Appendices D and N (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) for recodes and wording changes. See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Report No. 3. See Appendix A (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) for use of 34D as weight. If planning to perform trend analysis with this variable, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	473	29.5 %
2	-	900	56.0 %
3	-	177	11.0 %
4	-	49	3.1 %
5	-	5	0.3 %
6	-	1	0.1 %
7	-	1	0.1 %
8	8 OR MORE	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 1.89
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.75

Location: 95-95 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

UNRELAT: NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD NOT RELATED

Question: Is everyone in the household related to you in some way? If no: How many persons in the household are not related to you in any way?

Notes: See Appendix N (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) for changes across surveys.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1040	64.8 %
1	-	90	5.6 %
2	-	22	1.4 %
3	-	10	0.6 %
4	-	1	0.1 %
5	-	1	0.1 %
8	8 OR MORE	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	436	27.1 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,164 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 0.15
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.49

Location: 96-97 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 9

EARNRS: HOW MANY IN FAMILY EARNED MONEY

Question: Just thinking about your family now--those people in the household who are related to you...How many persons in the family, including yourself, earned any money last year--1992--from any job or employment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	298	18.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	577	35.9 %
2	-	556	34.6 %
3	-	114	7.1 %
4	-	32	2.0 %
5	-	6	0.4 %
6	-	2	0.1 %
7	-	1	0.1 %
8	EIGHT OR MORE	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
9	NA	20	1.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,586 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 1.39
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.98

Location: 98-98 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

INCOME: TOTAL FAMILY INCOME

Question: In which of these groups did your total family income, from all sources, fall last year before taxes, that is? Just tell me the letter.

Notes: Card D contained responses for Punches 01-12 only. See Qs. 38, 39, 40, 42, 44 and 46 (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) comparable questions in 1972, 1977, 1980 and 1982-1990. For 1972, 1977, 1978, 1980, and 1982-2000 income has been recoded from these questions to match the categories in Q. 37 (in GSS Cumulative Codebook). If planning to perform trend analysis with this variable, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LT \$1000	17	1.1 %
2	\$1000 TO 2999	20	1.2 %
3	\$3000 TO 3999	25	1.6 %
4	\$4000 TO 4999	34	2.1 %
5	\$5000 TO 5999	34	2.1 %
6	\$6000 TO 6999	24	1.5 %
7	\$7000 TO 7999	29	1.8 %
8	\$8000 TO 9999	39	2.4 %
9	\$10000 - 14999	146	9.1 %
10	\$15000 - 19999	122	7.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
11	\$20000 - 24999	139	8.7 %
12	\$25000 OR MORE	838	52.2 %
13	REFUSED	71	4.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
98	DK	57	3.5 %
99	NA	11	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,538 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 13.00

Location: 99-100 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99)

RINCOME: RESPONDENTS INCOME

Question: Did you earn any income from (occupation described on Q2) in 1992? If Yes: In which of these groups did your earnings from (occupation in Q2) for last year --1992-- fall? That is, before taxes or other deductions. Just tell me the letter.

Notes: Card E contained responses for Punches 01-12 only. Q38 (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) responses are not in these data, but are contained in Q 38A (in GSS Cumulative Codebook). See Qs. 41, 43, 45 and 47 (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) for comparable questions in 1977, 1978-1980, 1982-2000 surveys.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LT \$1000	26	1.6 %
2	\$1000 TO 2999	38	2.4 %
3	\$3000 TO 3999	33	2.1 %
4	\$4000 TO 4999	28	1.7 %
5	\$5000 TO 5999	24	1.5 %
6	\$6000 TO 6999	25	1.6 %
7	\$7000 TO 7999	16	1.0 %
8	\$8000 TO 9999	34	2.1 %
9	\$10000 - 14999	120	7.5 %
10	\$15000 - 19999	132	8.2 %
11	\$20000 - 24999	123	7.7 %
12	\$25000 OR MORE	421	26.2 %
13	REFUSED	48	3.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	523	32.6 %
98	DK	11	0.7 %
99	NA	4	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,068 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 13.00

Location: 101-102 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

STANDUP: STAND UP FOR YOUR OWN OPINION

Question: Some people think that it's important to stand up for your own opinion even if it makes others around you uncomfortable. Others think that it's better to keep your views to yourself if they would make others around you uncomfortable. Which position comes closer to your view?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SHOULD STAND UP REGARDLESS	890	55.4 %
2	KEEP IT YOURSELF IF OFFEND OTHERS	607	37.8 %
3	NEITHER	75	4.7 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	22	1.4 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,572 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 442-442 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SELFIRST: TAKE CARE OF YOURSELF FIRST BEFORE OTHERS

Question: As I read each of the following statements, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with it. You have to take care of yourself first, and if you have any energy left over, then help other people.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	244	15.2 %
2	AGREE	750	46.7 %
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	168	10.5 %
4	DISAGREE	356	22.2 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	70	4.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	10	0.6 %
9	NA	8	0.5 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,588 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 443-443 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RICHPOOR: OK TO GET RICH EVEN IF OTHERS POOR

Question: As I read each of the following statements, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with it. People should be allowed to accumulate as much wealth as they can even if some make millions while others live in poverty.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	182	11.3 %
2	AGREE	725	45.1 %
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	178	11.1 %
4	DISAGREE	382	23.8 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	91	5.7 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	38	2.4 %
9	NA	10	0.6 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,558 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 444-444 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

OPOUTCME: SHOULD AMER PROMOTE EQ OPPTY OR EQ OUTCOMES?

Question: Some people think America should promote equal opportunity for all, that is, allowing everyone to compete for jobs and wealth on a fair and even basis. Other people think America should promote equal outcomes, that is, insuring that everyone has a decent standard of living and that there are only small differences in wealth and income between the top and bottom in society. Which do you favor: promoting equal opportunity or promoting equal outcomes?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	PROMOTE EQUAL OPPOTUNITY	1347	83.9 %
2	PROMOTE EQUAL OUTCOMES	194	12.1 %
3	OTHER	17	1.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	41	2.6 %
9	NA	7	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,558 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 445-445 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

UNITED: AMERICANS UNITED OR DIVIDED ON VALUES

Question: There is a lot of discussion today about whether Americans are divided or united. Some say that Americans are united and in agreement about the most important values. Others think that Americans are greatly divided when it comes to the most important values. What is your view about this?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AMERICAN ARE UNITED	518	32.3 %
2	AMERICAN ARE DIVIDED	1009	62.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	73	4.5 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,527 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 446-446 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

OBTOHELP: OBLIGATION TO CARE FOR ILL PARENT OR SPOUSE?

Question: Sometimes people are asked to make great sacrifices for others in their family by caring for an elderly parent or a spouse who is seriously ill or injured. When someone is asked to give such care, is it their obligation to do so, or should they give care only if they really want to?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	IT IS OBLIGATION	890	55.4 %
2	ONLY IF THEY WANT TO	626	39.0 %
3	OTHER	57	3.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	24	1.5 %
9	NA	9	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,573 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 447-447 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

LFEGOD: LIFE OUTCOME DECIDED BY GOD

Question: I'm going to read some statements that give reasons why a person's life turns out well or poorly. (Hand card BZ to respondent.) As I read each one, tell me whether you think it is very important, important, somewhat important, or not at all important for how somebody's life turns out? Such things are decided by God?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT	372	23.2 %
2	IMPORTANT	450	28.0 %
3	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	361	22.5 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	364	22.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	47	2.9 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,547 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 448-448 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

LFEGENES: SOME WITH BETTER GENES THAN OTHERS

Question: I'm going to read some statements that give reasons why a person's life turns out well or poorly. (Hand card BZ to respondent.) As I read each one, tell me whether you think it is very important, important, somewhat important, or not at all important for how somebody's life turns out? Some people are born with better genes than others?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT	150	9.3 %
2	IMPORTANT	446	27.8 %
3	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	472	29.4 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	484	30.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	43	2.7 %
9	NA	11	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,552 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 449-449 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

LFESOCTY: SOCIETY GIVES SOME HEAD START & HOLDS BACK OTHERS

Question: I'm going to read some statements that give reasons why a person's life turns out well or poorly. (Hand card BZ to respondent.) As I read each one, tell me whether you think it is very important, important, somewhat important, or not at all important for how somebody's life turns out? Society gives some people a head start and holds others back.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT	213	13.3 %
2	IMPORTANT	598	37.2 %
3	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	524	32.6 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	215	13.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	44	2.7 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,550 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 450-450 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

LFEHRDWK: SOME PEOPLE WORK HARDER THAN OTHERS

Question: I'm going to read some statements that give reasons why a person's life turns out well or poorly. (Hand card BZ to respondent.) As I read each one, tell me whether you think it is very important, important, somewhat important, or not at all important for how somebody's life turns out? Some people use their will power and work harder than others.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT	911	56.7 %
2	IMPORTANT	580	36.1 %
3	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	70	4.4 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	20	1.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	15	0.9 %
9	NA	10	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,581 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 451-451 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

LFECHNCE: LIFE IS A MATTER OF CHANCE

Question: I'm going to read some statements that give reasons why a person's life turns out well or poorly. (Hand card BZ to respondent.) As I read each one, tell me whether you think it is very important, important, somewhat important, or not at all important for how somebody's life turns out? It's just a matter of chance?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT	55	3.4 %
2	IMPORTANT	250	15.6 %
3	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	591	36.8 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	668	41.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	32	2.0 %
9	NA	10	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,564 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 452-452 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

BIGBAND: LIKE OR DISLIKE BIGBAND MUSIC

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some types of music. Can you tell me which of the statements on this card comes closest to your feeling about each type of music (Hand card BS to respondent.) Let's start with big band music. Do you like it very much, like it, have mixed feelings, dislike it, dislike it very much, or is this a type of music that you don't know much about?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIKE VERY MUCH	269	16.7 %
2	LIKE IT	588	36.6 %
3	MIXED FEELINGS	290	18.1 %
4	DISLIKE IT	230	14.3 %
5	DISLIKE VERY MUCH	53	3.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK MUCH ABOUT IT	164	10.2 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,430 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 453-453 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

BLUGRASS: LIKE OR DISLIKE BLUEGRASS MUSIC

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some types of music. Can you tell me which of the statements on this card comes closest to your feeling about each type of music (Hand card BS to respondent.) What about bluegrass music. Do you like it very much, like it, have mixed feelings, dislike it, dislike it very much, or is this a type of music that you don't know much about?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIKE VERY MUCH	145	9.0 %
2	LIKE IT	562	35.0 %
3	MIXED FEELINGS	411	25.6 %
4	DISLIKE IT	255	15.9 %
5	DISLIKE VERY MUCH	59	3.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK MUCH ABOUT IT	163	10.1 %
9	NA	11	0.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,432 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 454-454 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

COUNTRY: LIKE OR DISLIKE COUNTRY WESTERN MUSIC

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some types of music. Can you tell me which of the statements on this card comes closest to your feeling about each type of music (Hand card BS to respondent.) What about country/western music. Do you like it very much, like it, have mixed feelings, dislike it, dislike it very much, or is this a type of music that you don't know much about?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIKE VERY MUCH	385	24.0 %
2	LIKE IT	592	36.9 %
3	MIXED FEELINGS	364	22.7 %
4	DISLIKE IT	167	10.4 %
5	DISLIKE VERY MUCH	66	4.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK MUCH ABOUT IT	22	1.4 %
9	NA	10	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,574 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 455-455 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

BLUES: LIKE OR DISLIKE BLUES OR R AND B MUSIC

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some types of music. Can you tell me which of the statements on this card comes closest to your feeling about each type of music (Hand card BS to respondent.) What about blues or rhythm and blues music. Do you like it very much, like it, have mixed feelings, dislike it, dislike it very much, or is this a type of music that you don't know much about?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIKE VERY MUCH	221	13.8 %
2	LIKE IT	669	41.7 %
3	MIXED FEELINGS	367	22.9 %
4	DISLIKE IT	220	13.7 %
5	DISLIKE VERY MUCH	61	3.8 %
Missing Data			

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK MUCH ABOUT IT	56	3.5 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,538 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 456-456 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MUSICALS: LIKE OR DISLIKE BROADWAY MUSICALS

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some types of music. Can you tell me which of the statements on this card comes closest to your feeling about each type of music (Hand card BS to respondent.) What about Broadway musicals/show tunes. Do you like it very much, like it, have mixed feelings, dislike it, dislike it very much, or is this a type of music that you don't know much about?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIKE VERY MUCH	235	14.6 %
2	LIKE IT	562	35.0 %
3	MIXED FEELINGS	369	23.0 %
4	DISLIKE IT	281	17.5 %
5	DISLIKE VERY MUCH	68	4.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK MUCH ABOUT IT	77	4.8 %
9	NA	14	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,515 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 457-457 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CLASSICL: LIKE OR DISLIKE CLASSICAL MUSIC

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some types of music. Can you tell me which of the statements on this card comes closest to your feeling about each type of music (Hand card BS to respondent.) What about classical music-symphony & chamber music. Do you like it very much, like it, have mixed feelings, dislike it, dislike it very much, or is this a type of music that you don't know much about?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIKE VERY MUCH	281	17.5 %
2	LIKE IT	478	29.8 %
3	MIXED FEELINGS	371	23.1 %
4	DISLIKE IT	263	16.4 %
5	DISLIKE VERY MUCH	136	8.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK MUCH ABOUT IT	66	4.1 %
9	NA	11	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,529 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 458-458 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FOLK: LIKE OR DISLIKE FOLK MUSIC

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some types of music. Can you tell me which of the statements on this card comes closest to your feeling about each type of music (Hand card BS to respondent.) What about folk music. Do you like it very much, like it, have mixed feelings, dislike it, dislike it very much, or is this a type of music that you don't know much about?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIKE VERY MUCH	130	8.1 %
2	LIKE IT	553	34.4 %
3	MIXED FEELINGS	472	29.4 %
4	DISLIKE IT	274	17.1 %
5	DISLIKE VERY MUCH	87	5.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK MUCH ABOUT IT	78	4.9 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,516 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 459-459 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GOSPEL: LIKE OR DISLIKE GOSPEL MUSIC

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some types of music. Can you tell me which of the statements on this card comes closest to your feeling about each type of music (Hand card BS to respondent.) What about gospel music. Do you like it very much, like it, have mixed feelings, dislike it, dislike it very much, or is this a type of music that you don't know much about?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIKE VERY MUCH	356	22.2 %
2	LIKE IT	571	35.6 %
3	MIXED FEELINGS	364	22.7 %
4	DISLIKE IT	197	12.3 %
5	DISLIKE VERY MUCH	71	4.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK MUCH ABOUT IT	35	2.2 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,559 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 460-460 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

JAZZ: LIKE OR DISLIKE JAZZ

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some types of music. Can you tell me which of the statements on this card comes closest to your feeling about each type of music (Hand card BS to respondent.) What about jazz music. Do you like it very much, like it, have mixed feelings, dislike it, dislike it very much, or is this a type of music that you don't know much about?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIKE VERY MUCH	254	15.8 %
2	LIKE IT	540	33.6 %
3	MIXED FEELINGS	393	24.5 %
4	DISLIKE IT	297	18.5 %
5	DISLIKE VERY MUCH	69	4.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK MUCH ABOUT IT	38	2.4 %
9	NA	15	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,553 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 461-461 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

LATIN: LIKE OR DISLIKE LATIN MUSIC

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some types of music. Can you tell me which of the statements on this card comes closest to your feeling about each type of music (Hand card BS to respondent.) What about latin/mariachi/salsa music. Do you like it very much, like it, have mixed feelings, dislike it, dislike it very much, or is this a type of music that you don't know much about?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIKE VERY MUCH	85	5.3 %
2	LIKE IT	325	20.2 %
3	MIXED FEELINGS	416	25.9 %
4	DISLIKE IT	403	25.1 %
5	DISLIKE VERY MUCH	144	9.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK MUCH ABOUT IT	221	13.8 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,373 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 462-462 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MOODEASY: LIKE OR DISLIKE EASY LISTENING MUSIC

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some types of music. Can you tell me which of the statements on this card comes closest to your feeling about each type of music (Hand card BS to respondent.) What about mood/easy listening music. Do you like it very much, like it, have mixed feelings, dislike it, dislike it very much, or is this a type of music that you don't know much about?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIKE VERY MUCH	251	15.6 %
2	LIKE IT	698	43.5 %
3	MIXED FEELINGS	323	20.1 %
4	DISLIKE IT	200	12.5 %
5	DISLIKE VERY MUCH	49	3.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK MUCH ABOUT IT	72	4.5 %
9	NA	13	0.8 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,521 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 463-463 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NEWAGE: LIKE OR DISLIKE NEW AGE MUSIC

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some types of music. Can you tell me which of the statements on this card comes closest to your feeling about each type of music (Hand card BS to respondent.) What about new age/space music. Do you like it very much, like it, have mixed feelings, dislike it, dislike it very much, or is this a type of music that you don't know much about?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIKE VERY MUCH	48	3.0 %
2	LIKE IT	186	11.6 %
3	MIXED FEELINGS	269	16.7 %
4	DISLIKE IT	429	26.7 %
5	DISLIKE VERY MUCH	368	22.9 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK MUCH ABOUT IT	292	18.2 %
9	NA	14	0.9 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,300 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 464-464 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

OPERA: LIKE OR DISLIKE OPERA

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some types of music. Can you tell me which of the statements on this card comes closest to your feeling about each type of music (Hand card BS to respondent.) What about opera music. Do you like it very much, like it, have mixed feelings, dislike it, dislike it very much, or is this a type of music that you don't know much about?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIKE VERY MUCH	73	4.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	LIKE IT	257	16.0 %
3	MIXED FEELINGS	359	22.4 %
4	DISLIKE IT	515	32.1 %
5	DISLIKE VERY MUCH	306	19.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK MUCH ABOUT IT	83	5.2 %
9	NA	13	0.8 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,510 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 465-465 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RAP: LIKE OR DISLIKE RAP MUSIC

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some types of music. Can you tell me which of the statements on this card comes closest to your feeling about each type of music (Hand card BS to respondent.) What about rap music. Do you like it very much, like it, have mixed feelings, dislike it, dislike it very much, or is this a type of music that you don't know much about?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIKE VERY MUCH	44	2.7 %
2	LIKE IT	159	9.9 %
3	MIXED FEELINGS	284	17.7 %
4	DISLIKE IT	433	27.0 %
5	DISLIKE VERY MUCH	614	38.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK MUCH ABOUT IT	61	3.8 %
9	NA	11	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,534 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 466-466 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

REGGAE: LIKE OR DISLIKE REGGAE MUSIC

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some types of music. Can you tell me which of the statements on this card comes closest to your feeling about each type of music (Hand card BS to respondent.) What about reggae music. Do you like it very much, like it, have mixed feelings, dislike it, dislike it very much, or is this a type of music that you don't know much about?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIKE VERY MUCH	84	5.2 %
2	LIKE IT	362	22.5 %
3	MIXED FEELINGS	340	21.2 %
4	DISLIKE IT	297	18.5 %
5	DISLIKE VERY MUCH	217	13.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK MUCH ABOUT IT	295	18.4 %
9	NA	11	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,300 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 467-467 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CONROCK: LIKE OR DISLIKE CONTEMPORARY ROCK MUSIC

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some types of music. Can you tell me which of the statements on this card comes closest to your feeling about each type of music (Hand card BS to respondent.) What about contemporary pop/rock music. Do you like it very much, like it, have mixed feelings, dislike it, dislike it very much, or is this a type of music that you don't know much about?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIKE VERY MUCH	206	12.8 %
2	LIKE IT	645	40.2 %
3	MIXED FEELINGS	296	18.4 %
4	DISLIKE IT	245	15.3 %
5	DISLIKE VERY MUCH	152	9.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK MUCH ABOUT IT	50	3.1 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,544 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 468-468 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

OLDIES: LIKE OR DISLIKE OLDIES ROCK MUSIC

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some types of music. Can you tell me which of the statements on this card comes closest to your feeling about each type of music (Hand card BS to respondent.) What about oldies rock music. Do you like it very much, like it, have mixed feelings, dislike it, dislike it very much, or is this a type of music that you don't know much about?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIKE VERY MUCH	405	25.2 %
2	LIKE IT	688	42.8 %
3	MIXED FEELINGS	213	13.3 %
4	DISLIKE IT	172	10.7 %
5	DISLIKE VERY MUCH	77	4.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK MUCH ABOUT IT	41	2.6 %
9	NA	10	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,555 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 469-469 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HVYMETAL: LIKE OR DISLIKE HEAVY METAL MUSIC

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some types of music. Can you tell me which of the statements on this card comes closest to your feeling about each type of music (Hand card BS to respondent.) What about heavy metal music. Do you like it very much, like it, have mixed feelings, dislike it, dislike it very much, or is this a type of music that you don't know much about?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIKE VERY MUCH	48	3.0 %
2	LIKE IT	123	7.7 %
3	MIXED FEELINGS	189	11.8 %
4	DISLIKE IT	400	24.9 %
5	DISLIKE VERY MUCH	766	47.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK MUCH ABOUT IT	70	4.4 %
9	NA	10	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,526 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 470-470 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

ATTSPRTS: ATTENDED SPORTS EVENT IN LST YR

Question: Next I'd like to ask about some leisure or recreational activities that people do during their free time. As I read each activity, can you tell me if it is something you have done in the past twelve months? Let's begin with attending an amateur or professional sports event. Did you do that within the past twelve months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	855	53.2 %
2	NO	739	46.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	2	0.1 %
9	NA	10	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,594 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 471-471 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

VISITART: VISITED ART MUSEUM OR GALLERY IN LST YR

Question: Next I'd like to ask about some leisure or recreational activities that people do during their free time. As I read each activity, can you tell me if it is something you have done in the past twelve months? Did you visit an art museum or gallery within the past twelve months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	646	40.2 %
2	NO	947	59.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,593 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 472-472 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MAKEART: MADE ART OR CRAFT OBJECT IN LST YR

Question: Next I'd like to ask about some leisure or recreational activities that people do during their free time. As I read each activity, can you tell me if it is something you have done in the past twelve months? Did you make art or craft objects such as pottery, woodworking, quilts, or paintings within the past twelve months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	642	40.0 %
2	NO	951	59.2 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,593 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 473-473 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AUTORACE: ATTENDED AUTO RACE IN LST YR

Question: Next I'd like to ask about some leisure or recreational activities that people do during their free time. As I read each activity, can you tell me if it is something you have done in the past twelve months? Did you go to an auto, stock car, or motorcycle race within the past twelve months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	245	15.3 %
2	NO	1348	83.9 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,593 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 474-474 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CAMPING: WENT CAMPING IN LST YR

Question: Next I'd like to ask about some leisure or recreational activities that people do during their free time. As I read each activity, can you tell me if it is something you have done in the past twelve months? Did you go camping, hiking, or canoeing within the past twelve months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	664	41.3 %
2	NO	929	57.8 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,593 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 475-475 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GARDEN: GREW VEGETABLES OR FLOWERS IN GARDEN IN LST YR

Question: Next I'd like to ask about some leisure or recreational activities that people do during their free time. As I read each activity, can you tell me if it is something you have done in the past twelve months? Did you grow vegetables, flowers, or shrubs in a garden within the past twelve months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	965	60.1 %
2	NO	629	39.2 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	11	0.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,594 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 476-476 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

DANCE: ATTENDED DANCE PERF IN LST YR

Question: Next I'd like to ask about some leisure or recreational activities that people do during their free time. As I read each activity, can you tell me if it is something you have done in the past twelve months? Did you go to a live ballet or dance performance, not including school performances within the past twelve months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	314	19.6 %
2	NO	1279	79.6 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,593 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 477-477 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GOMUSIC: ATTENDED CLASSICAL OR OPERA IN LST YR

Question: Next I'd like to ask about some leisure or recreational activities that people do during their free time. As I read each activity, can you tell me if it is something you have done in the past twelve months? Did you go to a classical music or opera performance, not including school performances within the past twelve months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	254	15.8 %
2	NO	1338	83.3 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	13	0.8 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,592 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 478-478 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HUNTFISH: WENT HUNTING OR FISHING IN LST YR

Question: Next I'd like to ask about some leisure or recreational activities that people do during their free time. As I read each activity, can you tell me if it is something you have done in the past twelve months? Did you go hunting or fishing within the past twelve months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	560	34.9 %
2	NO	1031	64.2 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	14	0.9 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,591 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 479-479 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PERFORM: PERFORMED MUSIC, DANCE, THEATER IN LST YR

Question: Next I'd like to ask about some leisure or recreational activities that people do during their free time. As I read each activity, can you tell me if it is something you have done in the past twelve months? Did you take part in a music, dance, or theatrical performance within the past twelve months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	154	9.6 %
2	NO	1436	89.4 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	15	0.9 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,590 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 480-480 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

DOSPORTS: PLAYED SPORTS IN LST YR

Question: Next I'd like to ask about some leisure or recreational activities that people do during their free time. As I read each activity, can you tell me if it is something you have done in the past twelve months? Did you participate in any sports activity such as softball, basketball, swimming, golf, bowling, skiing, or tennis within the past twelve months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	901	56.1 %
2	NO	693	43.2 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	11	0.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,594 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 481-481 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SEEMOVIE: WENT TO A MOVIE IN LST YR

Question: Next I'd like to ask about some leisure or recreational activities that people do during their free time. As I read each activity, can you tell me if it is something you have done in the past twelve months? Did you go out to see a movie in a theater within the past twelve months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1114	69.4 %
2	NO	480	29.9 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	11	0.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,594 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 482-482 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

USEVCR: USED VCR IN LST YR

Question: Next I'd like to ask about some leisure or recreational activities that people do during their free time. As I read each activity, can you tell me if it is something you have done in the past twelve months? Did you record a TV program so you could watch it later within the past twelve months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	951	59.2 %
2	NO	643	40.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	11	0.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,594 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 483-483 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PLYMUSIC: PLAYED A MUSICAL INSTRUMENT IN LST YR

Question: Next I'd like to ask about some leisure or recreational activities that people do during their free time. As I read each activity, can you tell me if it is something you have done in the past twelve months? Did you play a musical instrument like a piano, guitar, or violin within the past twelve months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	372	23.2 %
2	NO	1218	75.8 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	15	0.9 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,590 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 484-484 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

TVSHOWS: HOW OFTEN R WATCHES TV DRAMA OR SITCOMS

Question: I'll now ask about some different kinds of television shows. Would you tell me how often you watch prime-time drama or situation comedy programs? Would you say every day, several times a week, several times a month, rarely, or never?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DAILY	336	20.9 %
2	SEVRL DAYS IN WEEK	587	36.6 %
3	SEVRL DAYS IN MONTH	275	17.1 %
4	RARELY	296	18.4 %
5	NEVER	101	6.3 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	3	0.2 %
9	NA	8	0.5 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,595 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 485-485 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

TVNEWS: HOW OFTEN R WATCHES TV NEWS

Question: I'll now ask about some different kinds of television shows. Would you tell me how often you watch world or national news programs? Would you say every day, several times a week, several times a month, rarely, or never?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DAILY	1024	63.8 %
2	SEVRL DAYS IN WEEK	344	21.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	SEVRL DAYS IN MONTH	100	6.2 %
4	RARELY	89	5.5 %
5	NEVER	40	2.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	8	0.5 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,597 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 486-486 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

TVPBS: HOW OFTEN R WATCHES PUBLIC TV SHOWS

Question: I'll now ask about some different kinds of television shows. Would you tell me how often you watch programs shown on public television? Would you say every day, several times a week, several times a month, rarely, or never?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DAILY	298	18.6 %
2	SEVRL DAYS IN WEEK	477	29.7 %
3	SEVRL DAYS IN MONTH	351	21.9 %
4	RARELY	307	19.1 %
5	NEVER	158	9.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	7	0.4 %
9	NA	8	0.5 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,591 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 487-487 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

JUDGEART: ONLY A FEW PEOPLE CAN JUDGE ART

Question: I'm going to read several statements. After I read each one, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with it. For example ... Only a few people have the knowledge and ability to judge excellence in the arts.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	87	5.4 %
2	AGREE	642	40.0 %
3	DISAGREE	614	38.2 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	139	8.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	107	6.7 %
9	NA	17	1.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,482 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 488-488 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

TRSTPROF: TRUST PROFS JUDGEMENTS IN ASSIGNING READING

Question: I'm going to read several statements. After I read each one, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with it. For example ... I trust the judgment of the teachers and professors who decide what high school and college students should be reading.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	69	4.3 %
2	AGREE	886	55.2 %
3	DISAGREE	482	30.0 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	75	4.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	81	5.0 %
9	NA	13	0.8 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,512 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 489-489 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CLASSICS: HS AND COLL STUDTS READ TOO MANY CLASSICS

Question: I'm going to read several statements. After I read each one, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with it. For example ... High schools and colleges make students spend too much time reading "classics" that have little relevance in today's world.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	59	3.7 %
2	AGREE	483	30.1 %
3	DISAGREE	761	47.4 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	128	8.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	160	10.0 %
9	NA	15	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,431 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 490-490 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRTBOOKS: APPEAL OF GREATEST BOOKS IS UNIVERSAL

Question: I'm going to read several statements. After I read each one, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with it. For example ... The greatest books are universal in their appeal: there is not "white literature," "black literature," or "Asian literature," there is only human literature.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	189	11.8 %
2	AGREE	938	58.4 %
3	DISAGREE	295	18.4 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	34	2.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	133	8.3 %
9	NA	17	1.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,456 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 491-491 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MODPAINT: MODERN PAINTING IS JUST SLAPPED ON

Question: I'm going to read several statements. After I read each one, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with it. For example ... Modern painting is just slapped one: a child could do it.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	72	4.5 %
2	AGREE	528	32.9 %
3	DISAGREE	720	44.8 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	153	9.5 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	117	7.3 %
9	NA	16	1.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,473 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 492-492 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

ENGLISH: BETTER IF ENGLISH IS ONLY LANGUAGE USED IN SCHOOL

Question: I'm going to read several statements. After I read each one, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with it. For example ... It is better for everyone if English is the only language used in the public schools.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	174	10.8 %
2	AGREE	567	35.3 %
3	DISAGREE	655	40.8 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	141	8.8 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	56	3.5 %
9	NA	13	0.8 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,537 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 493-493 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PCLIT: SHAME TRADL AMER LIT IGNORED FOR MINORITY LIT

Question: I'm going to read several statements. After I read each one, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with it. For example ... It is a shame when traditional American literature is ignored while other works are promoted because they are by women or by members of minority groups.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	115	7.2 %
2	AGREE	834	51.9 %
3	DISAGREE	412	25.7 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	58	3.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	172	10.7 %
9	NA	15	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,419 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 494-494 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

EXCELART: ARTISTIC EXCELLENCE FOUND IN FOLK ART

Question: I'm going to read several statements. After I read each one, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with it. For example ... Artistic excellence can be found in popular and folk culture just as much as in the fine arts.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	182	11.3 %
2	AGREE	1208	75.2 %
3	DISAGREE	67	4.2 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	6	0.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	130	8.1 %
9	NA	13	0.8 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,463 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 495-495 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HOSTHOME: UNCOMF ENTERTAINING STRANGERS AT HOME

Question: I'm going to read several statements. After I read each one, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with it. For example ... I would feel uncomfortable entertaining people I don't know well in my home.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	116	7.2 %
2	AGREE	727	45.3 %
3	DISAGREE	619	38.5 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	98	6.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	32	2.0 %
9	NA	14	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,560 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 496-496 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FRDCREAT: DESIR QUALITIES OF FRIEND: CREATIVE

Question: I'm going to read seven qualities one might look for in a personal friend. All of the qualities may be desirable ones for a personal friend, but I'm interested in those that are most important to you. (Hand card CB to respondent.) As I read each one, could you tell me whether it is extremely important, very important, fairly important, not too important, or not at all important. The first quality is if that person is creative.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	61	3.8 %
2	VERY IMPORTANT	308	19.2 %
3	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	593	36.9 %
4	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	472	29.4 %
5	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	135	8.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	24	1.5 %
9	NA	13	0.8 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,569 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 497-497 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FRDCULTR: DESIR QUALITIES OF FRIEND: CULTURED

Question: I'm going to read seven qualities one might look for in a personal friend. All of the qualities may be desirable ones for a personal friend, but I'm interested in those that are most important to you. (Hand card CB to respondent.) As I read each one, could you tell me whether it is extremely important, very important, fairly important, not too important, or not at all important. The second quality is if that person is cultured.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	52	3.2 %
2	VERY IMPORTANT	275	17.1 %
3	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	596	37.1 %
4	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	494	30.8 %
5	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	148	9.2 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	26	1.6 %
9	NA	15	0.9 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,565 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 498-498 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FRDDYNAM: DESIR QUALITIES OF FRIEND: DYNAMIC

Question: I'm going to read seven qualities one might look for in a personal friend. All of the qualities may be desirable ones for a personal friend, but I'm interested in those that are most important to you. (Hand card CB to respondent.) As I read each one, could you tell me whether it is extremely important, very important, fairly important, not too important, or not at all important. The third quality is if that person is dynamic.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	50	3.1 %
2	VERY IMPORTANT	254	15.8 %
3	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	591	36.8 %
4	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	520	32.4 %
5	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	135	8.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	41	2.6 %
9	NA	15	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,550 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 499-499 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FRDFUN: DESIR QUALITIES OF FRIEND: FUN-LOVING

Question: I'm going to read seven qualities one might look for in a personal friend. All of the qualities may be desirable ones for a personal friend, but I'm interested in those that are most important to you. (Hand card CB to respondent.) As I read each one, could you tell me whether it is extremely important, very important, fairly important, not too important, or not at all important. The fourth quality is if that person is fun-loving.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	274	17.1 %
2	VERY IMPORTANT	791	49.3 %
3	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	433	27.0 %
4	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	70	4.4 %
5	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	16	1.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	10	0.6 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,584 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 500-500 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FRDHONST: DESIR QUALITIES OF FRIEND: HONEST

Question: I'm going to read seven qualities one might look for in a personal friend. All of the qualities may be desirable ones for a personal friend, but I'm interested in those that are most important to you. (Hand card CB to respondent.) As I read each one, could you tell me whether it is extremely important, very important, fairly important, not too important, or not at all important. The fifth quality is if that person is honest.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	1176	73.2 %
2	VERY IMPORTANT	382	23.8 %
3	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	25	1.6 %
4	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	4	0.2 %
5	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	6	0.4 %
9	NA	13	0.8 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,587 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 501-501 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FRDINTEL: DESIR QUALITIES OF FRIEND: INTELLIGENT

Question: I'm going to read seven qualities one might look for in a personal friend. All of the qualities may be desirable ones for a personal friend, but I'm interested in those that are most important to you. (Hand card CB to respondent.) As I read each one, could you tell me whether it is extremely important, very important, fairly important, not too important, or not at all important. The sixth quality is if that person is intelligent.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	245	15.3 %
2	VERY IMPORTANT	531	33.1 %
3	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	576	35.9 %
4	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	205	12.8 %
5	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	25	1.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	12	0.7 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,582 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 502-502 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FRDRESP: DESIR QUALITIES OF FRIEND: RESPONSIBLE

Question: I'm going to read seven qualities one might look for in a personal friend. All of the qualities may be desirable ones for a personal friend, but I'm interested in those that are most important to you. (Hand card CB to respondent.) As I read each one, could you tell me whether it is extremely important, very important, fairly important, not too important, or not at all important. The seventh quality is if that person is responsible.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	712	44.3 %
2	VERY IMPORTANT	706	44.0 %
3	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	146	9.1 %
4	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	19	1.2 %
5	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	3	0.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	9	0.6 %
9	NA	11	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,586 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 503-503 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

IMPFINAN: IMP TO R OF FINANCIAL SECURITY

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some things that different people value. Some people say these things are very important to them. Other people say they are not so important. Please tell me how important each thing is to you personally, using the responses on this card (Hand card BU to respondent). How about being financially secure? Is it one of the most important values you hold, very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not at all important?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ONE OF MOST IMPORTANT	427	26.6 %
2	VERY IMPORTANT	817	50.9 %
3	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	290	18.1 %
4	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	49	3.1 %
5	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	6	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	5	0.3 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,589 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 504-504 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

IMPMAR: IMP TO R OF BEING MARRIED

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some things that different people value. Some people say these things are very important to them. Other people say they are not so important. Please tell me how important each thing is to you personally, using the responses on this card (Hand card BU to respondent). How about being married? Is it one of the most important values you hold, very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not at all important?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ONE OF MOST IMPORTANT	305	19.0 %
2	VERY IMPORTANT	501	31.2 %
3	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	350	21.8 %
4	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	282	17.6 %
5	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	149	9.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	6	0.4 %
9	NA	13	0.8 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,587 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 505-505 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

IMPKIDS: IMP TO R OF HAVING CHILDREN

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some things that different people value. Some people say these things are very important to them. Other people say they are not so important. Please tell me how important each thing is to you personally, using the responses on this card (Hand card BU to respondent). How about having children? Is it one of the most important values you hold, very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not at all important?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ONE OF MOST IMPORTANT	375	23.3 %
2	VERY IMPORTANT	609	37.9 %
3	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	306	19.1 %
4	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	169	10.5 %
5	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	125	7.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	8	0.5 %
9	NA	14	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,584 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 506-506 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

IMPGOD: IMP TO R OF HAVING FAITH IN GOD

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some things that different people value. Some people say these things are very important to them. Other people say they are not so important. Please tell me how important each thing is to you personally, using the responses on this card (Hand card BU to respondent). How about having faith in God Is it one of the most important values you hold, very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not at all important?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ONE OF MOST IMPORTANT	733	45.6 %
2	VERY IMPORTANT	506	31.5 %
3	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	189	11.8 %
4	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	90	5.6 %
5	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	68	4.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	6	0.4 %
9	NA	14	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,586 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 507-507 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

IMPTHNGS: IMP TO R OF HAVING NICE THINGS

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some things that different people value. Some people say these things are very important to them. Other people say they are not so important. Please tell me how important each thing is to you personally, using the responses on this card (Hand card BU to respondent). How about having nice things? Is it one of the most important values you hold, very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not at all important?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ONE OF MOST IMPORTANT	69	4.3 %
2	VERY IMPORTANT	343	21.4 %
3	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	736	45.8 %
4	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	361	22.5 %
5	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	76	4.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	4	0.2 %
9	NA	17	1.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,585 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 508-508 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

IMPCULTR: IMP TO R OF BEING CULTURED

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some things that different people value. Some people say these things are very important to them. Other people say they are not so important. Please tell me how important each thing is to you personally, using the responses on this card (Hand card BU to respondent). How about being cultured? Is it one of the most important values you hold, very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not at all important?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ONE OF MOST IMPORTANT	60	3.7 %
2	VERY IMPORTANT	388	24.2 %
3	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	687	42.8 %
4	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	333	20.7 %
5	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	99	6.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	20	1.2 %
9	NA	19	1.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,567 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 509-509 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

IMPJOB: IMP TO R OF HAVING A FULFILLING JOB

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some things that different people value. Some people say these things are very important to them. Other people say they are not so important. Please tell me how important each thing is to you personally, using the responses on this card (Hand card BU to respondent). How about having a fulfilling job? Is it one of the most important values you hold, very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not at all important?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ONE OF MOST IMPORTANT	337	21.0 %
2	VERY IMPORTANT	892	55.5 %
3	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	257	16.0 %
4	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	67	4.2 %
5	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	32	2.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	7	0.4 %
9	NA	14	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,585 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 510-510 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

IMPSELF: IMP TO R OF BEING SELF-SUFFICIENT

Question: I'm going to read you a list of some things that different people value. Some people say these things are very important to them. Other people say they are not so important. Please tell me how important each thing is to you personally, using the responses on this card (Hand card BU to respondent). How about being self-sufficient and not having to depend on others? Is it one of the most important values you hold, very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not at all important?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ONE OF MOST IMPORTANT	705	43.9 %
2	VERY IMPORTANT	716	44.6 %
3	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	141	8.8 %
4	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	24	1.5 %
5	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	6	0.4 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,588 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 511-511 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MOSTIMP1: MOST IMP EVENT IN LST 60 YRS

Question: The next questions concern how people think about the past. There have been a lot of national and world events and changes over the past 60 years-say, from about 1930 right up until today. Would you mention one or two such events or changes that seem to you to have been especially important? There aren't any right or wrong answers to the question-just whatever national or world events or changes over the past 60 years that come to mind as important to you. If only one mention ask: Is there any other national or world event or change over the past 60 years that you feel was especially important?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ATOMIC ENERGY OR NUCLEAR POWER	18	1.1 %
2	MEDICINE, HEALTH CARE	28	1.7 %
3	COMMUNICATION/MEDIA	48	3.0 %
5	EDUCATION	14	0.9 %
6	SPACE EXPLORATION	99	6.2 %
18	COMPUTERS/ROBOTICS	20	1.2 %
19	TECHNOLOGCAL CHANGES IN HOME/DAILY LIFE	16	1.0 %
21	DEPRESSION OF 1930S	36	2.2 %
22	SOCIAL SECURITY	9	0.6 %
23	UNEMPLOYMENT	6	0.4 %
24	FARM PROBLEMS	1	0.1 %
25	OIL INDUSTRY	1	0.1 %
26	ENVIRONMENT	6	0.4 %
29	OTHER RECENT OR CURRENT MENTIONS OF ECON. DIFFICULTIES	12	0.7 %
30	OTHER RECENT OR CURRENT MENTIONS OF ECON. IMPROVEMENTS	10	0.6 %
31	WOMEN'S MOVEMENT	48	3.0 %
32	CIVIL RIGHTS	91	5.7 %
33	WOMEN'S MOVEMENT/ANTI-ABORTION (NEGATIVE MENTIONS)	3	0.2 %
34	CIVIL RIGHTS (NEGATIVE MENTIONS)	5	0.3 %
35	AIDS EPIDEMIC	9	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
36	RODNEY KING BEATING	6	0.4 %
37	NEGATIVE ATTITUDINAL CHANGE	0	0.0 %
38	POSITIVE ATTITUDINAL CHANGE	2	0.1 %
39	MORAL DECLINE IN SOCIETY	42	2.6 %
40	RELIGION (POSITIVE MENTIONS)	17	1.1 %
41	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF FDR	9	0.6 %
42	ASSASINATION OF JFK	87	5.4 %
43	LEADERSHIP OR ASSASINATION OF MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR.	16	1.0 %
44	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN/RESIG. OF NIXON (WATERGATE)	4	0.2 %
45	ELECTION/ADMIN. OF REAGAN	6	0.4 %
46	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF TRUMAN	0	0.0 %
47	ELECTION OF PRESENT/POLISH POPE (JOHN PAUL II)	1	0.1 %
48	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF CARTER	0	0.0 %
49	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF KENNEDY	1	0.1 %
50	FALL OF COMMUNISM	277	17.2 %
51	PERSIAN GULF CONFLICT	54	3.4 %
52	REUNIFICATION OF GERMANY	3	0.2 %
53	SOMALIA FAMINE	1	0.1 %
54	WAR IN YOGOSLAVIA	0	0.0 %
55	MISCELLANEOUS FOREIGN	22	1.4 %
56	56	0	0.0 %
57	57	0	0.0 %
58	58	0	0.0 %
59	59	0	0.0 %
60	60	0	0.0 %
61	WORLD HUNGER	0	0.0 %
62	IMMIGRATION IN USA	2	0.1 %
63	OLYMPICS INCLUDE 1980 BOYCOTT	1	0.1 %
64	ISRAEL	4	0.2 %
65	SOUTH AFRICA/APARTHEID	0	0.0 %
66	U.S.S.R. (RUSSIA)	4	0.2 %
67	SHOOTING DOWN OF KOREAN AIRLINER KAL007	0	0.0 %
68	PEACE MOVEMENT	1	0.1 %
69	UNITED NATIONS	4	0.2 %
70	WORLD WAR I	6	0.4 %
71	WORLD WAR II; PEARL HARBOR, HIROSHIMA/NAGASAKI	216	13.4 %
72	KOREAN WAR	3	0.2 %
73	VIET NAM WAR	77	4.8 %
74	CENTRAL AMERICAN CONFLICT	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
75	TERRORISM/HOSTAGE CRISES	1	0.1 %
76	MIDDLE EAST CONFLICT	0	0.0 %
77	NUCLEAR WAR/ARMS RACE	14	0.9 %
78	78	0	0.0 %
79	WAR IN GENERAL	52	3.2 %
141	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF JOHNSON	1	0.1 %
144	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF CLINTON	11	0.7 %
150	WELFARE STATE	3	0.2 %
990	OTHER	41	2.6 %
995	PERSONAL	29	1.8 %
996	NONE, NO EVENT	9	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
998	DK	78	4.9 %
999	NA	21	1.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,507 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 996.00

Location: 512-514 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 998 , 999

MOSTIMP2: 2ND MOST IMP EVENT IN LST 60 YRS

Question: The next questions concern how people think about the past. There have been a lot of national and world events and changes over the past 60 years-say, from about 1930 right up until today. Would you mention one or two such events or changes that seem to you to have been especially important? There aren't any right or wrong answers to the question-just whatever national or world events or changes over the past 60 years that come to mind as important to you. If only one mention ask: Is there any other national or world event or change over the past 60 years that you feel was especially important?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ATOMIC ENERGY OR NUCLEAR POWER	17	1.1 %
2	MEDICINE, HEALTH CARE	45	2.8 %
3	COMMUNICATION/MEDIA	36	2.2 %
5	EDUCATION	19	1.2 %
6	SPACE EXPLORATION	106	6.6 %
18	COMPUTERS/ROBOTICS	28	1.7 %
19	TECHNOLOGCAL CHANGES IN HOME/DAILY LIFE	24	1.5 %
21	DEPRESSION OF 1930S	23	1.4 %
22	SOCIAL SECURITY	3	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
23	UNEMPLOYMENT	4	0.2 %
24	FARM PROBLEMS	0	0.0 %
25	OIL INDUSTRY	1	0.1 %
26	ENVIRONMENT	20	1.2 %
29	OTHER RECENT OR CURRENT MENTIONS OF ECON. DIFFICULTIES	13	0.8 %
30	OTHER RECENT OR CURRENT MENTIONS OF ECON. IMPROVEMENTS	4	0.2 %
31	WOMEN'S MOVEMENT	32	2.0 %
32	CIVIL RIGHTS	95	5.9 %
33	WOMEN'S MOVEMENT/ANTI-ABORTION (NEGATIVE MENTIONS)	3	0.2 %
34	CIVIL RIGHTS (NEGATIVE MENTIONS)	4	0.2 %
35	AIDS EPIDEMIC	18	1.1 %
36	RODNEY KING BEATING	6	0.4 %
37	NEGATIVE ATTITUDINAL CHANGE	2	0.1 %
38	POSITIVE ATTITUDINAL CHANGE	1	0.1 %
39	MORAL DECLINE IN SOCIETY	44	2.7 %
40	RELIGION (POSITIVE MENTIONS)	16	1.0 %
41	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF FDR	4	0.2 %
42	ASSASINATION OF JFK	62	3.9 %
43	LEADERSHIP OR ASSASINATION OF MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR.	15	0.9 %
44	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN/RESIG. OF NIXON (WATERGATE)	8	0.5 %
45	ELECTION/ADMIN. OF REAGAN	0	0.0 %
46	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF TRUMAN	0	0.0 %
47	ELECTION OF PRESENT/POLISH POPE (JOHN PAUL II)	0	0.0 %
48	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF CARTER	1	0.1 %
49	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF KENNEDY	4	0.2 %
50	FALL OF COMMUNISM	190	11.8 %
51	PERSIAN GULF CONFLICT	48	3.0 %
52	REUNIFICATION OF GERMANY	6	0.4 %
53	SOMALIA FAMINE	2	0.1 %
54	WAR IN YOGOSLAVIA	0	0.0 %
55	MISCELLANEOUS FOREIGN	22	1.4 %
60	60	1	0.1 %
61	WORLD HUNGER	2	0.1 %
62	IMMIGRATION IN USA	1	0.1 %
63	OLYMPICS INCLUDE 1980 BOYCOTT	0	0.0 %
64	ISRAEL	2	0.1 %
65	SOUTH AFRICA/APARTHEID	0	0.0 %
66	U.S.S.R. (RUSSIA)	3	0.2 %
67	SHOOTING DOWN OF KOREAN AIRLINER KAL007	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
68	PEACE MOVEMENT	11	0.7 %
69	UNITED NATIONS	1	0.1 %
70	WORLD WAR I	1	0.1 %
71	WORLD WAR II; PEARL HARBOR, HIROSHIMA/NAGASAKI	77	4.8 %
72	KOREAN WAR	5	0.3 %
73	VIET NAM WAR	61	3.8 %
74	CENTRAL AMERICAN CONFLICT	0	0.0 %
75	TERRORISM/HOSTAGE CRISES	7	0.4 %
76	MIDDLE EAST CONFLICT	1	0.1 %
77	NUCLEAR WAR/ARMS RACE	9	0.6 %
79	WAR IN GENERAL	22	1.4 %
141	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF JOHNSON	3	0.2 %
143	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF BUSH	2	0.1 %
144	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF CLINTON	11	0.7 %
150	WELFARE STATE	7	0.4 %
990	OTHER	84	5.2 %
995	PERSONAL	28	1.7 %
996	NONE, NO EVENT	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	226	14.1 %
998	DK	94	5.9 %
999	NA	21	1.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,265 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 995.00

Location: 515-517 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 998 , 999

MOSTIMP3: 3RD IMP EVENT IN LST 60 YRS

Question: The next questions concern how people think about the past. There have been a lot of national and world events and changes over the past 60 years-say, from about 1930 right up until today. Would you mention one or two such events or changes that seem to you to have been especially important? There aren't any right or wrong answers to the question-just whatever national or world events or changes over the past 60 years that come to mind as important to you. If only one mention ask: Is there any other national or world event or change over the past 60 years that you feel was especially important?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ATOMIC ENERGY OR NUCLEAR POWER	3	0.2 %
2	MEDICINE, HEALTH CARE	19	1.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	COMMUNICATION/MEDIA	15	0.9 %
5	EDUCATION	1	0.1 %
6	SPACE EXPLORATION	31	1.9 %
18	COMPUTERS/ROBOTICS	7	0.4 %
19	TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGES IN HOME/DAILY LIFE	8	0.5 %
21	DEPRESSION OF 1930S	3	0.2 %
22	SOCIAL SECURITY	0	0.0 %
23	UNEMPLOYMENT	0	0.0 %
24	FARM PROBLEMS	0	0.0 %
25	OIL INDUSTRY	0	0.0 %
26	ENVIRONMENT	9	0.6 %
29	OTHER RECENT OR CURRENT MENTIONS OF ECON. DIFFICULTIES	2	0.1 %
30	OTHER RECENT OR CURRENT MENTIONS OF ECON. IMPROVEMENTS	0	0.0 %
31	WOMEN'S MOVEMENT	7	0.4 %
32	CIVIL RIGHTS	26	1.6 %
33	WOMEN'S MOVEMENT/ANTI-ABORTION (NEGATIVE MENTIONS)	3	0.2 %
34	CIVIL RIGHTS (NEGATIVE MENTIONS)	2	0.1 %
35	AIDS EPIDEMIC	5	0.3 %
36	RODNEY KING BEATING	2	0.1 %
37	NEGATIVE ATTITUDINAL CHANGE	0	0.0 %
38	POSITIVE ATTITUDINAL CHANGE	3	0.2 %
39	MORAL DECLINE IN SOCIETY	11	0.7 %
40	RELIGION (POSITIVE MENTIONS)	16	1.0 %
41	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF FDR	2	0.1 %
42	ASSASINATION OF JFK	20	1.2 %
43	LEADERSHIP OR ASSASINATION OF MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR.	8	0.5 %
44	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN/RESIG. OF NIXON (WATERGATE)	6	0.4 %
45	ELECTION/ADMIN. OF REAGAN	3	0.2 %
46	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF TRUMAN	0	0.0 %
47	ELECTION OF PRESENT/POLISH POPE (JOHN PAUL II)	0	0.0 %
48	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF CARTER	3	0.2 %
49	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF KENNEDY	0	0.0 %
50	FALL OF COMMUNISM	41	2.6 %
51	PERSIAN GULF CONFLICT	13	0.8 %
52	REUNIFICATION OF GERMANY	1	0.1 %
53	SOMALIA FAMINE	2	0.1 %
54	WAR IN YOGOSLAVIA	1	0.1 %
55	MISCELLANEOUS FOREIGN	22	1.4 %
61	WORLD HUNGER	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
62	IMMIGRATION IN USA	1	0.1 %
63	OLYMPICS INCLUDE 1980 BOYCOTT	0	0.0 %
64	ISRAEL	3	0.2 %
65	SOUTH AFRICA/APARTHEID	1	0.1 %
66	U.S.S.R. (RUSSIA)	2	0.1 %
67	SHOOTING DOWN OF KOREAN AIRLINER KAL007	0	0.0 %
68	PEACE MOVEMENT	0	0.0 %
69	UNITED NATIONS	3	0.2 %
70	WORLD WAR I	0	0.0 %
71	WORLD WAR II; PEARL HARBOR, HIROSHIMA/NAGASAKI	17	1.1 %
72	KOREAN WAR	3	0.2 %
73	VIET NAM WAR	23	1.4 %
74	CENTRAL AMERICAN CONFLICT	0	0.0 %
75	TERRORISM/HOSTAGE CRISES	0	0.0 %
76	MIDDLE EAST CONFLICT	0	0.0 %
77	NUCLEAR WAR/ARMS RACE	2	0.1 %
79	WAR IN GENERAL	2	0.1 %
150	WELFARE STATE	2	0.1 %
990	OTHER	27	1.7 %
995	PERSONAL	9	0.6 %
996	NONE, NO EVENT	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1186	73.8 %
998	DK	8	0.5 %
999	NA	21	1.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 391 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 995.00

Location: 518-520 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 998 , 999

MOSTIMP4: 4TH IMP EVENT IN LST 60 YRS

Question: The next questions concern how people think about the past. There have been a lot of national and world events and changes over the past 60 years-say, from about 1930 right up until today. Would you mention one or two such events or changes that seem to you to have been especially important? There aren't any right or wrong answers to the question-just whatever national or world events or changes over the past 60 years that come to mind as important to you. If only one mention ask: Is there any other national or world event or change over the past 60 years that you feel was especially important?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ATOMIC ENERGY OR NUCLEAR POWER	1	0.1 %
2	MEDICINE, HEALTH CARE	3	0.2 %
3	COMMUNICATION/MEDIA	3	0.2 %
5	EDUCATION	0	0.0 %
6	SPACE EXPLORATION	5	0.3 %
18	COMPUTERS/ROBOTICS	6	0.4 %
19	TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGES IN HOME/DAILY LIFE	2	0.1 %
21	DEPRESSION OF 1930S	2	0.1 %
22	SOCIAL SECURITY	0	0.0 %
23	UNEMPLOYMENT	0	0.0 %
24	FARM PROBLEMS	0	0.0 %
25	OIL INDUSTRY	0	0.0 %
26	ENVIRONMENT	3	0.2 %
29	OTHER RECENT OR CURRENT MENTIONS OF ECON. DIFFICULTIES	1	0.1 %
30	OTHER RECENT OR CURRENT MENTIONS OF ECON. IMPROVEMENTS	0	0.0 %
31	WOMEN'S MOVEMENT	3	0.2 %
32	CIVIL RIGHTS	7	0.4 %
33	WOMEN'S MOVEMENT/ANTI-ABORTION (NEGATIVE MENTIONS)	0	0.0 %
34	CIVIL RIGHTS (NEGATIVE MENTIONS)	2	0.1 %
35	AIDS EPIDEMIC	0	0.0 %
37	NEGATIVE ATTITUDINAL CHANGE	0	0.0 %
38	POSITIVE ATTITUDINAL CHANGE	0	0.0 %
39	MORAL DECLINE IN SOCIETY	7	0.4 %
40	RELIGION (POSITIVE MENTIONS)	16	1.0 %
41	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF FDR	0	0.0 %
42	ASSASSINATION OF JFK	6	0.4 %
43	LEADERSHIP OR ASSASSINATION OF MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR.	2	0.1 %
44	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN/RESIG. OF NIXON (WATERGATE)	3	0.2 %
45	ELECTION/ADMIN. OF REAGAN	0	0.0 %
46	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF TRUMAN	0	0.0 %
47	ELECTION OF PRESENT/POLISH POPE (JOHN PAUL II)	0	0.0 %
48	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF CARTER	0	0.0 %
49	PRESIDENCY/ADMIN. OF KENNEDY	0	0.0 %
50	FALL OF COMMUNISM	12	0.7 %
51	PERSIAN GULF CONFLICT	3	0.2 %
52	REUNIFICATION OF GERMANY	1	0.1 %
53	SOMALIA FAMINE	0	0.0 %
54	WAR IN YUGOSLAVIA	0	0.0 %
55	MISCELLANEOUS FOREIGN	22	1.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
61	WORLD HUNGER	0	0.0 %
62	IMMIGRATION IN USA	0	0.0 %
63	OLYMPICS INCLUDE 1980 BOYCOTT	0	0.0 %
64	ISRAEL	1	0.1 %
65	SOUTH AFRICA/APARTHEID	0	0.0 %
66	U.S.S.R. (RUSSIA)	0	0.0 %
67	SHOOTING DOWN OF KOREAN AIRLINER KAL007	0	0.0 %
68	PEACE MOVEMENT	0	0.0 %
69	UNITED NATIONS	0	0.0 %
70	WORLD WAR I	0	0.0 %
71	WORLD WAR II; PEARL HARBOR, HIROSHIMA/NAGASAKI	5	0.3 %
72	KOREAN WAR	0	0.0 %
73	VIET NAM WAR	9	0.6 %
74	CENTRAL AMERICAN CONFLICT	0	0.0 %
75	TERRORISM/HOSTAGE CRISES	1	0.1 %
76	MIDDLE EAST CONFLICT	0	0.0 %
77	NUCLEAR WAR/ARMS RACE	1	0.1 %
79	WAR IN GENERAL	1	0.1 %
990	OTHER	8	0.5 %
995	PERSONAL	1	0.1 %
996	NONE, NO EVENT	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1447	90.1 %
998	DK	1	0.1 %
999	NA	21	1.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 137 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 995.00

Location: 521-523 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 998 , 999

COLMAJR1: R MAJOR IN COLLEGE

Question: Could you tell me your major field of study (while you were) in college?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NONE	22	1.4 %
1	ENGLISH, LITERATURE	47	2.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	FOREIGN LANGUAGE	4	0.2 %
3	FINE ARTS	52	3.2 %
4	OTHER HUMANITIES	12	0.7 %
5	ECONOMICS	22	1.4 %
6	PSYCHOLOGY	38	2.4 %
7	GOVERNMENT-CIVICS	12	0.7 %
8	SOCIAL SCIENCE-OTHER SOCIAL STUDY	37	2.3 %
9	MATHEMATICS	30	1.9 %
10	SCIENCE	84	5.2 %
11	OTHER	125	7.8 %
12	BUSINESS	145	9.0 %
13	EDUCATION	55	3.4 %
14	ENGINEERING	36	2.2 %
15	OTHER VOCATIONAL	67	4.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	810	50.4 %
99	NA	8	0.5 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 788 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 15.00

Location: 524-525 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 99

COLMAJR2: R 2ND MAJOR IN COLLEGE

Question: Could you tell me your major field of study (while you were) in college?

Notes: No order of preference nor concentration in college majors can be inferred from the answers to these questions. When respondents chose more than one college major, the choice with the lower-numbered value was entered in COLMAJR1 and the choice with the higher-numbered value was entered in COLMAJR2.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NONE	0	0.0 %
1	ENGLISH, LITERATURE	0	0.0 %
2	FOREIGN LANGUAGE	2	0.1 %
3	FINE ARTS	2	0.1 %
4	OTHER HUMANITIES	1	0.1 %
5	ECONOMICS	1	0.1 %
6	PSYCHOLOGY	5	0.3 %
7	GOVERNMENT-CIVICS	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	SOCIAL SCIENCE-OTHER SOCIAL STUDY	5	0.3 %
9	MATHEMATICS	6	0.4 %
10	SCIENCE	5	0.3 %
11	OTHER	16	1.0 %
12	BUSINESS	15	0.9 %
13	EDUCATION	17	1.1 %
14	ENGINEERING	6	0.4 %
15	OTHER VOCATIONAL	10	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP, NO 2ND MENTION	1507	93.8 %
99	NA	8	0.5 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 91 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 15.00

Location: 526-527 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 99

HSCLASS1: R FAVORITE SUBJECT IN HS

Question: When you were in high school/In high school, what (was/is) your favorite course of subject?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NONE	141	8.8 %
1	ENGLISH, LITERATURE	297	18.5 %
2	FOREIGN LANGUAGE	27	1.7 %
3	FINE ARTS	112	7.0 %
4	OTHER HUMANITIES	25	1.6 %
5	ECONOMICS	23	1.4 %
6	PSYCHOLOGY	15	0.9 %
7	GOVERNMENT-CIVICS	51	3.2 %
8	SOCIAL SCIENCE-OTHER SOCIAL STUDY	134	8.3 %
9	MATHEMATICS	295	18.4 %
10	SCIENCE	119	7.4 %
11	OTHER	144	9.0 %
12	BUSINESS	50	3.1 %
13	EDUCATION	0	0.0 %
14	ENGINEERING	1	0.1 %
15	OTHER VOCATIONAL	26	1.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	139	8.7 %
99	NA	7	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,460 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 15.00

Location: 528-529 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 99

HSCLASS2: R 2ND FAVORITE SUBJECT IN HS

Question: When you were in high school/In high school, what (was/is) your favorite course or subject?

Notes: No order of preference nor concentration in college majors can be inferred from the answers to these questions. When respondents chose more than one college major, the choice with the lower-numbered value was entered in HSCLASS1 and the choice with the higher-numbered value was entered in HSCLASS2.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NONE	0	0.0 %
1	ENGLISH, LITERATURE	0	0.0 %
2	FOREIGN LANGUAGE	4	0.2 %
3	FINE ARTS	21	1.3 %
4	OTHER HUMANITIES	3	0.2 %
5	ECONOMICS	3	0.2 %
6	PSYCHOLOGY	10	0.6 %
7	GOVERNMENT-CIVICS	8	0.5 %
8	SOCIAL SCIENCE-OTHER SOCIAL STUDY	29	1.8 %
9	MATHEMATICS	64	4.0 %
10	SCIENCE	69	4.3 %
11	OTHER	61	3.8 %
12	BUSINESS	10	0.6 %
13	EDUCATION	1	0.1 %
14	ENGINEERING	4	0.2 %
15	OTHER VOCATIONAL	11	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP, NO 2ND MENTION	1303	81.1 %
99	NA	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 298 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 15.00

Location: 530-531 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 99

PARTYID: POLITICAL PARTY AFFILIATION

Question: Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, Democrat, Independent, or what?

Notes: See Appendix D: Recodes (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) for original question format and method of recoding. See Appendix N (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) for changes across surveys. If planning to perform trend analysis with this variable, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	STRONG DEMOCRAT	227	14.1 %
1	NOT STR DEMOCRAT	321	20.0 %
2	IND,NEAR DEM	190	11.8 %
3	INDEPENDENT	205	12.8 %
4	IND,NEAR REP	158	9.8 %
5	NOT STR REPUBLICAN	299	18.6 %
6	STRONG REPUBLICAN	180	11.2 %
7	OTHER PARTY	17	1.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	9	0.6 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based on 1,597 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 111-111 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9

VOTE88: DID R VOTE IN 1988 ELECTION

Question: In 1988, you remember that Dukakis ran for President on the Democratic ticket against Bush for the Republicans. Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VOTED	1007	62.7 %
2	DID NOT VOTE	441	27.5 %
3	NOT ELIGIBLE	117	7.3 %
4	REFUSED	5	0.3 %
6	WLDNT VT-RELIG	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	30	1.9 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,570 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 112-112 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PRES88: VOTE FOR DUKAKIS OR BUSH

Question: If voted: Did you vote for Dukakis or Bush?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DUKAKIS	282	17.6 %
2	BUSH	686	42.7 %
3	OTHER	10	0.6 %
4	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
5	NO PRES. VOTE	4	0.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	593	36.9 %
8	DK	15	0.9 %
9	NA	16	1.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 982 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 113-113 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

IF88WHO: WHO WOULD R HAVE VOTED FOR-1988 ELECTION

Question: If did not vote or ineligible: Who would you have voted for, for President, if you had voted?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DUKAKIS	93	5.8 %
2	BUSH	282	17.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	OTHER	34	2.1 %
4	WOULDNT VOTE	0	0.0 %
5	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1045	65.1 %
8	DK	112	7.0 %
9	NA	40	2.5 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 409 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 114-114 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

VOTE92: DID R VOTE IN 1992 ELECTION

Question: In 1992, you remember that Clinton ran for President on the Democratic ticket against Bush for the Republicans and Perot as an Independent. Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VOTED	1109	69.1 %
2	DID NOT VOTE	446	27.8 %
3	NOT ELIGIBLE	36	2.2 %
4	REFUSED	6	0.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	5	0.3 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,597 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 115-115 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PRES92: VOTE FOR CLINTON, BUSH, PEROT

Question: If voted: Did you vote for Clinton, Bush, or Perot?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	CLINTON	464	28.9 %
2	BUSH	416	25.9 %
3	PEROT	200	12.5 %
4	OTHER	4	0.2 %
6	NO PRES. VOTE	2	0.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	493	30.7 %
8	DK	8	0.5 %
9	NA	19	1.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,086 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 116-116 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

IF92WHO: WHO WOULD R HAVE VOTED FOR-1992 ELECTION 7

Question: If did not vote or ineligible: Who would you have voted for, for President, if you had voted?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	CLINTON	191	11.9 %
2	BUSH	115	7.2 %
3	PEROT	96	6.0 %
4	OTHER	13	0.8 %
5	WOULDNT VOTE	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1118	69.6 %
8	DK	58	3.6 %
9	NA	15	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 415 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 117-117 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

POLVIEWS: THINK OF SELF AS LIBERAL OR CONSERVATIVE

Question: We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. I'm going to show you a seven point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal--point 1--to extremely conservative--point 7. Where would you place yourself on this scale?

Notes: Hand card Q.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY LIBERAL	30	1.9 %
2	LIBERAL	180	11.2 %
3	SLIGHTLY LIBERAL	203	12.6 %
4	MODERATE	575	35.8 %
5	SLGHTLY CONSERVATIVE	264	16.4 %
6	CONSERVATIVE	254	15.8 %
7	EXTRMLY CONSERVATIVE	42	2.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	49	3.1 %
9	NA	9	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,548 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 118-118 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATSPAC: SPACE EXPLORATION PROGRAM

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we're spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. First: Space exploration program, are we spending too much, too little or about the right amount on the space exploration program?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	67	4.2 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	295	18.4 %
3	TOO MUCH	380	23.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	807	50.2 %
8	DK	54	3.4 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 742 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 119-119 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATENVIR: IMPROVING & PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we're spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Improving and protecting the environment, are we spending too much, too little or about the right amount on improving and protecting the environment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	445	27.7 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	239	14.9 %
3	TOO MUCH	74	4.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	807	50.2 %
8	DK	36	2.2 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 758 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 120-120 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATHEAL: IMPROVING & PROTECTING NATIONS HEALTH

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we're spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Improving and protecting the nation's health, are we spending too much, too little or about the right amount on improving and protecting the nations health?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	571	35.6 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	137	8.5 %
3	TOO MUCH	60	3.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	807	50.2 %
8	DK	26	1.6 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 768 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 121-121 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATCITY: SOLVING PROBLEMS OF BIG CITIES

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we're spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Solving the problems of the big cities, are we spending too much, too little or about the right amount on solving the problems of the big cities?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	429	26.7 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	175	10.9 %
3	TOO MUCH	92	5.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	807	50.2 %
8	DK	96	6.0 %
9	NA	7	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 696 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 122-122 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATCRIME: HALTING RISING CRIME RATE

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we're spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Halting the rising crime rate, are we spending too much, too little or about the right amount halting the rising crime rate?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	563	35.1 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	163	10.1 %
3	TOO MUCH	39	2.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	807	50.2 %
8	DK	29	1.8 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 765 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 123-123 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATDRUG: DEALING WITH DRUG ADDICTION

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we're spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Dealing with drug addiction, are we spending too much, too little or about the right amount on

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	476	29.6 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	217	13.5 %
3	TOO MUCH	63	3.9 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	807	50.2 %
8	DK	36	2.2 %
9	NA	7	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 756 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 124-124 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATEDUC: IMPROVING NATIONS EDUCATION SYSTEM

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Improving the nation's education system, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	532	33.1 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	196	12.2 %
3	TOO MUCH	46	2.9 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NAP	807	50.2 %
8	DK	20	1.2 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 774 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 125-125 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATRACE: IMPROVING THE CONDITIONS OF BLACKS

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Improving the conditions of Blacks, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	284	17.7 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	315	19.6 %
3	TOO MUCH	121	7.5 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	807	50.2 %
8	DK	73	4.5 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 720 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 126-126 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATARMS: MILITARY, ARMAMENTS, AND DEFENSE

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. The military, armaments and defense, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	82	5.1 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	353	22.0 %
3	TOO MUCH	330	20.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	807	50.2 %
8	DK	30	1.9 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 765 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 127-127 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATAID: FOREIGN AID

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Foreign aid, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	39	2.4 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	165	10.3 %
3	TOO MUCH	555	34.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	807	50.2 %
8	DK	36	2.2 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 759 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 128-128 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATFARE: WELFARE

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Welfare, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	130	8.1 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	198	12.3 %
3	TOO MUCH	428	26.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	807	50.2 %
8	DK	40	2.5 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 756 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 129-129 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATROAD: HIGHWAYS AND BRIDGES

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Highways and bridges, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	603	37.5 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	764	47.6 %
3	TOO MUCH	144	9.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	80	5.0 %
9	NA	15	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,511 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 130-130 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATSOC: SOCIAL SECURITY

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Social security, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	693	43.2 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	686	42.7 %
3	TOO MUCH	113	7.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	99	6.2 %
9	NA	15	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,492 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 131-131 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATMASS: MASS TRANSPORTATION

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Mass transportation, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	554	34.5 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	702	43.7 %
3	TOO MUCH	153	9.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	181	11.3 %
9	NA	16	1.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,409 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 132-132 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATPARK: PARKS AND RECREATION

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Parks and recreation, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	480	29.9 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	920	57.3 %
3	TOO MUCH	101	6.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	90	5.6 %
9	NA	15	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,501 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 133-133 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATENVIY: THE ENVIRONMENT -- VERSION Y

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. The environment, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	470	29.3 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	225	14.0 %
3	TOO MUCH	64	4.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	799	49.8 %
8	DK	37	2.3 %
9	NA	11	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 759 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 134-134 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATHEALY: HEALTH -- VERSION Y

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Health, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	555	34.6 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	99	6.2 %
3	TOO MUCH	106	6.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	799	49.8 %
8	DK	32	2.0 %
9	NA	15	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 760 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 135-135 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATCITYY: ASSISTANCE TO BIG CITIES -- VERSION Y

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Assistance to big cities, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	187	11.6 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	242	15.1 %
3	TOO MUCH	246	15.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	799	49.8 %
8	DK	120	7.5 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 675 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 136-136 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATCRIMY: LAW ENFORCEMENT -- VERISON Y

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Law enforcement, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	466	29.0 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	251	15.6 %
3	TOO MUCH	45	2.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	799	49.8 %
8	DK	33	2.1 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 762 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 137-137 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATDRUGY: DRUG REHABILITATION -- VERSION Y

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Drug rehabilitation, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	435	27.1 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	234	14.6 %
3	TOO MUCH	79	4.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	799	49.8 %
8	DK	45	2.8 %
9	NA	14	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 748 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 138-138 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATEDUCY: EDUCATION -- VERSION Y

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Education, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	562	35.0 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	178	11.1 %
3	TOO MUCH	39	2.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	799	49.8 %
8	DK	15	0.9 %
9	NA	13	0.8 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 779 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 139-139 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATRACEY: ASSISTANCE TO BLACKS -- VERSION Y

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Assistance to blacks, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	188	11.7 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	306	19.1 %
3	TOO MUCH	191	11.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	799	49.8 %
8	DK	108	6.7 %
9	NA	14	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 685 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 140-140 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATARMSY: NATIONAL DEFENSE -- VERSION Y

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. National defense, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	94	5.9 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	315	19.6 %
3	TOO MUCH	355	22.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	799	49.8 %
8	DK	31	1.9 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 764 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 141-141 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATAIDY: ASSISTANCE TO OTHER COUNTRIES -- VER Y

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Assistance to other countries, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	26	1.6 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	156	9.7 %
3	TOO MUCH	593	36.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	799	49.8 %
8	DK	19	1.2 %
9	NA	13	0.8 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 775 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 142-142 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATFAREY: ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR -- VERSION Y

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Assistance to the poor, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	497	30.9 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	177	11.0 %
3	TOO MUCH	95	5.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	799	49.8 %
8	DK	25	1.6 %
9	NA	13	0.8 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 769 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 143-143 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATSPACZ: SPACE EXPLORATION -- VERSION Z

Question: We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we are spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. Advancing space exploration, are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO LITTLE	0	0.0 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	0	0.0 %
3	TOO MUCH	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1606	100.0 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

Location: 144-144 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

EQUAL3: GOVT SHLD INSURE JOBS AND STABLE PRICES

Question: On these cards are some opinions about the government and the economy. For each one I'd like you to tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree. The government must see to it that everyone has a job and that prices are stable, even if the rights of businessmen have to be restricted.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	0	0.0 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	0	0.0 %
3	SOMEWHT DISAGREE	0	0.0 %
4	STRNGLY DISAGREE	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1606	100.0 %
8	NO OPINION	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

Location: 145-145 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

EQUAL4: RESPONSIBILITY OF GOVT TO MEET NEEDS

Question: On these cards are some opinions about the government and the economy. For each one I'd like you to tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree. It is the responsibility of government to meet everyone's needs, even in case of sickness, poverty, unemployment, and old age.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	0	0.0 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	0	0.0 %
3	SOMEWHT DISAGREE	0	0.0 %
4	STRNGLY DISAGREE	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1606	100.0 %
8	NO OPINION	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

Location: 146-146 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

EQUAL5: SOCIAL WELFARE BENEFITS ARE DISINCENTIVE

Question: On these cards are some opinions about the government and the economy. For each one I'd like you to tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree. If social welfare benefits such as disability,

unemployment compensation, and early retirement pensions are as high as they are now, it only makes people not want to work anymore.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	0	0.0 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	0	0.0 %
3	SOMEWHT DISAGREE	0	0.0 %
4	STRNGLY DISAGREE	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1606	100.0 %
8	NO OPINION	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

Location: 147-147 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

EQUAL6: ONE IS ABLE TO LIVE WELL IN AMERICA

Question: On these cards are some opinions about the government and the economy. For each one I'd like you to tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree. All in all, one can live well in America.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	0	0.0 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	0	0.0 %
3	SOMEWHT DISAGREE	0	0.0 %
4	STRNGLY DISAGREE	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1606	100.0 %
8	NO OPINION	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

Location: 148-148 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

EQUAL7: BUSINESS PROFITS ARE DISTRIBUTED FAIRLY

Question: On these cards are some opinions about the government and the economy. For each one I'd like you to tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree. Generally speaking, business profits are distributed fairly in the United States.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	0	0.0 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	0	0.0 %
3	SOMEWHT DISAGREE	0	0.0 %
4	STRNGLY DISAGREE	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1606	100.0 %
8	NO OPINION	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

Location: 149-149 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

EQWLTH: SHOULD GOVT REDUCE INCOME DIFFERENCES

Question: Some people think that the government in Washington ought to reduce the income differences between the rich and the poor, perhaps by raising the taxes of wealthy families or by giving income assistance to the poor. Others think that the government should not concern itself with reducing this income difference between the rich and the poor. Here is a card with a scale from 1 to 7. Think of a score of 1 as meaning that the government ought to reduce the income differences between rich and poor, and a score of 7 meaning that the government should not concern itself with reducing income differences. What score between 1 and 7 comes closest to the way you feel? (Circle one):

Notes: Card V contained responses for punches 1-7.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GOVT SHOULD DO SOMETHING TO REDUCE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN RICH AND POOR	184	11.5 %
2	2	122	7.6 %
3	3	201	12.5 %
4	4	187	11.6 %
5	5	130	8.1 %
6	6	81	5.0 %
7	GOVT SHOULD NOT CONCERN ITSELF WITH INCOME DIFFERENCES	130	8.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	18	1.1 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,035 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 150-150 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

TAX: RS FEDERAL INCOME TAX

Question: Do you consider the amount of federal income tax which you have to pay as too high, about right, or too low?

Notes: In 1976, this question was asked immediately before Q. 66 in GSS cumulative codebook (spending priorities) for half of the sample with Form 1. For the half with Form 2, this question immediately followed Q. 66 in GSS cumulative codebook. See Q. 248 in GSS cumulative codebook for the split-ballot forms. See Appendix N for across survey changes. If planning to perform trend analysis with this variable, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO HIGH	582	36.2 %
2	ABOUT RIGHT	436	27.1 %
3	TOO LOW	13	0.8 %
4	R PAYS NONE<VOL.>	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	29	1.8 %
9	NA	15	0.9 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,031 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 151-151 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SPKATH: ALLOW ANTI-RELIGIONIST TO SPEAK

Question: There are always some people whose ideas are considered bad or dangerous by other people. For instance, somebody who is against all churches and religion ... If such a person wanted to make a speech in your (city/town/community) against churches and religion, should he be allowed to speak, or not?

Notes: See Appendix N, in GSS Cumulative Codebook, for across survey changes.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOWED	764	47.6 %
2	NOT ALLOWED	290	18.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	19	1.2 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,054 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 152-152 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

COLATH: ALLOW ANTI-RELIGIONIST TO TEACH

Question: There are always some people whose ideas are considered bad or dangerous by other people. For instance, somebody who is against all churches and religion ... Should such a person be allowed to teach in a college or university, or not?

Notes: See Appendix N, in GSS Cumulative Codebook, for across survey changes.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	ALLOWED	556	34.6 %
5	NOT ALLOWED	470	29.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	43	2.7 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,026 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 153-153 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

LIBATH: ALLOW ANTI-RELIGIOUS BOOK IN LIBRARY

Question: There are always some people whose ideas are considered bad or dangerous by other people. For instance, somebody who is against all churches and religion ... If some people in your community suggested that a book he wrote against churches and religion should be taken out of your public library, would you favor removing this book, or not?

Notes: See Appendix N, in GSS Cumulative Codebook, for across survey changes.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	REMOVE	307	19.1 %
2	NOT REMOVE	721	44.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	41	2.6 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,028 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 154-154 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SPKRAC: ALLOW RACIST TO SPEAK

Question: Or consider a person who believes that Blacks are genetically inferior. If such a person wanted to make a speech in your community claiming that Blacks are inferior, should he be allowed to speak, or not?

Notes: See Appendix N, in GSS Cumulative Codebook, for across survey changes.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOWED	649	40.4 %
2	NOT ALLOWED	397	24.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	26	1.6 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,046 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 155-155 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

COLRAC: ALLOW RACIST TO TEACH

Question: Or consider a person who believes that Blacks are genetically inferior. Should such a person be allowed to teach in a college or university, or not?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	ALLOWED	465	29.0 %
5	NOT ALLOWED	563	35.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	41	2.6 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,028 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 156-156 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

LIBRAC: ALLOW RACISTS BOOK IN LIBRARY

Question: Or consider a person who believes that Blacks are genetically inferior. If some people in your community suggested that a book he wrote which said Blacks are inferior should be taken out of your public library, would you favor removing this book, or not?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	REMOVE	339	21.1 %
2	NOT REMOVE	691	43.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	42	2.6 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,030 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 157-157 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SPKCOM: ALLOW COMMUNIST TO SPEAK

Question: Now, I should like to ask you some questions about a man who admits he is a Communist. Suppose this admitted Communist wanted to make a speech in your community. Should he be allowed to speak, or not?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOWED	736	45.8 %
2	NOT ALLOWED	308	19.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	28	1.7 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,044 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 158-158 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

COLCOM: SHOULD COMMUNIST TEACHER BE FIRED

Question: Now, I should like to ask you some questions about a man who admits he is a Communist. Suppose he is teaching in a college. Should he be fired, or not?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	FIRED	392	24.4 %
5	NOT FIRED	602	37.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	76	4.7 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 994 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 159-159 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

LIBCOM: ALLOW COMMUNISTS BOOK IN LIBRARY

Question: Now, I should like to ask you some questions about a man who admits he is a Communist. Suppose he wrote a book which is in your public library. Somebody in your community suggests that the book should be removed from the library. Would you favor removing it, or not?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	REMOVE	310	19.3 %
2	NOT REMOVE	713	44.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	46	2.9 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,023 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 160-160 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SPKMIL: ALLOW MILITARIST TO SPEAK

Question: Consider a person who advocates doing away with elections and letting the military run the country. If such a person wanted to make a speech in your community, should he be allowed to speak, or not?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOWED	691	43.0 %
2	NOT ALLOWED	354	22.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	27	1.7 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,045 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 161-161 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

COLMIL: ALLOW MILITARIST TO TEACH

Question: Consider a person who advocates doing away with elections and letting the military run the country. Should such a person be allowed to teach in a college or university, or not?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	ALLOWED	510	31.8 %
5	NOT ALLOWED	514	32.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	47	2.9 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,024 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 162-162 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

LIBMIL: ALLOW MILITARISTS BOOK IN LIBRARY

Question: Consider a person who advocates doing away with elections and letting the military run the country. Suppose he wrote a book advocating doing away with elections and letting the military run the country. Somebody in your community suggests that the book be removed from the public library. Would you favor removing it, or not?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	REMOVE	313	19.5 %
2	NOT REMOVE	721	44.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	37	2.3 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,034 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 163-163 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SPKHOMO: ALLOW HOMOSEXUAL TO SPEAK

Question: And what about a man who admits that he is a homosexual? Suppose this admitted homosexual wanted to make a speech in your community. Should he be allowed to speak, or not?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOWED	839	52.2 %
2	NOT ALLOWED	199	12.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	35	2.2 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,038 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 164-164 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

COLHOMO: ALLOW HOMOSEXUAL TO TEACH

Question: And what about a man who admits that he is a homosexual? Should such a person be allowed to teach in a college or university, or not?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	ALLOWED	733	45.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	NOT ALLOWED	290	18.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	47	2.9 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,023 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 165-165 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

LIBHOMO: ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS BOOK IN LIBRARY

Question: And what about a man who admits that he is a homosexual? If some people in your community suggested that a book he wrote in favor of homosexuality should be taken out of your public library, would you favor removing this book, or not?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	REMOVE	313	19.5 %
2	NOT REMOVE	713	44.4 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	45	2.8 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,026 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 166-166 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CAPPUN: FAVOR OR OPPOSE DEATH PENALTY FOR MURDER

Question: Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	FAVOR	1151	71.7 %
2	OPPOSE	337	21.0 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	112	7.0 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,488 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 167-167 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GUNLAW: FAVOR OR OPPOSE GUN PERMITS

Question: Would you favor or oppose a law which would require a person to obtain a police permit before he or she could buy a gun?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	FAVOR	870	54.2 %
2	OPPOSE	185	11.5 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	19	1.2 %
9	NA	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,055 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 168-168 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

COURTS: COURTS DEALING WITH CRIMINALS

Question: In general, do you think the courts in this area deal too harshly or not harshly enough with criminals?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO HARSH	54	3.4 %
2	NOT HARSH ENOUGH	1300	80.9 %
3	ABOUT RIGHT	156	9.7 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	88	5.5 %
9	NA	8	0.5 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,510 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 169-169 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

WIRTAP: WIRETAPPING

Question: Everything considered, would you say that, in general, you approve or disapprove of wiretapping?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	APPROVE	245	15.3 %
2	DISAPPROVE	768	47.8 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	61	3.8 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,013 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 170-170 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRASS: SHOULD MARIJUANA BE MADE LEGAL

Question: Do you think the use of marijuana should be made legal or not?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LEGAL	234	14.6 %
2	NOT LEGAL	770	47.9 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	52	3.2 %
9	NA	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,004 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 171-171 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

USWARY: EXPECT U.S. IN WORLD WAR IN 10 YEARS

Question: Do you expect the United States to fight in another world war within the next ten years?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	505	31.4 %
2	NO	536	33.4 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	38	2.4 %
9	NA	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,041 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 172-172 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

USINTL: TAKE ACTIVE PART IN WORLD AFFAIRS

Question: Do you think it will be best for the future of this country if we take an active part in world affairs, or if we stay out of world affairs?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ACTIVE PART	725	45.1 %
2	STAY OUT	306	19.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	49	3.1 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,031 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 173-173 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

USUN: REMAIN IN U.N. OR PULL OUT

Question: Do you think our government should continue to belong to the United Nations, or should we pull out of it now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STAY IN	920	57.3 %
2	PULL OUT	106	6.6 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	53	3.3 %
9	NA	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,026 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 174-174 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

COMMUN: FEELINGS ABOUT COMMUNISM

Question: Thinking about all the different kinds of governments in the world today, which of these statements comes closest to how you feel about Communism as a form of government? Code one.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	WORST KIND	486	30.3 %
2	BAD, NOT WORST	378	23.5 %
3	OK FOR SOME	161	10.0 %
4	GOOD FORM	13	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	32	2.0 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,038 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 175-175 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RUSSIA: LIKING FOR RUSSIA

Question: You will notice that the boxes on this card go from the highest position of "plus 5" for a country which you like very much, to the lowest position of "minus 5" for a country you dislike very much. How far up the scales or how far down the scale would you rate the following countries? Russia?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	+5	74	4.6 %
1	+4	43	2.7 %
2	+3	159	9.9 %
3	+2	141	8.8 %
4	+1	299	18.6 %
5	-1	86	5.4 %
6	-2	49	3.1 %
7	-3	43	2.7 %
8	-4	25	1.6 %
9	-5	95	5.9 %
	Missing Data		
-2	DK,NA,NAP	592	36.9 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,014 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 176-177 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2

JAPAN: LIKING FOR JAPAN

Question: You will notice that the boxes on this card go from the highest position of "plus 5" for a country which you like very much, to the lowest position of "minus 5" for a country you dislike very much. How far up the scales or how far down the scale would you rate the following countries? Japan?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	+5	76	4.7 %
1	+4	77	4.8 %
2	+3	165	10.3 %
3	+2	137	8.5 %
4	+1	203	12.6 %
5	-1	123	7.7 %
6	-2	58	3.6 %
7	-3	58	3.6 %
8	-4	46	2.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	-5	92	5.7 %
	Missing Data		
-2	DK,NA,NAP	571	35.6 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,035 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 178-179 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2

CANADA: LIKING FOR CANADA

Question: You will notice that the boxes on this card go from the highest position of "plus 5" for a country which you like very much, to the lowest position of "minus 5" for a country you dislike very much. How far up the scales or how far down the scale would you rate the following countries? Canada?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	+5	346	21.5 %
1	+4	202	12.6 %
2	+3	230	14.3 %
3	+2	102	6.4 %
4	+1	122	7.6 %
5	-1	7	0.4 %
6	-2	12	0.7 %
7	-3	6	0.4 %
8	-4	4	0.2 %
9	-5	8	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
-2	DK,NA,NAP	567	35.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,039 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 180-181 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2

CHINA: LIKING FOR CHINA

Question: You will notice that the boxes on this card go from the highest position of "plus 5" for a country which you like very much, to the lowest position of "minus 5" for a country you dislike very much. How far up the scales or how far down the scale would you rate the following countries? China?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	+5	53	3.3 %
1	+4	42	2.6 %
2	+3	101	6.3 %
3	+2	115	7.2 %
4	+1	247	15.4 %
5	-1	138	8.6 %
6	-2	96	6.0 %
7	-3	76	4.7 %
8	-4	45	2.8 %
9	-5	103	6.4 %
	Missing Data		
-2	DK,NA,NAP	590	36.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,016 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 182-183 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2

ISRAEL: LIKING FOR ISRAEL

Question: You will notice that the boxes on this card go from the highest position of "plus 5" for a country which you like very much, to the lowest position of "minus 5" for a country you dislike very much. How far up the scales or how far down the scale would you rate the following countries? Israel?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	+5	105	6.5 %
1	+4	73	4.5 %
2	+3	133	8.3 %
3	+2	104	6.5 %
4	+1	227	14.1 %
5	-1	123	7.7 %
6	-2	71	4.4 %
7	-3	59	3.7 %
8	-4	41	2.6 %
9	-5	66	4.1 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	DK,NA,NAP	604	37.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,002 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 184-185 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2

EGYPT: LIKING FOR EGYPT

Question: You will notice that the boxes on this card go from the highest position of "plus 5" for a country which you like very much, to the lowest position of "minus 5" for a country you dislike very much. How far up the scales or how far down the scale would you rate the following countries? Egypt?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	+5	66	4.1 %
1	+4	61	3.8 %
2	+3	108	6.7 %
3	+2	117	7.3 %
4	+1	283	17.6 %
5	-1	140	8.7 %
6	-2	56	3.5 %
7	-3	60	3.7 %
8	-4	36	2.2 %
9	-5	57	3.5 %
Missing Data			
-2	DK,NA,NAP	622	38.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 984 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 186-187 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2

RELIG: RS RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE

Question: What is your religious preference? Is it Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, some other religion, or no religion?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	PROTESTANT	1025	63.8 %
2	CATHOLIC	351	21.9 %
3	JEWISH	33	2.1 %
4	NONE	146	9.1 %
5	OTHER (SPECIFY)	42	2.6 %
6	BUDDHISM	0	0.0 %
7	HINDUISM	0	0.0 %
8	OTHER EASTERN	0	0.0 %
9	MOSLEM/ISLAM	0	0.0 %
10	ORTHODOX-CHRISTIAN	0	0.0 %
11	CHRISTIAN	0	0.0 %
12	NATIVE AMERICAN	0	0.0 %
13	INTER-NONDENOMINATIONAL	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
98	DK	1	0.1 %
99	NA	8	0.5 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,597 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 188-189 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

DENOM: SPECIFIC DENOMINATION

Question: If Protestant: What specific denomination is that, if any? (Probe for exact denomination)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	AM BAPTIST ASSO	21	1.3 %
11	AM BAPT CH IN USA	7	0.4 %
12	NAT BAPT CONV OF AM	8	0.5 %
13	NAT BAPT CONV USA	3	0.2 %
14	SOUTHERN BAPTIST	157	9.8 %
15	OTHER BAPTISTS	31	1.9 %
18	BAPTIST-DK WHICH	85	5.3 %
20	AFR METH EPISCOPAL	8	0.5 %
21	AFR METH EP ZION	4	0.2 %
22	UNITED METHODIST	130	8.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
23	OTHER METHODIST	5	0.3 %
28	METHODIST-DK WHICH	23	1.4 %
30	AM LUTHERAN	20	1.2 %
31	LUTH CH IN AMERICA	14	0.9 %
32	LUTHERAN-MO SYNOD	43	2.7 %
33	WI EVAN LUTH SYNOD	7	0.4 %
34	OTHER LUTHERAN	11	0.7 %
35	EVANGELICAL LUTH	14	0.9 %
38	LUTHERAN-DK WHICH	8	0.5 %
40	PRESBYTERIAN C IN US	15	0.9 %
41	UNITED PRES CH IN US	23	1.4 %
42	OTHER PRESBYTERIAN	16	1.0 %
43	PRESBYTERIAN, MERGED	9	0.6 %
48	PRESBYTERIAN-DK WH	8	0.5 %
50	EPISCOPAL	34	2.1 %
60	OTHER	235	14.6 %
70	NO DENOMINATION	84	5.2 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	572	35.6 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	11	0.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,023 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 10.00
- Maximum: 70.00

Location: 190-191 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

OTHER: OTHER PROTESTANT DENOMINATIONS

Notes: Q. RELIG, Col. 174-175, punch 5 (Other, SPECIFY), is available from NORC. Q. DENOM, Cols. 176-177, punch 60 (N=4957), recoded into Cols. 178-180 [OTHER]. If planning to perform trend analysis with this variable, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56. See Appendix K: Protestant denominations. See Appendix N for changes across surveys.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	-	1	0.1 %
9	-	1	0.1 %
12	-	10	0.6 %
14	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
16	-	7	0.4 %
20	-	4	0.2 %
23	-	1	0.1 %
24	-	1	0.1 %
31	-	18	1.1 %
32	-	13	0.8 %
33	-	1	0.1 %
35	-	26	1.6 %
36	-	12	0.7 %
37	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	7	0.4 %
43	-	2	0.1 %
44	-	6	0.4 %
45	-	2	0.1 %
47	-	1	0.1 %
48	-	1	0.1 %
51	-	3	0.2 %
52	-	2	0.1 %
56	-	9	0.6 %
58	-	13	0.8 %
59	-	5	0.3 %
60	-	6	0.4 %
62	-	2	0.1 %
63	-	1	0.1 %
64	-	7	0.4 %
65	-	4	0.2 %
67	-	1	0.1 %
68	-	19	1.2 %
69	-	3	0.2 %
70	-	1	0.1 %
71	-	1	0.1 %
74	-	3	0.2 %
76	-	1	0.1 %
77	-	7	0.4 %
80	-	4	0.2 %
81	-	4	0.2 %
83	-	4	0.2 %
93	-	3	0.2 %
95	-	4	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
97	-	2	0.1 %
99	-	1	0.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1379	85.9 %
998	DK	0	0.0 %
999	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 227 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 49.19
- Median: 45.00
- Mode: 35.00
- Minimum: 8.00
- Maximum: 99.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.64

Location: 192-194 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 998 , 999

JEW: WHAT SORT OF JEW R IS

Question: If Jewish: Do you consider yourself Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or none of these? (Probe for exact denomination)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ORTHODOX	0	0.0 %
2	CONSERVATIVE	10	0.6 %
3	REFORM	13	0.8 %
4	NONE OF THESE	10	0.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1573	97.9 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 33 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 195-195 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FUND: HOW FUNDAMENTALIST IS R CURRENTLY

Question: Fundamentalism/Liberalism of respondent's religion.

Notes: See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Report No. 43. If planning to perform trend analysis with this variable, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	FUNDAMENTALIST	539	33.6 %
2	MODERATE	575	35.8 %
3	LIBERAL	430	26.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA-EXCLUDED	62	3.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,544 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 196-196 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

ATTEND: HOW OFTEN R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES

Question: How often do you attend religious services? (Use categories as probes, if necessary.)

Notes: See GSS Methodological Report No. 88

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NEVER	259	16.1 %
1	LT ONCE A YEAR	136	8.5 %
2	ONCE A YEAR	193	12.0 %
3	SEVRL TIMES A YR	176	11.0 %
4	ONCE A MONTH	112	7.0 %
5	2-3X A MONTH	137	8.5 %
6	NRLY EVERY WEEK	99	6.2 %
7	EVERY WEEK	321	20.0 %
8	MORE THN ONCE WK	135	8.4 %
Missing Data			
9	DK,NA	38	2.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,568 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 197-197 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9

RELITEN: STRENGTH OF AFFILIATION

Question: Ask everyone with any religious preference named in Q. 104. Would you call yourself a strong (Preference named in Q. 104 or 104-A) or a not very strong (Preference named in Q. 104 or 104-A).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONG	580	36.1 %
2	NOT VERY STRONG	587	36.6 %
3	SOMEWHAT STRONG	216	13.4 %
4	NO RELIGION	146	9.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	15	0.9 %
9	NA	62	3.9 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,529 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 198-198 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

POSTLIFE: BELIEF IN LIFE AFTER DEATH

Question: Do you believe there is life after death?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	780	48.6 %
2	NO	185	11.5 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	111	6.9 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 965 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 199-199 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PALEFULL: AFTERLIFE CONTRAST: SHADOWS OR FULL

Question: If Q. 108 is "Yes" or "Undecided", ask Q. 109A-D. People picture life after death in many different ways. We'd like to know how you think of life after death. Here is a card with sets of contrasting images. On a scale of 1-7 where would you place your image of life after death. Look at the first set of contrasting images. If you think that life after death is "A pale, shadowy form of life, hardly life at all", you would place yourself at 1. If you think it is "A life of complete fulfillment, spiritual and physical", you would place yourself at 7. If you think it is somewhere between these two you would place yourself at 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. Where would you place your images of life after death for ...?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	PALE, SHADOWY	0	0.0 %
2	2	0	0.0 %
3	3	0	0.0 %
4	4	0	0.0 %
5	5	0	0.0 %
6	6	0	0.0 %
7	COMPLETE FULFILLMENT	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1606	100.0 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

Location: 200-200 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PRAY: HOW OFTEN DOES R PRAY

Question: About how often do you pray? Use categories as probes.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SEVERAL TIMES A DAY	281	17.5 %
2	ONCE A DAY	311	19.4 %
3	SEVERAL TIMES A WEEK	143	8.9 %
4	ONCE A WEEK	81	5.0 %
5	LT ONCE A WEEK	224	13.9 %
6	NEVER	16	1.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	24	1.5 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,056 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 201-201 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MAPA: CONTRAST BETWEEN MOTHER AND FATHER

Question: There are many different ways of picturing God. We'd like to know the kinds of images you are most likely to associate with God. Here is a card with sets of contrasting images. On a scale of 1-7 where would you place your image of God between the two contrasting images? (Hand care AE). If you imagine God as a Mother you would place yourself at 1. If you imagine God as a Father, you would place yourself at 7. If you imagine God as somewhere between Mother and Father, you would place yourself at 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MOTHER	33	2.1 %
2	2	12	0.7 %
3	3	29	1.8 %
4	4	251	15.6 %
5	5	85	5.3 %
6	6	104	6.5 %
7	FATHER	506	31.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	6	0.4 %
9	NA	54	3.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,020 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 202-202 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MASTERSP: CONTRAST BETWEEN MASTER AND SPOUSE

Question: There are many different ways of picturing God. We'd like to know the kinds of images you are most likely to associate with God. Here is a card with sets of contrasting images. On a scale of 1-7 where would you place your image of God between the two contrasting images? (Hand care AE). If you imagine God as a Master you would place yourself at 1. If you imagine God as a Spouse, you would place yourself at 7. If you imagine God as somewhere between Master and Spouse, you would place yourself at 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MASTER	489	30.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	2	110	6.8 %
3	3	98	6.1 %
4	4	200	12.5 %
5	5	35	2.2 %
6	6	25	1.6 %
7	SPOUSE	52	3.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	8	0.5 %
9	NA	63	3.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,009 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 203-203 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

JUDGELUV: CONTRAST BETWEEN JUDGE AND LOVER

Question: There are many different ways of picturing God. We'd like to know the kinds of images you are most likely to associate with God. Here is a card with sets of contrasting images. On a scale of 1-7 where would you place your image of God between the two contrasting images? (Hand care AE). If you imagine God as a Judge you would place yourself at 1. If you imagine God as a Lover, you would place yourself at 7. If you imagine God as somewhere between Judge and Lover, you would place yourself at 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	JUDGE	396	24.7 %
2	2	103	6.4 %
3	3	76	4.7 %
4	4	250	15.6 %
5	5	54	3.4 %
6	6	46	2.9 %
7	LOVER	87	5.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	9	0.6 %
9	NA	59	3.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,012 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 204-204 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FRNDKING: CONTRAST BETWEEN FRIEND AND KING

Question: There are many different ways of picturing God. We'd like to know the kinds of images you are most likely to associate with God. Here is a card with sets of contrasting images. On a scale of 1-7 where would you place your image of God between the two contrasting images? (Hand care AE). If you imagine God as a Friend you would place yourself at 1. If you imagine God as a King, you would place yourself at 7. If you imagine God as somewhere between Friend and King, you would place yourself at 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	FRIEND	278	17.3 %
2	2	79	4.9 %
3	3	59	3.7 %
4	4	309	19.2 %
5	5	44	2.7 %
6	6	45	2.8 %
7	KING	212	13.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	3	0.2 %
9	NA	51	3.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,026 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 205-205 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RELIG16: RELIGION IN WHICH RAISED

Question: In what religion were you raised?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	PROTESTANT	1039	64.7 %
2	CATHOLIC	422	26.3 %
3	JEWISH	35	2.2 %
4	NONE	71	4.4 %
5	OTHER (SPECIFY)	29	1.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	BUDDHISM	0	0.0 %
7	HINDUISM	0	0.0 %
8	OTHER EASTERN	0	0.0 %
9	MOSLEM/ISLAM	0	0.0 %
10	ORTHODOX-CHRISTIAN	0	0.0 %
11	CHRISTIAN	0	0.0 %
12	NATIVE AMERICAN	0	0.0 %
13	INTER-NONDENOMINATIONAL	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	10	0.6 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,596 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 206-207 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

DENOM16: DENOMINATION IN WHICH R WAS RAISED

Question: If Protestant: What specific denomination is that, if any? (Probe for exact denomination).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	AM BAPTIST ASSO	21	1.3 %
11	AM BAPT CH IN USA	12	0.7 %
12	NAT BAPT CONV OF AM	7	0.4 %
13	NAT BAPT CONV USA	4	0.2 %
14	SOUTHERN BAPTIST	171	10.6 %
15	OTHER BAPTISTS	24	1.5 %
18	BAPTIST-DK WHICH	93	5.8 %
20	AFR METH EPISCOPAL	7	0.4 %
21	AFR METH EP ZION	8	0.5 %
22	UNITED METHODIST	115	7.2 %
23	OTHER METHODIST	11	0.7 %
28	METHODIST-DK WHICH	44	2.7 %
30	AM LUTHERAN	26	1.6 %
31	LUTH CH IN AMERICA	14	0.9 %
32	LUTHERAN-MO SYNOD	40	2.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
33	WI EVAN LUTH SYNOD	5	0.3 %
34	OTHER LUTHERAN	11	0.7 %
35	EVANGELICAL LUTH	5	0.3 %
38	LUTHERAN-DK WHICH	15	0.9 %
40	PRESBYTERIAN C IN US	18	1.1 %
41	UNITED PRES CH IN US	26	1.6 %
42	OTHER PRESBYTERIAN	16	1.0 %
43	PRESBYTERIAN, MERGED	11	0.7 %
48	PRESBYTERIAN-DK WH	15	0.9 %
50	EPISCOPAL	41	2.6 %
60	OTHER	237	14.8 %
70	NO DENOMINATION	37	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	557	34.7 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	15	0.9 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,034 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 10.00
- Maximum: 70.00

Location: 208-209 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

OTH16: OTHER PROTESTANT DENOMINATIONS

Notes: Q. RELIG16, Col. 192-193, punch 5 (Other, Specify), is available from NORC. Q. DENOM16, Col. 194-195 punch 60 (N=4156), recoded into Cols. 196-198 [OTH16]. If planning to perform trend analysis with this variable, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56. See Appendix K: Protestant Denominations. See Appendix N for changes across surveys.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	-	1	0.1 %
7	-	1	0.1 %
8	-	1	0.1 %
9	-	1	0.1 %
12	-	7	0.4 %
13	-	1	0.1 %
14	-	1	0.1 %
16	-	7	0.4 %
18	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
20	-	3	0.2 %
23	-	1	0.1 %
24	-	2	0.1 %
31	-	19	1.2 %
32	-	14	0.9 %
33	-	5	0.3 %
35	-	28	1.7 %
36	-	14	0.9 %
37	-	1	0.1 %
40	-	8	0.5 %
43	-	3	0.2 %
44	-	5	0.3 %
45	-	3	0.2 %
48	-	1	0.1 %
51	-	3	0.2 %
52	-	2	0.1 %
53	-	1	0.1 %
54	-	2	0.1 %
56	-	10	0.6 %
58	-	9	0.6 %
59	-	3	0.2 %
60	-	3	0.2 %
63	-	5	0.3 %
64	-	6	0.4 %
65	-	9	0.6 %
66	-	1	0.1 %
68	-	21	1.3 %
69	-	2	0.1 %
70	-	1	0.1 %
71	-	1	0.1 %
74	-	1	0.1 %
76	-	1	0.1 %
77	-	7	0.4 %
80	-	3	0.2 %
81	-	5	0.3 %
83	-	1	0.1 %
84	-	1	0.1 %
91	-	1	0.1 %
93	-	6	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
113	-	1	0.1 %
120	-	1	0.1 %
133	-	1	0.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1369	85.2 %
998	DK	0	0.0 %
999	NA	1	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 236 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 48.33
- Median: 43.00
- Mode: 35.00
- Minimum: 6.00
- Maximum: 133.00
- Standard Deviation: 22.15

Location: 210-212 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 998 , 999

JEW16: WHAT SORT OF JEW R WAS AT AGE 16

Question: If Jewish: Were you Orthodox, Conservative, Reform, or none of these? (Probe for exact denomination).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ORTHODOX	3	0.2 %
2	CONSERVATIVE	11	0.7 %
3	REFORM	13	0.8 %
4	NONE OF THESE	7	0.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1571	97.8 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	1	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 34 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 213-213 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FUND16: HOW FUNDAMENTALIST WAS R AT AGE 16

Question: Fundamentalism/Liberalism of religion respondent raised in.

Notes: See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Report No. 43. If planning to perform trend analysis with this variable, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	FUNDAMENTALIST	559	34.8 %
2	MODERATE	609	37.9 %
3	LIBERAL	389	24.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA-EXCLUDED	49	3.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,557 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 214-214 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SPREL: SPOUSES RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE

Question: If R is currently married, ask Q's 116 and 117 (Others, skip to Q. 118). What is your (spouse's) religious preference? Is it Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, some other religion, or no religion?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	PROTESTANT	536	33.4 %
2	CATHOLIC	198	12.3 %
3	JEWISH	23	1.4 %
4	NONE	70	4.4 %
5	OTHER	23	1.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	747	46.5 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	8	0.5 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 850 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 215-215 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SPDEN: SPECIFIC DENOMINATION, SPOUSE

Question: If Protestant: What specific denomination is that, if any? (Probe for exact denomination).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	AM BAPTIST ASSO	12	0.7 %
11	AM BAPT CH IN USA	6	0.4 %
12	NAT BAPT CONV OF AM	2	0.1 %
13	NAT BAPT CONV USA	2	0.1 %
14	SOUTHERN BAPTIST	70	4.4 %
15	OTHER BAPTISTS	14	0.9 %
18	BAPTIST-DK WHICH	49	3.1 %
20	AFR METH EPISCOPAL	4	0.2 %
21	AFR METH EP ZION	3	0.2 %
22	UNITED METHODIST	70	4.4 %
23	OTHER METHODIST	2	0.1 %
28	METHODIST-DK WHICH	16	1.0 %
30	AM LUTHERAN	11	0.7 %
31	LUTH CH IN AMERICA	7	0.4 %
32	LUTHERAN-MO SYNOD	24	1.5 %
33	WI EVAN LUTH SYNOD	2	0.1 %
34	OTHER LUTHERAN	4	0.2 %
35	EVANGELICAL LUTH	10	0.6 %
38	LUTHERAN-DK WHICH	9	0.6 %
40	PRESBYTERIAN C IN US	12	0.7 %
41	UNITED PRES CH IN US	6	0.4 %
42	OTHER PRESBYTERIAN	5	0.3 %
43	PRESBYTERIAN, MERGED	3	0.2 %
48	PRESBYTERIAN-DK WH	5	0.3 %
50	EPISCOPAL	18	1.1 %
60	OTHER	123	7.7 %
70	NO DENOMINATION	46	2.9 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1061	66.1 %
98	DK	1	0.1 %
99	NA	9	0.6 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 535 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 10.00
- Maximum: 70.00

Location: 216-217 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

SPOTHER: OTHER PROTESTANT DENOMINATIONS

Notes: Q. SPREL, Col. 201, punch 5 (Other, Specify), is available from NORC. Q. SPDEN, Col. 202-203 punch 60 (N=2388), recoded into Cols. 204-206 [SPOTHER]. If planning to perform trend analysis with this variable, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56. See Appendix K: Protestant Denominations. See Appendix N for changes across surveys.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	-	1	0.1 %
12	-	3	0.2 %
16	-	3	0.2 %
20	-	3	0.2 %
23	-	2	0.1 %
24	-	2	0.1 %
31	-	11	0.7 %
32	-	6	0.4 %
33	-	1	0.1 %
35	-	15	0.9 %
36	-	7	0.4 %
37	-	1	0.1 %
40	-	5	0.3 %
43	-	1	0.1 %
44	-	1	0.1 %
45	-	1	0.1 %
47	-	1	0.1 %
48	-	1	0.1 %
51	-	1	0.1 %
52	-	1	0.1 %
55	-	1	0.1 %
56	-	6	0.4 %
58	-	8	0.5 %
59	-	2	0.1 %
60	-	3	0.2 %
62	-	1	0.1 %
64	-	2	0.1 %
65	-	2	0.1 %
68	-	9	0.6 %
69	-	2	0.1 %
70	-	1	0.1 %
71	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
74	-	1	0.1 %
77	-	2	0.1 %
81	-	4	0.2 %
83	-	2	0.1 %
93	-	1	0.1 %
95	-	1	0.1 %
99	-	1	0.1 %
117	-	1	0.1 %
138	-	1	0.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1483	92.3 %
998	DK	0	0.0 %
999	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 120 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 48.77
- Median: 41.50
- Mode: 35.00
- Minimum: 9.00
- Maximum: 138.00
- Standard Deviation: 22.50

Location: 218-220 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 998 , 999

SPJEW: WHAT SORT OF JEW IS RS SPOUSE CURRENTLY

Question: If Jewish: Does (s/he) considers (her/himself) Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or none of these? (Probe for exact denomination)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ORTHODOX	0	0.0 %
2	CONSERVATIVE	5	0.3 %
3	REFORM	12	0.7 %
4	NONE OF THESE	5	0.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1583	98.6 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	1	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 22 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 221-221 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SPFUND: HOW FUNDAMENTALIST IS SPOUSE CURRENTLY

Question: Fundamentalism/Liberalism of religion of spouse.

Notes: See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Report No. 43. If planning to perform trend analysis with this variable, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	FUNDAMENTALIST	265	16.5 %
2	MODERATE	330	20.5 %
3	LIBERAL	224	13.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	747	46.5 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA-EXCLUDED	40	2.5 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 819 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 222-222 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SPREL16: RELIGION IN WHICH SPOUSE RAISED

Question: In what religion was your (husband/wife) raised?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	PROTESTANT	539	33.6 %
2	CATHOLIC	227	14.1 %
3	JEWISH	19	1.2 %
4	NONE	41	2.6 %
5	OTHER	20	1.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	747	46.5 %
8	DK	3	0.2 %
9	NA	10	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 846 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 223-223 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SPDEN16: DENOMINATION IN WHICH SPOUSE RAISED

Question: If Protestant: What specific denomination is that, if any? (Probe for exact denomination).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	AM BAPTIST ASSO	15	0.9 %
11	AM BAPT CH IN USA	6	0.4 %
12	NAT BAPT CONV OF AM	3	0.2 %
13	NAT BAPT CONV USA	3	0.2 %
14	SOUTHERN BAPTIST	84	5.2 %
15	OTHER BAPTISTS	8	0.5 %
18	BAPTIST-DK WHICH	61	3.8 %
20	AFR METH EPISCOPAL	3	0.2 %
21	AFR METH EP ZION	3	0.2 %
22	UNITED METHODIST	69	4.3 %
23	OTHER METHODIST	1	0.1 %
28	METHODIST-DK WHICH	21	1.3 %
30	AM LUTHERAN	17	1.1 %
31	LUTH CH IN AMERICA	5	0.3 %
32	LUTHERAN-MO SYNOD	15	0.9 %
33	WI EVAN LUTH SYNOD	2	0.1 %
34	OTHER LUTHERAN	6	0.4 %
35	EVANGELIC LUTH	4	0.2 %
38	LUTHERAN-DK WHICH	11	0.7 %
40	PRESBYTERIAN C IN US	10	0.6 %
41	UNITED PRES CH IN USA	11	0.7 %
42	OTHER PRESBYTERIAN	5	0.3 %
43	PRESBYTERIAN, MERGED	2	0.1 %
48	PRESBYTERIAN-DK WH	5	0.3 %
50	EPISCOPAL	18	1.1 %
60	OTHER	110	6.8 %
70	NO DENOMINATION	36	2.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1054	65.6 %
98	DK	4	0.2 %
99	NA	14	0.9 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 534 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 10.00
- Maximum: 70.00

Location: 224-225 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

SPOTH16: OTHER PROTESTANT DENOMINATIONS

Notes: Q. APREL16 Col. 209, punch 5 (Other, Specify), is available from NORC. Q. SPDEN16, Col. 210-211 punch 60 (N=2065), recoded into Cols. 212-214 [SPOTH16]. If planning to perform trend analysis with this variable, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56. See Appendix K: Protestant Denominations. See Appendix N for changes across surveys.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
12	-	7	0.4 %
16	-	2	0.1 %
20	-	3	0.2 %
23	-	3	0.2 %
24	-	1	0.1 %
31	-	9	0.6 %
32	-	7	0.4 %
33	-	3	0.2 %
35	-	9	0.6 %
36	-	5	0.3 %
40	-	8	0.5 %
41	-	1	0.1 %
42	-	1	0.1 %
43	-	1	0.1 %
44	-	2	0.1 %
48	-	1	0.1 %
51	-	1	0.1 %
55	-	1	0.1 %
56	-	7	0.4 %
58	-	5	0.3 %
60	-	3	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
63	-	1	0.1 %
64	-	3	0.2 %
65	-	3	0.2 %
68	-	8	0.5 %
69	-	1	0.1 %
70	-	1	0.1 %
71	-	1	0.1 %
77	-	2	0.1 %
81	-	3	0.2 %
83	-	2	0.1 %
91	-	1	0.1 %
93	-	1	0.1 %
101	-	1	0.1 %
120	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1495	93.1 %
998	DK	0	0.0 %
999	NA	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 109 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 46.68
- Median: 40.00
- Minimum: 12.00
- Maximum: 120.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.71

Location: 226-228 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 998 , 999

SPJEW16: WHAT SORT OF JEW WAS RS SPOUSE AT 16

Question: Was (s/he) Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or none of these? (Probe for exact denomination).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ORTHODOX	1	0.1 %
2	CONSERVATIVE	8	0.5 %
3	REFORM	6	0.4 %
4	NONE OF THESE	3	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1587	98.8 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	NA	1	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 229-229 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SPFUND16: HOW FUNDAMENTALIST WAS SPOUSE AT 16

Question: Fundamentalism/Liberalism of religion spouse raised in.

Notes: See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Report No. 43. If planning to perform trend analysis with this variable, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	FUNDAMENTALIST	269	16.7 %
2	MODERATE	345	21.5 %
3	LIBERAL	202	12.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	747	46.5 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA-EXCLUDED	43	2.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 816 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 230-230 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PRAYER: BIBLE PRAYER IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Question: The United States Supreme Court has ruled that no state or local government may require the reading of the Lord's Prayer or Bible verses in public schools. What are your views on this--do you approve or disapprove of the court ruling?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	APPROVE	423	26.3 %
2	DISAPPROVE	611	38.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	43	2.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,034 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 231-231 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

BIBLE: FEELINGS ABOUT THE BIBLE

Question: Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible? Read first three statements only. Circle only one code. a) The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word. b) The Bible is the inspired word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word. c) The Bible is an ancient book of fables, legends, history, and moral precepts recorded by men.

Notes: Card AF contained punches 1-3. Q. 120A in GSS cumulative codebook appeared on Forms 1 and 2 in 1984 and 1987 and Form 1 in 1985. See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Report No. 30. See Appendix P.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	WORD OF GOD	356	22.2 %
2	INSPIRED WORD	524	32.6 %
3	BOOK OF FABLES	163	10.1 %
4	OTHER	9	0.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	22	1.4 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,052 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 232-232 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RACMAR: FAVOR LAW AGAINST RACIAL INTERMARRIAGE

Question: Do you think there should be laws against marriages between (Negroes/Blacks/Afri) an-Americans) and whites?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	178	11.1 %
2	NO	859	53.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	35	2.2 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,037 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 233-233 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RACSEG: WHITES HAVE RIGHT TO SEG. NEIGHBORHOOD

Question: Here are some opinions other people have expressed in connection with (Negro/Black)-white relations. Which statement on the card comes closest to how you, yourself, feel? White people have a right to keep (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans) out of their neighborhoods if they want to, and (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans) should respect that right.

Notes: Card AJ contained the responses for punches 1-4. Q.127A (in GSS Cumulative Codebook) appeared on Form 1 in 1985. See Appendix N in GSS Cumulative Codebook for across survey changes. See Appendix P in GSS Cumulative Codebook.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AGREE STRONGLY	42	2.6 %
2	AGREE SLIGHTLY	109	6.8 %
3	DISAGREE SLIGHTLY	218	13.6 %
4	DISAGREE STRONGLY	681	42.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	23	1.4 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,050 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 234-234 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RACOPEN: VOTE ON OPEN HOUSING LAW

Question: Suppose there is a community-wide vote on the general housing issue. There are two possible laws to vote on (Read categories A & B). Which law would you vote for? A) One law says that a homeowner can decide for himself whom to sell his house to, even if he prefers not to sell to (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans). B) The second law says that a homeowner cannot refuse to sell to someone because of their race or color.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	OWNER DECIDES	314	19.6 %
2	CANT DISCRIMINATE	680	42.3 %
3	NEITHER	40	2.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	19	1.2 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,034 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 235-235 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RACLIVE: ANY OPP. RACE IN NEIGHBORHOOD

Question: Are there any (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans) living in this neighborhood now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	955	59.5 %
2	NO	562	35.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	79	4.9 %
9	NA	10	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,517 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 236-236 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RACCLOS: ANY OPP. RACE LIVING CLOSE TO R

Question: Are there any (Negro/Black/African-American) families living close to you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	699	43.5 %
2	NO	235	14.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Missing Data			
0	NAP	641	39.9 %
8	DK	6	0.4 %
9	NA	25	1.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 934 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 237-237 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RACDIS: HOW FAR ARE CLOSEST OPP. RACE

Question: How many blocks (or miles) away do they (the [Negro/Black/African-American] families who live closest to you) live?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SAME BLOCK	482	30.0 %
2	1-3 BLKS AWAY	277	17.2 %
3	4-8 BLKS AWAY	101	6.3 %
4	OVER 8 BLKS	65	4.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	641	39.9 %
8	DK	13	0.8 %
9	NA	27	1.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 925 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 238-238 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RACINTEG: WILL NEIGHBORHOOD BECOME ALL BLACK

Question: Do you think this neighborhood will become all (Negro/Black/African-American) in the next few years, or will it remain integrated?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALL BLACK	40	2.5 %
2	STAY INTEGRATED	883	55.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	MIXED,NOT WH-BL	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	641	39.9 %
8	DK	26	1.6 %
9	NA	16	1.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 923 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 239-239 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RACHOME: OPP. RACE HOME FOR DINNER RECENTLY

Question: During the last few years, has anyone in your family brought a friend who was a (Negro/Black/African-American) home for dinner?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	392	24.4 %
2	NO	673	41.9 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	4	0.2 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,065 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 240-240 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RACFEW: CHILDREN TO SCHOOL WITH OPPOSITE RACE

Question: Would you yourself have any objection to sending your children to a school where a few of the children are [Whites/(Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans)]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, OBJECT	47	2.9 %
2	NO	1026	63.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	5	0.3 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,073 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 241-241 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RACHAF: CHILDREN TO SCHOOL HALF OPPOSITE RACE

Question: If no or don't know to 133A: Where half of the children are [Whites/(Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans)]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, OBJECT	148	9.2 %
2	NO	850	52.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	573	35.7 %
8	DK	31	1.9 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 998 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 242-242 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RACMOST: CHILDREN TO SCHOOL MOSTLY OPPOSITE RACE

Question: If not or don't know to 133B: Where more than half of the children are [Whites/(Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans)]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, OBJECT	311	19.4 %
2	NO	513	31.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	721	44.9 %
8	DK	53	3.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	NA	8	0.5 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 824 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 243-243 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

BUSING: ATTITUDE TOWARD RACIAL BUSING

Question: In general, do you favor or oppose the busing of(Negro/Black/African-American) and white school children from one school district to another?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	FAVOR	310	19.3 %
2	OPPOSE	680	42.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	86	5.4 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 990 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 244-244 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RACPRES: WOULD VOTE FOR BLACK PRESIDENT

Question: If your party nominated a (Negro/Black/African-American) for President, would you vote for him if he were qualified for the job?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	909	56.6 %
2	NO	113	7.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	55	3.4 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,022 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 245-245 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RACCHURH: ATTEND CHURCH WITH OTHER RACE

Question: Do (Blacks/Negroes/African-Americans)/Whites attend the church that you, yourself, attend most often. Ask in terms of opposite race.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	399	24.8 %
2	NO	443	27.6 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
3	NO CHURCH	211	13.1 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 842 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 246-246 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 3 , 8 , 9

HAPPY: GENERAL HAPPINESS

Question: Taken all together, how would you say things are these days-would you say that you are very happy, pretty happy, or not too happy?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY HAPPY	506	31.5 %
2	PRETTY HAPPY	917	57.1 %
3	NOT TOO HAPPY	178	11.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 247-247 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HAPMAR: HAPPINESS OF MARRIAGE

Question: If currently married, ask Q. 158. Taking things all together, how would you describe your marriage? Would you say that your marriage is very happy, pretty happy, or not too happy?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY HAPPY	527	32.8 %
2	PRETTY HAPPY	301	18.7 %
3	NOT TOO HAPPY	25	1.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	747	46.5 %
8	DK	3	0.2 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 853 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 248-248 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HEALTH: CONDITION OF HEALTH

Question: Would you say your own health, in general, is excellent, good, fair, or poor?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXCELLENT	339	21.1 %
2	GOOD	487	30.3 %
3	FAIR	178	11.1 %
4	POOR	67	4.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,071 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 249-249 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

LIFE: IS LIFE EXCITING OR DULL

Question: In general, do you find life exciting, pretty routine, or dull?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXCITING	496	30.9 %
2	ROUTINE	502	31.3 %
3	DULL	69	4.3 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	4	0.2 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,067 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 250-250 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HELPFUL: PEOPLE HELPFUL OR LOOKING OUT FOR SELVES

Question: Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are mostly just looking out for themselves?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HELPFUL	551	34.3 %
2	LOOKOUT FOR SELF	431	26.8 %
3	DEPENDS	60	3.7 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	14	0.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	NA	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,042 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 251-251 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FAIR: PEOPLE FAIR OR TRY TO TAKE ADVANTAGE

Question: Do you think most people would try to take advantage of you if they got a chance, or would they try to be fair?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TAKE ADVANTAGE	384	23.9 %
2	FAIR	589	36.7 %
3	DEPENDS	74	4.6 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	8	0.5 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,047 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 252-252 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

TRUST: CAN PEOPLE BE TRUSTED

Question: Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted or that you can't be too careful in life.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	CAN TRUST	377	23.5 %
2	CANNOT TRUST	630	39.2 %
3	DEPENDS	45	2.8 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	4	0.2 %
9	NA	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,052 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 253-253 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SATCITY: CITY OR PLACE R LIVES IN

Question: For each area of life I am going to name, tell me the number that shows how much satisfaction you get from that area. How much satisfaction do you get from the city or place you live in?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY GREAT DEAL	182	11.3 %
2	GREAT DEAL	304	18.9 %
3	QUITE A BIT	195	12.1 %
4	A FAIR AMOUNT	211	13.1 %
5	SOME	84	5.2 %
6	A LITTLE	57	3.5 %
7	NONE	21	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,054 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 254-254 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SATHOBBY: NON-WORKING ACTIVITIES,HOBBIES

Question: For each area of life I am going to name, tell me the number that shows how much satisfaction you get from that area. How much satisfaction do you get from your non-working activities-hobbies and so on?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY GREAT DEAL	252	15.7 %
2	GREAT DEAL	345	21.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	QUITE A BIT	193	12.0 %
4	A FAIR AMOUNT	125	7.8 %
5	SOME	63	3.9 %
6	A LITTLE	40	2.5 %
7	NONE	28	1.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	4	0.2 %
9	NA	7	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,046 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 255-255 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SATFAM: FAMILY LIFE

Question: For each area of life I am going to name, tell me the number that shows how much satisfaction you get from that area.
How much satisfaction do you get from your family life?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY GREAT DEAL	432	26.9 %
2	GREAT DEAL	361	22.5 %
3	QUITE A BIT	99	6.2 %
4	A FAIR AMOUNT	78	4.9 %
5	SOME	31	1.9 %
6	A LITTLE	26	1.6 %
7	NONE	20	1.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	4	0.2 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,047 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 256-256 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SATFRND: FRIENDSHIPS

Question: For each area of life I am going to name, tell me the number that shows how much satisfaction you get from that area.
How much satisfaction do you get from your friendships?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY GREAT DEAL	342	21.3 %
2	GREAT DEAL	387	24.1 %
3	QUITE A BIT	151	9.4 %
4	A FAIR AMOUNT	108	6.7 %
5	SOME	33	2.1 %
6	A LITTLE	27	1.7 %
7	NONE	5	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,053 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 257-257 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SATHEALT: HEALTH AND PHYSICAL CONDITION

Question: For each area of life I am going to name, tell me the number that shows how much satisfaction you get from that area.
How much satisfaction do you get from your health and physical condition?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY GREAT DEAL	240	14.9 %
2	GREAT DEAL	343	21.4 %
3	QUITE A BIT	197	12.3 %
4	A FAIR AMOUNT	156	9.7 %
5	SOME	37	2.3 %
6	A LITTLE	52	3.2 %
7	NONE	25	1.6 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	4	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,050 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 258-258 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CONFINAN: CONFID IN BANKS & FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Question: I am going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them? Banks and financial institutions?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A GREAT DEAL	158	9.8 %
2	ONLY SOME	598	37.2 %
3	HARDLY ANY	275	17.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	23	1.4 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,031 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 259-259 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CONBUS: CONFIDENCE IN MAJOR COMPANIES

Question: I am going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them? Major corporations?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A GREAT DEAL	221	13.8 %
2	ONLY SOME	661	41.2 %
3	HARDLY ANY	130	8.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	43	2.7 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,012 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 260-260 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CONCLERG: CONFIDENCE IN ORGANIZED RELIGION

Question: I am going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them? Organized religion?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A GREAT DEAL	240	14.9 %
2	ONLY SOME	521	32.4 %
3	HARDLY ANY	257	16.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	33	2.1 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,018 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 261-261 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CONEDUC: CONFIDENCE IN EDUCATION

Question: I am going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them? Education?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A GREAT DEAL	234	14.6 %
2	ONLY SOME	609	37.9 %
3	HARDLY ANY	192	12.0 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	17	1.1 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,035 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 262-262 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CONFED: CONFID. IN EXEC BRANCH OF FED GOVT

Question: I am going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them? Executive branch of the federal government?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A GREAT DEAL	124	7.7 %
2	ONLY SOME	556	34.6 %
3	HARDLY ANY	340	21.2 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	32	2.0 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,020 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 263-263 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CONLABOR: CONFIDENCE IN ORGANIZED LABOR

Question: I am going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them? Organized labor?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A GREAT DEAL	87	5.4 %
2	ONLY SOME	553	34.4 %
3	HARDLY ANY	340	21.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	73	4.5 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 980 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 264-264 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

COMPRESS: CONFIDENCE IN PRESS

Question: I am going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them? The Press?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A GREAT DEAL	114	7.1 %
2	ONLY SOME	512	31.9 %
3	HARDLY ANY	406	25.3 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	21	1.3 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,032 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 265-265 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CONMEDIC: CONFIDENCE IN MEDICINE

Question: I am going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them? Medicine?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A GREAT DEAL	411	25.6 %
2	ONLY SOME	539	33.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	HARDLY ANY	89	5.5 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	13	0.8 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,039 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 266-266 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CONTV: CONFIDENCE IN TELEVISION

Question: I am going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them? Television?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A GREAT DEAL	122	7.6 %
2	ONLY SOME	532	33.1 %
3	HARDLY ANY	388	24.2 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	12	0.7 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,042 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 267-267 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CONJUDGE: CONFID. IN UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

Question: I am going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them? U. S. Supreme Court?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A GREAT DEAL	322	20.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	ONLY SOME	546	34.0 %
3	HARDLY ANY	141	8.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	45	2.8 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,009 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 268-268 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CONSCI: CONFIDENCE IN SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY

Question: I am going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them? Scientific community?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A GREAT DEAL	395	24.6 %
2	ONLY SOME	496	30.9 %
3	HARDLY ANY	71	4.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	90	5.6 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 962 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 269-269 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CONLEGIS: CONFIDENCE IN CONGRESS

Question: I am going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them? Congress?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A GREAT DEAL	73	4.5 %
2	ONLY SOME	528	32.9 %
3	HARDLY ANY	428	26.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	25	1.6 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,029 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 270-270 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CONARMY: CONFIDENCE IN MILITARY

Question: I am going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them? Military?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A GREAT DEAL	441	27.5 %
2	ONLY SOME	477	29.7 %
3	HARDLY ANY	116	7.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	18	1.1 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,034 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 271-271 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

OBEY: TO OBEY

Question: If you had to choose, which thing on this list would you pick as the most important for a child to learn to prepare him or her for life? A) Which comes next in importance? B) Which comes third? C) Which comes fourth? To obey?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MOST IMPORTANT	191	11.9 %
2	2ND IMPORTANT	146	9.1 %
3	3RD IMPORTANT	174	10.8 %
4	4TH IMPORTANT	361	22.5 %
5	LEAST IMPORTANT	159	9.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	26	1.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,031 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 272-272 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

POPULAR: TO BE WELL LIKED OR POPULAR

Question: If you had to choose, which thing on this list would you pick as the most important for a child to learn to prepare him or her for life? A) Which comes next in importance? B) Which comes third? C) Which comes fourth? To be well-like or popular?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MOST IMPORTANT	11	0.7 %
2	2ND IMPORTANT	26	1.6 %
3	3RD IMPORTANT	49	3.1 %
4	4TH IMPORTANT	193	12.0 %
5	LEAST IMPORTANT	752	46.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	26	1.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,031 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 273-273 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

THNKSELF: TO THINK FOR ONES SELF

Question: If you had to choose, which thing on this list would you pick as the most important for a child to learn to prepare him or her for life? A) Which comes next in importance? B) Which comes third? C) Which comes fourth? To think for himself or herself?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MOST IMPORTANT	551	34.3 %
2	2ND IMPORTANT	154	9.6 %
3	3RD IMPORTANT	125	7.8 %
4	4TH IMPORTANT	140	8.7 %
5	LEAST IMPORTANT	61	3.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	26	1.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,031 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 274-274 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

WORKHARD: TO WORK HARD

Question: If you had to choose, which thing on this list would you pick as the most important for a child to learn to prepare him or her for life? A) Which comes next in importance? B) Which comes third? C) Which comes fourth? To work hard?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MOST IMPORTANT	143	8.9 %
2	2ND IMPORTANT	368	22.9 %
3	3RD IMPORTANT	369	23.0 %
4	4TH IMPORTANT	129	8.0 %
5	LEAST IMPORTANT	22	1.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	26	1.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,031 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 275-275 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HELPOTH: TO HELP OTHERS

Question: If you had to choose, which thing on this list would you pick as the most important for a child to learn to prepare him or her for life? A) Which comes next in importance? B) Which comes third? C) Which comes fourth? To help others when they need help?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MOST IMPORTANT	135	8.4 %
2	2ND IMPORTANT	337	21.0 %
3	3RD IMPORTANT	314	19.6 %
4	4TH IMPORTANT	208	13.0 %
5	LEAST IMPORTANT	37	2.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	26	1.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,031 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 276-276 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SOCREL: SPEND EVENING WITH RELATIVES

Question: Would you use this card and tell me which answer comes closest to how often you do the following things ... Spend a social evening with relatives?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALMOST DAILY	84	5.2 %
2	SEV TIMES A WEEK	263	16.4 %
3	SEV TIMES A MNTH	209	13.0 %
4	ONCE A MONTH	211	13.1 %
5	SEV TIMES A YEAR	185	11.5 %
6	ONCE A YEAR	83	5.2 %
7	NEVER	40	2.5 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,075 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 277-278 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 8 , 9

SOCOMMUN: SPEND EVENING WITH NEIGHBOR

Question: Would you use this card and tell me which answer comes closest to how often you do the following things ... Spend a social evening with someone who lives in your neighborhood?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALMOST DAILY	49	3.1 %
2	SEV TIMES A WEEK	181	11.3 %
3	SEV TIMES A MNTH	130	8.1 %
4	ONCE A MONTH	156	9.7 %
5	SEV TIMES A YEAR	138	8.6 %
6	ONCE A YEAR	102	6.4 %
7	NEVER	320	19.9 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	2	0.1 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,076 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 279-280 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 8 , 9

SOCFRIEND: SPEND EVENING WITH FRIENDS

Question: Would you use this card and tell me which answer comes closest to how often you do the following things ... Spend a social evening with friends who live outside the neighborhood?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALMOST DAILY	35	2.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	SEV TIMES A WEEK	227	14.1 %
3	SEV TIMES A MNTH	220	13.7 %
4	ONCE A MONTH	214	13.3 %
5	SEV TIMES A YEAR	218	13.6 %
6	ONCE A YEAR	65	4.0 %
7	NEVER	97	6.0 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,076 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 281-282 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 8 , 9

SOCBAR: SPEND EVENING AT BAR

Question: Would you use this card and tell me which answer comes closest to how often you do the following things ... Go to a bar or tavern?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALMOST DAILY	7	0.4 %
2	SEV TIMES A WEEK	85	5.3 %
3	SEV TIMES A MNTH	78	4.9 %
4	ONCE A MONTH	110	6.8 %
5	SEV TIMES A YEAR	120	7.5 %
6	ONCE A YEAR	120	7.5 %
7	NEVER	556	34.6 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,076 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 283-284 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 8 , 9

SOCPARS: SPEND EVENING WITH PARENTS

Question: Would you use this card and tell me which answer comes closest to how often you do the following things ... Spend a social evening with your parents?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NO SUCH PEOPLE	283	17.6 %
1	ALMOST DAILY	73	4.5 %
2	SEV TIMES A WEEK	154	9.6 %
3	SEV TIMES A MNTH	107	6.7 %
4	ONCE A MONTH	121	7.5 %
5	SEV TIMES A YEAR	148	9.2 %
6	ONCE A YEAR	92	5.7 %
7	NEVER	95	5.9 %
	Missing Data		
-2	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	2	0.1 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,073 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 285-286 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 8 , 9

SOC SIBS: SPEND EVENING WITH SIBLINGS

Question: Would you use this card and tell me which answer comes closest to how often you do the following things ... Spend a social evening with a brother or sister?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NO SUCH PEOPLE	95	5.9 %
1	ALMOST DAILY	52	3.2 %
2	SEV TIMES A WEEK	128	8.0 %
3	SEV TIMES A MNTH	140	8.7 %
4	ONCE A MONTH	152	9.5 %
5	SEV TIMES A YEAR	231	14.4 %
6	ONCE A YEAR	158	9.8 %
7	NEVER	112	7.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-2	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	3	0.2 %
9	NA	9	0.6 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,068 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 287-288 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 8 , 9

AGED: SHOULD AGED LIVE WITH THEIR CHILDREN

Question: As you know, many older people share a home with their grown children. Do you think this is generally a good idea or a bad idea?

Notes: If planning to perform trend analysis with this variable, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A GOOD IDEA	455	28.3 %
2	A BAD IDEA	369	23.0 %
3	DEPENDS	218	13.6 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	13	0.8 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,042 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 289-289 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

DRINK: EVER DRINK ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES?

Question: Do you ever have occasion to use any alcoholic beverages such as liquor, wine, or beer, or are you a total abstainer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	733	45.6 %
2	NO	324	20.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,057 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 290-290 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

DRUNK: EVER DRINK TOO MUCH?

Question: Do you sometimes drink more than you think you should?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	240	14.9 %
2	NO	491	30.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	873	54.4 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	1	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 731 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 291-291 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SMOKE: DOES R SMOKE

Question: Do you smoke?

Notes: age

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	288	17.9 %
2	NO	767	47.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,055 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 292-292 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

QUITSMK: EVER TRIED TO QUIT SMOKING

Question: If yes: Have you ever tried to give up smoking?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	218	13.6 %
2	NO	70	4.4 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1316	81.9 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 288 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 293-293 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

EVSMOKE: HAS R EVER SMOKED

Question: If no: Have you ever smoked regularly?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	260	16.2 %
2	NO	496	30.9 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	837	52.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	13	0.8 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 756 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 294-294 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

ANOMIA5: LOT OF THE AVERAGE MAN GETTING WORSE

Question: Now I'm going to read you several more statements. Some people agree with a statement, other disagree. As I read each one, tell me whether you more or less agree with it, or more or less disagree? In spite of what some people say, the lot (situation/condition) of the average man is getting worse, not better.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AGREE	708	44.1 %
2	DISAGREE	319	19.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	43	2.7 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,027 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 295-295 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

ANOMIA6: NOT FAIR TO BRING CHILD INTO WORLD

Question: Now I'm going to read you several more statements. Some people agree with a statement, other disagree. As I read each one, tell me whether you more or less agree with it, or more or less disagree? It's hardly fair to bring a child into the world with the way things look for the future.

Notes: Q. 178 in GSS cumulative codebook had same instructions as Q. 177 in GSS cumulative codebook. See Appendix N for across survey changes. See Q. 248 in GSS cumulative codebook for the split ballot forms. See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Report No. 23. See Appendix P. If planning to perform trend analysis with ANOMIA6, consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AGREE	442	27.5 %
2	DISAGREE	600	37.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	30	1.9 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,042 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 296-296 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

ANOMIA7: OFFICIALS NOT INTERESTED IN AVERAGE MAN

Question: Now I'm going to read you several more statements. Some people agree with a statement, other disagree. As I read each one, tell me whether you more or less agree with it, or more or less disagree? Most public official(people in public office) are not really interested in the problems of the average man.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AGREE	791	49.3 %
2	DISAGREE	254	15.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	25	1.6 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,045 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 297-297 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

JOBLOSE: IS R LIKELY TO LOSE JOB

Question: If R has a job, ask Qs 180A and 180B. Thinking about the next 12 months, how likely do you think it is that you will lose your job or be laid off-very likely, fairly likely, not too likely, or not at all likely?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY LIKELY	30	1.9 %
2	FAIRLY LIKELY	54	3.4 %
3	NOT TOO LIKELY	179	11.1 %
4	NOT LIKELY	405	25.2 %
5	LEAVING LABOR FORCE	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	925	57.6 %
8	DK	9	0.6 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 668 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 298-298 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

JOBFIND: COULD R FIND EQUALLY GOOD JOB

Question: About how easy would it be for you to find a job with another employer with approximately the same income and fringe benefits you now have? Would you say very easy, somewhat easy, or not easy at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY EASY	147	9.2 %
2	SOMEWHAT EASY	220	13.7 %
3	NOT EASY	298	18.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	925	57.6 %
8	DK	11	0.7 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 665 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 299-299 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SATJOB: JOB OR HOUSEWORK

Question: If currently working, temporarily not at work, or keeping house, ask Q. 181. On the whole, how satisfied are you with the work you do-would you say you are very satisfied, moderately satisfied, a little dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

Notes: See Appendix N for across survey changes. If planning to perform trend analysis with this variable, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY SATISFIED	532	33.1 %
2	MOD. SATISFIED	505	31.4 %
3	A LITTLE DISSAT	133	8.3 %
4	VERY DISSATISFIED	58	3.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	329	20.5 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	48	3.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,228 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 300-300 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RICHWORK: IF RICH, CONTINUE OR STOP WORKING

Question: If currently working, temporarily not at work, ask Q. 182. If you were to get enough money to live as comfortably as you would like for the rest of your life, would you continue to work or would you stop working?

Notes: See Appendix N for across survey changes. If planning to perform trend analysis with this variable, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	CONTINUE WORKING	474	29.5 %
2	STOP WORKING	213	13.3 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	901	56.1 %
8	DK	13	0.8 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 687 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 301-301 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

JOBINC: HIGH INCOME

Question: a) Would you please look at this card and tell me which one thing on this list you would most prefer in a job. b) Which comes next? c) Which is third most important? d) Which is fourth most important? High income?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MOST IMPT	202	12.6 %
2	SECOND	297	18.5 %
3	THIRD	289	18.0 %
4	FOURTH	189	11.8 %
5	FIFTH	60	3.7 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	38	2.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,037 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 302-302 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

JOBSEC: NO DANGER OF BEING FIRED

Question: a) Would you please look at this card and tell me which one thing on this list you would most prefer in a job. b) Which comes next? c) Which is third most important? d) Which is fourth most important? No danger of being fired?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MOST IMPT	91	5.7 %
2	SECOND	146	9.1 %
3	THIRD	210	13.1 %
4	FOURTH	348	21.7 %
5	FIFTH	242	15.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	38	2.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,037 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 303-303 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

JOBHOUR: SHORT WORKING HOURS

Question: a) Would you please look at this card and tell me which one thing on this list you would most prefer in a job. b) Which comes next? c) Which is third most important? d) Which is fourth most important? Working hours are short, lost of free time?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MOST IMPT	37	2.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	SECOND	65	4.0 %
3	THIRD	111	6.9 %
4	FOURTH	219	13.6 %
5	FIFTH	605	37.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	38	2.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,037 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 304-304 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

JOBPROMO: CHANCES FOR ADVANCEMENT

Question: a) Would you please look at this card and tell me which one thing on this list you would most prefer in a job. b) Which comes next? c) Which is third most important? d) Which is fourth most important? Chances for advancement?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MOST IMPT	177	11.0 %
2	SECOND	358	22.3 %
3	THIRD	257	16.0 %
4	FOURTH	163	10.1 %
5	FIFTH	82	5.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	38	2.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,037 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 305-305 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

JOBMEANS: WORK IMPORTANT AND FEEL ACCOMPLISHMENT

Question: a) Would you please look at this card and tell me which one thing on this list you would most prefer in a job. b) Which comes next? c) Which is third most important? d) Which is fourth most important? Work important and gives a feeling of accomplishment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MOST IMPT	530	33.0 %
2	SECOND	171	10.6 %
3	THIRD	170	10.6 %
4	FOURTH	118	7.3 %
5	FIFTH	48	3.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	38	2.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,037 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 306-306 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CLASS: SUBJECTIVE CLASS IDENTIFICATION

Question: If you were asked to use one of four names for your social class, which would you say you belong in: lower class, the working class, the middle class, or the upper class?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LOWER CLASS	106	6.6 %
2	WORKING CLASS	713	44.4 %
3	MIDDLE CLASS	720	44.8 %
4	UPPER CLASS	49	3.1 %
5	NO CLASS	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	5	0.3 %
9	NA	13	0.8 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,588 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 307-307 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SATFIN: SATISFACTION WITH FINANCIAL SITUATION

Question: We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. SO far as you and your family are concerned, would you say that you are pretty well satisfied with your present financial situation, more or less satisfied, or not satisfied at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SATISFIED	430	26.8 %
2	MORE OR LESS	712	44.3 %
3	NOT AT ALL SAT	454	28.3 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	7	0.4 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,596 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 308-308 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9

FINALTER: CHANGE IN FINANCIAL SITUATION

Question: During the last few years, has your financial situation been getting better, worse, or has it stayed the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	BETTER	552	34.4 %
2	WORSE	422	26.3 %
3	STAYED SAME	619	38.5 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	9	0.6 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,593 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 309-309 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9

FINRELA: OPINION OF FAMILY INCOME

Question: Compared with American families in general, would you say your family income is far below average, below average, average, above average, or far above average? (Probe: Just your best guess.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	FAR BELOW AVERAGE	102	6.4 %
2	BELOW AVERAGE	373	23.2 %
3	AVERAGE	776	48.3 %
4	ABOVE AVERAGE	305	19.0 %
5	FAR ABOVE AVERAGE	31	1.9 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	12	0.7 %
9	NA	7	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,587 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 310-310 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9

WKSUB: DOES R OR SPOUSE HAVE SUPERVISOR

Question: If R is currently working (including those on vacation, strike, ill, last week)-ask Qs 191 and 192 about R. If R is married and not working-ask Qs 191 and 192 about spouse if spouse is working. If neither R nor spouse is working, skip to Q. 193. Do you (does your [spouse]) have a supervisor on (your/his/her) job to whom you are (he/she/is) directly responsible?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	620	38.6 %
2	NO	144	9.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	839	52.2 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 764 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 311-311 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

WKSUBS: DOES SUPERVISOR HAVE SUPERVISOR

Question: If yes: Does that person have a supervisor on the job to whom he is directly responsible?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	YES	478	29.8 %
4	NO	123	7.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	983	61.2 %
8	DK	10	0.6 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 601 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 312-312 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

WKSUP: DOES R OR SPOUSE SUPERVISE ANYONE

Question: In your (spouse's) job, (do you/does he/she) supervise anyone who is directly responsible to (you/him/her)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	329	20.5 %
2	NO	422	26.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	839	52.2 %
8	DK	5	0.3 %
9	NA	11	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 751 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 313-313 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

WKSUPS: DOES SUBORDINATE SUPERVISE ANYONE

Question: If yes: Do any of those persons supervise anyone else?

Notes: See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Report No. 11.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	YES	124	7.7 %
4	NO	198	12.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1265	78.8 %
8	DK	4	0.2 %
9	NA	15	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 322 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 314-314 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

UNEMP: EVER UNEMPLOYED IN LAST TEN YRS

Question: Ask everyone: At any time during the last ten years, have you been unemployed and looking for work for as long as a month?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	341	21.2 %
2	NO	712	44.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,053 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 315-315 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

UNION: DOES R OR SPOUSE BELONG TO UNION

Question: Do you (or your [spouse]) belong to a labor union? (Who?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	R BELONGS	155	9.7 %
2	SPOUSE BELONGS	82	5.1 %
3	R AND SPOUSE BELONG	12	0.7 %
4	NEITHER BELONGS	1342	83.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	3	0.2 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,591 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 316-316 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GETAHEAD: OPINION OF HOW PEOPLE GET AHEAD

Question: Some people say that people get ahead by their own hard work; others say that lucky breaks or help from other people are more important. Which do you think is most important?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HARD WORK	703	43.8 %
2	BOTH EQUALLY	231	14.4 %
3	LUCK OR HELP	134	8.3 %
4	OTHER	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	3	0.2 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,068 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 317-317 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FEHOME: WOMEN TAKE CARE OF HOME NOT COUNTRY

Question: Do you agree or disagree with this statement? Women should take care of running their homes and leave running the country up to men.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AGREE	154	9.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	DISAGREE	888	55.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	NOT SURE	34	2.1 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,042 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 318-318 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FEWORK: SHOULD WOMEN WORK

Question: Do you approve or disapprove of a married woman earning money in business or industry if she has a husband capable of supporting her?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	APPROVE	849	52.9 %
2	DISAPPROVE	207	12.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	22	1.4 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,056 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 319-319 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FEPRES: VOTE FOR WOMAN PRESIDENT

Question: If your party nominated a woman for President, would you vote for her if she were qualified for the job?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	936	58.3 %
2	NO	96	6.0 %
5	WOULDNT VOTE	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	45	2.8 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,032 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 320-320 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FEPOL: WOMEN NOT SUITED FOR POLITICS

Question: Tell me if you agree or disagree with this statement: Most men are better suited emotionally for politics than are most women.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AGREE	217	13.5 %
2	DISAGREE	803	50.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	NOT SURE	58	3.6 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,020 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 321-321 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

ABDEFECT: STRONG CHANCE OF SERIOUS DEFECT

Question: Please tell me whether or not you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if there is a strong chance of serious defect in the baby?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	840	52.3 %
2	NO	193	12.0 %
Missing Data			

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	37	2.3 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,033 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 322-322 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

ABNOMORE: MARRIED--WANTS NO MORE CHILDREN

Question: Please tell me whether or not you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if she is married and does not want any more children?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	480	29.9 %
2	NO	539	33.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	51	3.2 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,019 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 323-323 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

ABHLTH: WOMANS HEALTH SERIOUSLY ENDANGERED

Question: Please tell me whether or not you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if the woman's own health is seriously endangered by the pregnancy?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	923	57.5 %
2	NO	105	6.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	43	2.7 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,028 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 324-324 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

ABPOOR: LOW INCOME--CANT AFFORD MORE CHILDREN

Question: Please tell me whether or not you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if the family has a very low income and cannot afford any more children?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	508	31.6 %
2	NO	511	31.8 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	51	3.2 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,019 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 325-325 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

ABRAPE: PREGNANT AS RESULT OF RAPE

Question: Please tell me whether or not you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if she became pregnant as a result of rape?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	850	52.9 %
2	NO	175	10.9 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	46	2.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,025 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 326-326 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

ABSINGLE: NOT MARRIED

Question: Please tell me whether or not you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if she is not married and does not want to marry the man?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	488	30.4 %
2	NO	526	32.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	56	3.5 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,014 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 327-327 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

ABANY: ABORTION IF WOMAN WANTS FOR ANY REASON

Question: Please tell me whether or not you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if the woman wants it for any reason?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	458	28.5 %
2	NO	552	34.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	59	3.7 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,010 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 328-328 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CHLDIDEL: IDEAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN

Question: What do you think is the ideal number of children for a family to have?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	10	0.6 %
1	-	37	2.3 %
2	-	595	37.0 %
3	-	216	13.4 %
4	-	90	5.6 %
5	-	13	0.8 %
6	-	3	0.2 %
7	SEVEN+	3	0.2 %
8	AS MANY AS WANT	61	3.8 %
	Missing Data		
-2	NAP	526	32.8 %
9	DK,NA	52	3.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,028 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 2.75
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.56

Location: 329-330 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 9

CHLDMORE: EXPECT MORE CHILDREN

Question: Do you expect to have any (more) children?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	230	14.3 %
2	NO	795	49.5 %
3	UNCERTAIN	46	2.9 %
4	INAPPROPRIATE	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
9	NA	9	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,071 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 331-331 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 9

CHLDNUM: HOW MANY CHILDREN EXPECTED

Question: How many (more)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	One	80	5.0 %
2	Two	84	5.2 %
3	Three	41	2.6 %
4	Four	8	0.5 %
5	Five	3	0.2 %
6	Six	0	0.0 %
7	Seven	1	0.1 %
8	8 OR MORE	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1367	85.1 %
9	DK,NA	22	1.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 217 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 332-332 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 9

PILLOK: BIRTH CONTROL TO TEENAGERS 14-16

Question: Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree that methods of birth control should be available to teenagers between the ages of 14 and 16 if their parents do not approve?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	279	17.4 %
2	AGREE	325	20.2 %
3	DISAGREE	242	15.1 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	191	11.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	36	2.2 %
9	NA	7	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,037 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 333-333 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SEXEDUC: SEX EDUCATION IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Question: Would you be for or against sex education in the public schools?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	FAVOR	890	55.4 %
2	OPPOSE	159	9.9 %
3	DEPENDS	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	28	1.7 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,049 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 334-334 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

DIVLAW: DIVORCE LAWS

Question: Should divorce in this country be easier or more difficult to obtain than it is now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EASIER	280	17.4 %
2	MORE DIFFICULT	511	31.8 %
3	STAY SAME	211	13.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	75	4.7 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,002 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 335-335 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PREMARSX: SEX BEFORE MARRIAGE

Question: There's been a lot of discussion about the way morals and attitudes about sex are changing in this country. If a man and woman have sex relations before marriage, do you think it is always wrong, almost always wrong, wrong only sometimes, or not wrong at all?

Notes: See Appendix N for across survey changes. See GSS Social Change Report No. 35.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALWAYS WRONG	283	17.6 %
2	ALMST ALWAYS WRG	106	6.6 %
3	SOMETIMES WRONG	218	13.6 %
4	NOT WRONG AT ALL	443	27.6 %
5	OTHER	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	27	1.7 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,050 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 336-336 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

TEENSEX: SEX BEFORE MARRIAGE -- TEENS 14-16

Question: What if they are in their early teens, say 14 to 16 years old? In that case, do you think sex relations before marriage are always wrong, almost always wrong, wrong only sometimes, or not wrong at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALWAYS WRONG	733	45.6 %
2	ALMST ALWAYS WRG	186	11.6 %
3	SOMETIMES WRONG	102	6.4 %
4	NOT WRONG AT ALL	39	2.4 %
5	OTHER	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	16	1.0 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,060 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 337-337 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

XMARSEX: SEX WITH PERSON OTHER THAN SPOUSE

Question: What is your opinion about a married person having sexual relations with someone other than the marriage partner-is it always wrong, almost always wrong, wrong only sometimes, or not wrong at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALWAYS WRONG	815	50.7 %
2	ALMST ALWAYS WRG	152	9.5 %
3	SOMETIMES WRONG	60	3.7 %
4	NOT WRONG AT ALL	26	1.6 %
5	OTHER	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	17	1.1 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,053 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 338-338 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HOMOSEX: HOMOSEXUAL SEX RELATIONS

Question: What about sexual relations between two adults of the same sex-do you think it is always wrong, almost always wrong, wrong only sometimes, or not wrong at all?

Notes: Contents of punch 5 (Other, Specify), available from NORC. See Appendix N for across survey changes. If planning to perform trend analysis with this variable, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALWAYS WRONG	671	41.8 %
2	ALMST ALWAYS WRG	44	2.7 %
3	SOMETIMES WRONG	74	4.6 %
4	NOT WRONG AT ALL	223	13.9 %
5	OTHER	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	56	3.5 %
9	NA	7	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,012 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 339-339 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PORNINF: MATERIALS PROVIDE INFO ABOUT SEX

Question: The next questions are about pornography-books, movies, magazines, and photographs that show or describe sex activities. I'm going to read some opinions about the effects of looking at or reading such sexual materials. As I read each one, please tell me if you think sexual materials do or do not have that effect. Sexual materials provide information about sex.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	573	35.7 %
2	NO	419	26.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	55	3.4 %
9	NA	10	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 992 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 340-340 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PORNMORL: MATERIALS LEAD TO BREAKDOWN IN MORALS

Question: The next questions are about pornography-books, movies, magazines, and photographs that show or describe sex activities. I'm going to read some opinions about the effects of looking at or reading such sexual materials. As I read each one, please tell me if you think sexual materials do or do not have that effect. Sexual materials lead to breakdown of morals.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	671	41.8 %
2	NO	318	19.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	58	3.6 %
9	NA	10	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 989 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 341-341 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PORNRAPE: MATERIALS LEAD TO RAPE

Question: The next questions are about pornography-books, movies, magazines, and photographs that show or describe sex activities. I'm going to read some opinions about the effects of looking at or reading such sexual materials. As I read each one, please tell me if you think sexual materials do or do not have that effect. Sexual materials lead people to commit rape.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	596	37.1 %
2	NO	351	21.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	100	6.2 %
9	NA	10	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 947 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 342-342 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PORNOUT: MATERIALS PROVIDE OUTLET

Question: The next questions are about pornography-books, movies, magazines, and photographs that show or describe sex activities. I'm going to read some opinions about the effects of looking at or reading such sexual materials. As I read each one, please tell me if you think sexual materials do or do not have that effect. Sexual materials provide an outlet for bottled-up impulses.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	634	39.5 %
2	NO	275	17.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	138	8.6 %
9	NA	10	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 909 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 343-343 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PORNLAWS: FEELINGS ABOUT PORNOGRAPHY LAWS

Question: Which of these statements comes closest to your feelings about pornography laws? Circle only one code. A) There should be laws against the distribution of pornography whatever the age. B) There should be laws against the distribution of pornography to persons under 18. C) There should be no laws forbidding the distribution of pornography.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ILLEGAL TO ALL	438	27.3 %
2	ILLEGAL UNDER 18	568	35.4 %
3	LEGAL	34	2.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	14	0.9 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,040 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 344-344 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

XMOVIE: SEEN X-RATED MOVIE IN LAST YEAR

Question: Have you seen an X-rated movie in the last year?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	202	12.6 %
2	NO	848	52.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	4	0.2 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,050 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 345-345 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SPANKING: FAVOR SPANKING TO DISCIPLINE CHILD

Question: Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree that it is sometimes necessary to discipline a child with a good, hard spanking?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	243	15.1 %
2	AGREE	538	33.5 %
3	DISAGREE	210	13.1 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	70	4.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	15	0.9 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,061 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 346-346 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

LETDIE1: ALLOW INCURABLE PATIENTS TO DIE

Question: When a person has a disease that cannot be cured, do you think doctors should be allowed by law to end the patient's life by some painless means if the patient and his family request it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	696	43.3 %
2	NO	323	20.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	57	3.5 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,019 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 347-347 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SUICIDE1: SUICIDE IF INCURABLE DISEASE

Question: Do you think a person has the right to end his or her own life if this person has an incurable disease?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	611	38.0 %
2	NO	416	25.9 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	50	3.1 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,027 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 348-348 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SUICIDE2: SUICIDE IF BANKRUPT

Question: Do you think a person has the right to end his or her own life if this person has gone bankrupt?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	90	5.6 %
2	NO	970	60.4 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	17	1.1 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,060 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 349-349 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SUICIDE3: SUICIDE IF DISHONORED FAMILY

Question: Do you think a person has the right to end his or her own life if this person has dishonored his or her family?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	81	5.0 %
2	NO	972	60.5 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	24	1.5 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,053 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 350-350 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SUICIDE4: SUICIDE IF TIRED OF LIVING

Question: Do you think a person has the right to end his or her own life if this person is tired of living and ready to die?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	167	10.4 %
2	NO	871	54.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	38	2.4 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,038 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 351-351 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HIT: EVER PUNCHED OR BEATEN BY ANOTHER PERSON

Question: Have you ever been punched or beaten by another person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	379	23.6 %
2	NO	677	42.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	1	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,056 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 352-352 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HITAGE: BEATEN AS CHILD OR ADULT

Question: If yes: Did this happen to you as a child or as an adult?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	CHILD	128	8.0 %
2	ADULT	149	9.3 %
3	BOTH	99	6.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1226	76.3 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 376 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 353-353 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GUN: EVER THREATENED WITH GUN OR SHOT AT

Question: Have you ever been threatened with a gun, or shot at?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	201	12.5 %
2	NO	855	53.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	1	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,056 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 354-354 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GUNAGE: THREATENED OR SHOT AT AS CHILD OR ADULT

Question: If yes: Did this happen to you as a child or as an adult?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	CHILD	29	1.8 %
2	ADULT	155	9.7 %
3	BOTH	17	1.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1404	87.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 201 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 355-355 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HITOK: EVER APPROVE OF MAN PUNCHING ADULT MALE

Question: Are there any situations that you can imagine in which you would approve of a man punching an adult male stranger?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	634	39.5 %
2	NO	354	22.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	63	3.9 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 988 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 356-356 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HITMARCH: STRANGER IN PROTEST MARCH

Question: If yes or not sure: Would you approve if the stranger was in a protest march showing opposition to the other man's views?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	23	1.4 %
2	NO	1009	62.8 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	21	1.3 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,032 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 357-357 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HITDRUNK: DRUNK BUMPED INTO MAN & WIFE

Question: If yes or not sure: Would you approve if the stranger was drunk and bumped into the man and his wife on the street?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	83	5.2 %
2	NO	942	58.7 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	28	1.7 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,025 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 358-358 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HITCHILD: STRANGER HAD HIT MANS CHILD

Question: If yes or not sure: Would you approve of a man punching a stranger who had hit the man's child after the child accidentally damaged the stranger's car?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	599	37.3 %
2	NO	413	25.7 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	42	2.6 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,012 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 359-359 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HITBEATR: STRANGER WAS BEATING UP A WOMAN

Question: If yes or not sure: Would you approve if the stranger was beating up a woman and the man saw it?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	888	55.3 %
2	NO	130	8.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	36	2.2 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,018 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 360-360 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HITROBBR: STRANGER HAD BROKEN INTO MANS HOUSE

Question: If yes or not sure: Would you approve if the stranger had broken into the man's house?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	885	55.1 %
2	NO	141	8.8 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	28	1.7 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,026 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 361-361 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

POLHITOK: EVER APPROVE OF POLICE STRIKING CITIZEN

Question: Are there any situations you can imagine in which you would approve of a policeman striking an adult male citizen?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	770	47.9 %
2	NO	234	14.6 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	49	3.1 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,004 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 362-362 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

POLABUSE: CITIZEN SAID VULGAR OR OBSCENE THINGS

Question: If yes or not sure: Would you approve of a policeman striking a citizen who had said vulgar and obscene things to the policeman?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	73	4.5 %
2	NO	961	59.8 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	19	1.2 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,034 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 363-363 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

POLMURDR: CITIZEN QUESTIONED AS MURDER SUSPECT

Question: If yes or not sure: Would you approve of a policeman striking a citizen who was being questioned as a suspect in a murder case?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	74	4.6 %
2	NO	951	59.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	28	1.7 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,025 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 364-364 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

POLESCAP: CITIZEN ATTEMPTING TO ESCAPE CUSTODY

Question: If yes or not sure: Would you approve of a policeman striking a citizen who was attempting to escape from custody?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	767	47.8 %
2	NO	239	14.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	48	3.0 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,006 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 365-365 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

POLATTAK: CITIZEN ATTACKING POLICEMAN WITH FISTS

Question: If yes or not sure: Would you approve of a policeman striking a citizen who was attacking the policeman with his fists?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	969	60.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	NO	67	4.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	18	1.1 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,036 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 366-366 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FEAR: AFRAID TO WALK AT NIGHT IN NEIGHBORHOOD

Question: Is there any area right around here-that is, within a miles-where you would be afraid to walk alone at night?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	461	28.7 %
2	NO	609	37.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	3	0.2 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,070 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 367-367 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

BURGLR: HOME BROKEN INTO DURING THE LAST YEAR

Question: During the last year-that is, between last March and now-did anyone break into or somehow illegally get into your (apartment/home)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	63	3.9 %
2	NO	1010	62.9 %
Missing Data			

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,073 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 368-368 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

ROBBRY: FORCEFULLY ROBBED DURING THE LAST YEAR

Question: During the last year, did anyone take something directly from you by using force-such as a stickup, mugging, or threat?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	28	1.7 %
2	NO	1045	65.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,073 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 369-369 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

OWNGUN: HAVE GUN IN HOME

Question: Do you happen to have in your home (If house: or garage) any guns or revolvers?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	452	28.1 %
2	NO	614	38.2 %
3	REFUSED	7	0.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	NA	2	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,073 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 370-370 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PISTOL: PISTOL OR REVOLVER IN HOME

Question: If yes: Is it a pistol? Code all that apply.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	257	16.0 %
2	NO	809	50.4 %
3	REFUSED	7	0.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,073 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 371-371 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SHOTGUN: SHOTGUN IN HOME

Question: If yes: Is it a shotgun?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	289	18.0 %
2	NO	777	48.4 %
3	REFUSED	7	0.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,073 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 372-372 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RIFLE: RIFLE IN HOME

Question: If yes: Is it a rifle?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	249	15.5 %
2	NO	817	50.9 %
3	REFUSED	7	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	531	33.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,073 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 373-373 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

ROWNGUN: DOES GUN BELONG TO R

Question: Do any of these guns personally belong to you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	309	19.2 %
2	NO	141	8.8 %
3	REFUSED	7	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1145	71.3 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 457 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 374-374 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NEWS: HOW OFTEN DOES R READ NEWSPAPER

Question: How often do you read the newspaper-every day, a few times a week, once a week, less than once a week, or never?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EVERYDAY	497	30.9 %
2	FEW TIMES A WEEK	282	17.6 %
3	ONCE A WEEK	136	8.5 %
4	LESS THAN ONCE WK	96	6.0 %
5	NEVER	64	4.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,075 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 375-375 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

TVHOURS: HOURS PER DAY WATCHING TV

Question: On the average day, about how many hours do you personally watch television?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	00 hours	61	3.8 %
1	01 hours	327	20.4 %
2	02 hours	449	28.0 %
3	03 hours	309	19.2 %
4	04 hours	192	12.0 %
5	05 hours	107	6.7 %
6	06 hours	75	4.7 %
7	07 hours	10	0.6 %
8	08 hours	33	2.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	09 hours	2	0.1 %
10	10 hours	15	0.9 %
11	11 hours	0	0.0 %
12	12 hours	8	0.5 %
13	13 hours	0	0.0 %
14	14 hours	0	0.0 %
15	15 hours	0	0.0 %
16	16 hours	2	0.1 %
17	17 hours	0	0.0 %
18	18 hours	0	0.0 %
19	19 hours	0	0.0 %
20	20 hours	2	0.1 %
21	21 hours	0	0.0 %
22	22 hours	1	0.1 %
23	23 hours	0	0.0 %
24	24 hours	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	0	0.0 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	12	0.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,594 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 24.00

Location: 376-377 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

PHONE: DOES R HAVE TELEPHONE

Question: Thank you very much for your time and help. Do you have a telephone? May I have your name and (one of your) telephone number(s) just in case I have left something out in this interview?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	NO PHONE	55	3.4 %
2	REFUSED	29	1.8 %
3	PHONE IN HOME	1463	91.1 %
4	PHONE ELSEWHERE	54	3.4 %
5	PHONE,DK WHERE	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 378-378 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 9

COOP: RS ATTITUDE TOWARD INTERVIEW

Notes: In general, what was the respondent's attitude toward the interview?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	FRIENDLY,INTERESTED	1271	79.1 %
2	COOPERATIVE	261	16.3 %
3	RESTLESS,IMPATIENT	65	4.0 %
4	HOSTILE	5	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,602 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 379-379 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

COMPRED: RS UNDERSTANDING OF QUESTIONS

Notes: Was respondent's understanding of the questions ...

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GOOD	1310	81.6 %
2	FAIR	240	14.9 %
3	POOR	49	3.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	NA	7	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,599 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 380-380 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9

FORM: FORM OF SPLIT QUESTIONNAIRE ASKED

Notes: A split ballot was used in the 1973, 1974, 1976, 1978, 1980, and 1982-1996 surveys. The variant or "Y" wordings appear on Form 2; the "Z" wordings appear on Form 3; and the standard GSS wordings appear on Form 1. See Appendix P for what variables were on what form. See Q. 1235 for use of weight variable. See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Report No. 36. See also Qs. 1232 and 1233.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STANDARD <X>	799	49.8 %
2	ALTERNATE <Y>	807	50.2 %
3	ALTERNATE <Z>	0	0.0 %
0	NO SPLIT QUES	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 381-381 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0

FECHLD: MOTHER WORKING DOESNT HURT CHILDREN

Question: Now I'm going to read several more statements. As I read each one, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with it. For example, here is the statement: A working mother can establish just as warm and secure a relationship with her children as a mother who does not work.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	217	13.5 %
2	AGREE	496	30.9 %
3	DISAGREE	283	17.6 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	67	4.2 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	526	32.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	15	0.9 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,063 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 382-382 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FEHELP: WIFE SHOULD HELP HUSBANDS CAREER FIRST

Question: It is more important for a wife to help her husband's career than to have one herself.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	33	2.1 %
2	AGREE	214	13.3 %
3	DISAGREE	576	35.9 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	222	13.8 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	33	2.1 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,045 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 383-383 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FEPRESCH: PRESCHOOL KIDS SUFFER IF MOTHER WORKS

Question: A preschool child is likely to suffer if his or her mother works.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	75	4.7 %
2	AGREE	377	23.5 %
3	DISAGREE	493	30.7 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	103	6.4 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	28	1.7 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,048 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 384-384 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FEFAM: BETTER FOR MAN TO WORK, WOMAN TEND HOME

Question: It is much better for everyone involved if the man is the achiever outside the home and the woman takes care of the home and family.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	64	4.0 %
2	AGREE	318	19.8 %
3	DISAGREE	491	30.6 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	181	11.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	23	1.4 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,054 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 385-385 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RACCHNG: TRY TO INTEGRATE SOCIAL CLUB

Question: If you and your friends belonged to a social club that would not let [Whites/Negroes/Blacks] join, would you try to change the rules so that [Whites/(Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans)] could join?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	693	43.2 %
2	NO	300	18.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	WDNT BELONG	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	76	4.7 %
9	NA	11	0.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 993 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 386-386 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RACDIF1: DIFFERENCES DUE TO DISCRIMINATION

Question: On the average (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans) have worse jobs, income, and housing than white people. Do you think these differences are mainly due to discrimination?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	444	27.6 %
2	NO	580	36.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	53	3.3 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,024 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 387-387 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RACDIF2: DIFFERENCES DUE TO INBORN DISABILITY

Question: On the average (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans) have worse jobs, income, and housing than white people. Do you think these differences are because most (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans) have less in-born ability to learn?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	135	8.4 %
2	NO	903	56.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	37	2.3 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,038 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 388-388 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RACDIF3: DIFFERENCES DUE TO LACK OF EDUCATION

Question: On the average (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans) have worse jobs, income, and housing than white people. Do you think these differences are because most (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans) don't have the chance for education that it takes to rise out of poverty?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	567	35.3 %
2	NO	465	29.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	43	2.7 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,032 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 389-389 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RACDIF4: DIFFERENCES DUE TO LACK OF WILL

Question: On the average (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans) have worse jobs, income, and housing than white people. Do you think these differences are because most (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans) just don't have the motivation or will power to pull themselves up out of poverty?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	527	32.8 %
2	NO	476	29.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	72	4.5 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,003 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 390-390 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

DIVORCE5: DIVORCED IN LAST 5 YRS

Question: Divorces during the last five years?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NONE	930	57.9 %
1	PRIOR 4 YEARS	67	4.2 %
2	LAST YEAR	25	1.6 %
3	BOTH	11	0.7 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	549	34.2 %
9	NA	24	1.5 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,033 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 391-392 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 9

UNEMP5: UNEMPLOYED IN LAST 5 YRS

Question: Unemployment during last five years?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NO	814	50.7 %
1	4 PRIOR YRS	56	3.5 %
2	4 PRIOR YRS, EARNER	61	3.8 %
3	LAST YR	16	1.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	BOTH,NOT EARNER	38	2.4 %
5	BOTH,EARNER PRIOR 4	7	0.4 %
6	LAST YR, EARNER	20	1.2 %
7	BOTH,EARNER LAST YR	2	0.1 %
8	BOTH,EARNER BOTH	40	2.5 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	549	34.2 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,054 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 393-394 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 9

HOSDIS5: HOSPITALIZED, DISABLED LAST 5 YRS

Question: Hospitalization and disability during the last five years?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NONE	633	39.4 %
1	PRIOR 4 YEARS	213	13.3 %
2	LAST YEAR	63	3.9 %
3	BOTH	143	8.9 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	549	34.2 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,052 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 395-396 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 9

DEATH5: RELATIVES DIED IN LAST 5 YRS

Question: Death of relatives during the last five years?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NONE	688	42.8 %
1	1 IN PRIOR 4 YRS	179	11.1 %
2	2+ IN PRIOR 4 YRS	54	3.4 %
3	1 LAST YR	60	3.7 %
4	1 LAST,1 IN PRIOR 4	33	2.1 %
5	1 IN LAST,2+ PRIOR 4	11	0.7 %
6	2+ LAST YR	11	0.7 %
7	2+ LAST, 1 PRIOR 4	2	0.1 %
8	2+ LAST, 2+ PRIOR 4	4	0.2 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	549	34.2 %
9	NA	15	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,042 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 397-398 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 9

DEATH16: RELATIVES DIED SINCE AGE 16

Question: Number of relatives dying since respondent 16 years old and prior to the last five years.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	None, 0	555	34.6 %
1	1	180	11.2 %
2	2	105	6.5 %
3	3	63	3.9 %
4	4	62	3.9 %
5	5	41	2.6 %
6	6	31	1.9 %
7	7	17	1.1 %
8	8	2	0.1 %
9	9	1	0.1 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	549	34.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,057 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 399-400 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: -2

PADEATH: DEATH OF FATHER

Question: Death of respondent's father.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NO DEATH REPORTED	537	33.4 %
1	DIED BEFORE R 16	36	2.2 %
2	DIED 6+ YRS AGO	332	20.7 %
3	DIED 1-5 YRS AGO	67	4.2 %
4	DIED LAST YEAR	19	1.2 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	CANT TELL	56	3.5 %
9	NA	10	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 991 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 401-402 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 8 , 9

MADEATH: DEATH OF MOTHER

Question: Death of respondent's mother.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NO DEATH REPORTED	650	40.5 %
1	DIED BEFORE R 16	23	1.4 %
2	DIED 6+ YRS AGO	266	16.6 %
3	DIED 1-5 YRS AGO	47	2.9 %
4	DIED LAST YEAR	20	1.2 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	CANT TELL	48	3.0 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,006 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 403-404 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 8 , 9

CHLDDTH: DEATH OF CHILD

Question: Death of child or child's spouse.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NO DEATH REPORTED	692	43.1 %
1	DIED 6+ YRS AGO	44	2.7 %
2	DIED 1-5 YRS AGO	10	0.6 %
3	DIED LAST YEAR	4	0.2 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	840	52.3 %
9	NA	16	1.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 750 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 405-406 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 9

SIBDEATH: DEATH OF SIBLING

Question: Death of sibling or sibling-in-law.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NO DEATH REPORTED	772	48.1 %
1	DIED 6+ YRS AGO	104	6.5 %
2	DIED 1-5 YRS AGO	72	4.5 %
3	DIED LAST YEAR	30	1.9 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	616	38.4 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 978 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 407-408 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 9

SPDEATH: DEATH OF SPOUSE

Question: Death of spouse.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NO DEATH REPORTED	726	45.2 %
1	DIED 6+ YRS AGO	86	5.4 %
2	DIED 1-5 YRS AGO	31	1.9 %
3	DIED LAST YEAR	13	0.8 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	736	45.8 %
9	NA	14	0.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 856 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 409-410 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 9

TRAUMA1: TRAUMA SCALE, LAST YEAR

Question: Number of traumatic events (deaths, divorces unemployments, and hospitalizations-disabilities) happening to respondent last year.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	None, 0	636	39.6 %
1	1	291	18.1 %
2	2	80	5.0 %
3	3	6	0.4 %
4	4	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	549	34.2 %
9	NA	44	2.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,013 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 411-412 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 9

TRAUMA5: TRAUMA SCALE, LAST 5 YEARS

Question: Number of traumatic events (deaths, divorces unemployments, and hospitalizations-disabilities) happening to respondent during the last five years.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	None, 0	289	18.0 %
1	1	427	26.6 %
2	2	236	14.7 %
3	3	58	3.6 %
4	4	3	0.2 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	549	34.2 %
9	NA	44	2.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,013 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 413-414 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 9

HELPPoor: SHOULD GOVT IMPROVE STANDARD OF LIVING?

Question: I'd like to talk with you about issues some people tell us are important. Please look at Card BC. Some people think that the government in Washington should do everything possible to improve the standard of living of all poor Americans; they are at Point 1 on this card. Other people think it is not the government's responsibility, and that each person should take care of himself; they are at Point 5. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you made up your mind on this?

Notes: For Q's HELPPoor through HELPBLK: If Respondent has not made up his/her mind on an issue, code "Don't know." This is an acceptable response. Do not probe.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GOVT ACTION	131	8.2 %
2	2	142	8.8 %
3	AGREE WITH BOTH	501	31.2 %
4	4	145	9.0 %
5	PEOPLE HELP SELVES	109	6.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	25	1.6 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,028 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 415-415 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HELPNOT: SHOULD GOVT DO MORE OR LESS?

Question: Now look at Card BD. Some people think that the government in Washington is trying to do too many things that should be left to individuals and private businesses. Others disagree and think that the government should do even more to solve our country's problems. Still others have opinions somewhere in between. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you made up your mind on this?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GOVT DO MORE	138	8.6 %
2	2	156	9.7 %
3	AGREE WITH BOTH	401	25.0 %
4	4	162	10.1 %
5	GOVT DOES TOO MUCH	155	9.7 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	39	2.4 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,012 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 416-416 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HELPSICK: SHOULD GOVT HELP PAY FOR MEDICAL CARE?

Question: Look at Card BE. In general, some people think that it is the responsibility of the government in Washington to see to it that people have help in paying for doctors and hospital bills. Others think that these matters are not the responsibility of the federal government and that people should take care of these things themselves. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you made up your mind on this?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GOVT SHOULD HELP	297	18.5 %
2	2	234	14.6 %
3	AGREE WITH BOTH	332	20.7 %
4	4	98	6.1 %
5	PEOPLE HELP SELVES	65	4.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	23	1.4 %
9	NA	8	0.5 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,026 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 417-417 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HELPBLK: SHOULD GOVT AID BLACKS?

Question: Now look at Card BF. Some people think that (Blacks/Negroes/African-Americans) have been discriminated against for so long that the government has a special obligation to help improve their living standards. Others believe that the government should not be giving special treatment to (Blacks/Negroes/African-Americans). Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you made up your mind on this?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GOVT HELP BLKS	75	4.7 %
2	2	105	6.5 %
3	AGREE WITH BOTH	333	20.7 %
4	4	217	13.5 %
5	NO SPECIAL TREATMENT	290	18.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	30	1.9 %
9	NA	7	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,020 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 418-418 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MEMFRAT: MEMBERSHIP IN FRATERNAL GROUP

Question: Now we would like to know something about the groups or organizations to which individuals belong. Here is a list of various organizations. Are you a member of fraternal groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	84	5.2 %
2	NO	967	60.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,051 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 419-419 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MEMSERV: MEMBERSHIP IN SERVICE GROUP

Question: Now we would like to know something about the groups or organizations to which individuals belong. Here is a list of various organizations. Are you a member of service clubs?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	131	8.2 %
2	NO	917	57.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	4	0.2 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,048 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 420-420 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MEMVET: MEMBERSHIP IN VETERAN GROUP

Question: Now we would like to know something about the groups or organizations to which individuals belong. Here is a list of various organizations. Are you a member of Veterans' groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	71	4.4 %
2	NO	980	61.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,051 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 421-421 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MEMPOLIT: MEMBERSHIP IN POLITICAL CLUB

Question: Now we would like to know something about the groups or organizations to which individuals belong. Here is a list of various organizations. Are you a member of political clubs?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	37	2.3 %
2	NO	1014	63.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,051 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 422-422 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MEMUNION: MEMBERSHIP IN LABOR UNION

Question: Now we would like to know something about the groups or organizations to which individuals belong. Here is a list of various organizations. Are you a member of labor unions?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	106	6.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	NO	945	58.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,051 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 423-423 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MEMSPORT: MEMBERSHIP IN SPORTS CLUB

Question: Now we would like to know something about the groups or organizations to which individuals belong. Here is a list of various organizations. Are you a member of sports groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	196	12.2 %
2	NO	855	53.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,051 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 424-424 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MEMYOUTH: MEMBERSHIP IN YOUTH GROUP

Question: Now we would like to know something about the groups or organizations to which individuals belong. Here is a list of various organizations. Are you a member of youth groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	105	6.5 %
2	NO	945	58.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	2	0.1 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,050 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 425-425 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MEMSCHL: MEMBERSHIP IN SCHOOL SERVICE

Question: Now we would like to know something about the groups or organizations to which individuals belong. Here is a list of various organizations. Are you a member of school service groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	163	10.1 %
2	NO	888	55.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	2	0.1 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,051 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 426-426 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MEMHOBBY: MEMBERSHIP IN HOBBY CLUB

Question: Now we would like to know something about the groups or organizations to which individuals belong. Here is a list of various organizations. Are you a member of hobby or garden clubs?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	133	8.3 %
2	NO	918	57.2 %
Missing Data			

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,051 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 427-427 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MEMGREEK: MEMBERSHIP IN SCHOOL FRATERNITY

Question: Now we would like to know something about the groups or organizations to which individuals belong. Here is a list of various organizations. Are you a member of school fraternities or sororities?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	53	3.3 %
2	NO	998	62.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,051 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 428-428 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MEMNAT: MEMBERSHIP IN NATIONALITY GROUP

Question: Now we would like to know something about the groups or organizations to which individuals belong. Here is a list of various organizations. Are you a member of nationality groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	50	3.1 %
2	NO	998	62.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	3	0.2 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,048 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 429-429 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MEMFARM: MEMBERSHIP IN FARM ORGANIZATION

Question: Now we would like to know something about the groups or organizations to which individuals belong. Here is a list of various organizations. Are you a member of farm organizations?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	45	2.8 %
2	NO	1005	62.6 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,050 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 430-430 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MEMLIT: MEMBERSHIP IN LITERARY OR ART GROUP

Question: Now we would like to know something about the groups or organizations to which individuals belong. Here is a list of various organizations. Are you a member of literary, art, discussion or study groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	121	7.5 %
2	NO	930	57.9 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,051 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 431-431 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MEMPROF: MEMBERSHIP IN PROFESSIONAL SOCIETY

Question: Now we would like to know something about the groups or organizations to which individuals belong. Here is a list of various organizations. Are you a member of professional or academic societies?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	191	11.9 %
2	NO	860	53.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,051 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 432-432 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MEMCHURH: MEMBERSHIP IN CHURCH GROUP

Question: Now we would like to know something about the groups or organizations to which individuals belong. Here is a list of various organizations. Are you a member of church-affiliated groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	372	23.2 %
2	NO	680	42.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	5	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,052 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 433-433 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MEMOTHER: MEMBERSHIP IN ANY OTHER

Question: Now we would like to know something about the groups or organizations to which individuals belong. Here is a list of various organizations. Are you a members of any other groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	130	8.1 %
2	NO	914	56.9 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	549	34.2 %
8	DK	4	0.2 %
9	NA	9	0.6 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,044 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 434-434 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

MEMNUM: NUMBER OF MEMBERSHIPS

Question: Now we would like to know something about the groups or organizations to which individuals belong. Here is a list of various organizations. Do you hold any membership at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No membership	298	18.6 %
1	01	255	15.9 %
2	02	183	11.4 %
3	03	124	7.7 %
4	04	86	5.4 %
5	05	50	3.1 %
6	06	25	1.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	07	15	0.9 %
8	08	10	0.6 %
9	09	5	0.3 %
10	10	1	0.1 %
11	11	1	0.1 %
12	12	0	0.0 %
13	13	0	0.0 %
14	14	0	0.0 %
15	15	0	0.0 %
16	16	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	549	34.2 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,053 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 11.00

Location: 435-436 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

TVRELIG: HOURS PER WEEK R WATCHES RELIGIOUS TV

Question: About how much time per week, in hours and minutes, do you normally spend watching religious shows on television?

Notes: Cols. 404-405 are hours and col. 406 is decimalized minutes. Thus response "2-3" includes all answers falling between 2 and 2.9 hours. Time is collapsed here for presentational purposes only.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-0.1	Unknown cataegory	1606	100.0 %
-1.0	NAP	0	0.0 %
99.8	DK	0	0.0 %
99.9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: -0.10
- Median: -0.10
- Mode: -0.10
- Minimum: -0.10
- Maximum: -0.10

- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 437-440 (width: 4; decimal: 1)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1.0 , 99.8 , 99.9

GOD: RS CONFIDENCE IN THE EXISTENCE OF GOD

Question: Please look at this card and tell me which statement comes closest to expressing what you believe about God. A) I don't believe in God. B) I don't know whether there is a God and I don't believe there is any way to find out. C) I don't believe in a personal God, but I do believe in a Higher Power of some kind. D) While I have doubts, I feel that I do believe in God. E) I know God really exists and I have no doubts about it.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DONT BELIEVE	44	2.7 %
2	NO WAY TO FIND OUT	63	3.9 %
3	SOME HIGHER POWER	127	7.9 %
4	BELIEVE SOMETIMES	47	2.9 %
5	BELIEVE BUT DOUBTS	224	13.9 %
6	KNOW GOD EXISTS	992	61.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	23	1.4 %
9	NA	37	2.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,497 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 441-441 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

EQINCOME: GOVT SHOULD REDISTRIBUTE WEALTH.

Question: What is your opinion of the following statement? It is the responsibility of the government to reduce the differences in income between people with high incomes and those with low incomes.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AGREE STRONGLY	103	6.4 %
2	AGREE	364	22.7 %
3	NEITHER	311	19.4 %
4	DISAGREE	456	28.4 %
5	DISAGREE STRONGLY	240	14.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	37	2.3 %
9	NA	46	2.9 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,474 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 532-532 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

OBEYTHNK: SHOULD CHILDRN BE OBEDIENT OR THINK FOR SELVES

Question: Which of these would you say is more important in preparing children for life ... to be obedient or to think for themselves?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TO BE OBEDIENT	447	27.8 %
2	TO THINK FOR THEMSELVES	997	62.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	93	5.8 %
9	NA	20	1.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,444 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 533-533 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PRIVENT: PRIVATE ENTERPRISE WILL SOLVE U.S. PROBLEMS

Question: How much do you agree or disagree with each of these statements? Private enterprise is the best way to solve America's problems.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	221	13.8 %
2	AGREE	541	33.7 %
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	469	29.2 %
4	DISAGREE	189	11.8 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	19	1.2 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	87	5.4 %
9	NA	31	1.9 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,439 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 534-534 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

POSTMAT1: HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR AMERICA

Question: Looking at the list below, please check a box next to the one thing you think should be America's highest priority, the most important thing it should do. America should ...

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MAINTAIN ORDER	434	27.0 %
2	GIVE PEOPLE MORE SAY	511	31.8 %
3	CONTROL PRICES	281	17.5 %
4	PROTECT FREE SPEECH	167	10.4 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	105	6.5 %
9	NA	59	3.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,393 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 535-535 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

POSTMAT2: 2ND HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR AMERICA

Question: And which one do you think should be America's next highest priority, the second most important thing it should do? America should ...

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MAINTAIN ORDER	383	23.8 %
2	GIVE PEOPLE MORE SAY	422	26.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	CONTROL PRICES	333	20.7 %
4	PROTECT FREE SPEECH	224	13.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	134	8.3 %
9	NA	61	3.8 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,362 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 536-536 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SCIFAITH: BELIEVE TOO MUCH IN SCIENCE, NOT ENUF FAITH

Question: How much do you agree or disagree with each of these statements? We believe too often in science, and not enough in feelings and faith.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	201	12.5 %
2	AGREE	667	41.5 %
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	329	20.5 %
4	DISAGREE	218	13.6 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	57	3.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	33	2.1 %
9	NA	52	3.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,472 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 537-537 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HARMGOOD: MODERN SCIENCE DOES MORE HARM THAN GOOD

Question: How much do you agree or disagree with each of these statements? Overall, modern science does more harm than good.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	50	3.1 %
2	AGREE	200	12.5 %
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	306	19.1 %
4	DISAGREE	686	42.7 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	217	13.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	38	2.4 %
9	NA	60	3.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,459 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 538-538 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SCIWORSE: HUMANS CHANGE NATURE FOR THE WORSE

Question: How much do you agree or disagree with each of these statements? Any change humans cause in nature-no matter how scientific-is likely to make things worse.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	80	5.0 %
2	AGREE	375	23.3 %
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	348	21.7 %
4	DISAGREE	543	33.8 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	87	5.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	66	4.1 %
9	NA	58	3.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,433 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 539-539 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SCIGRN: MODERN SCIENCE WILL SOLVE ENVIR PROBS

Question: How much do you agree or disagree with each of these statements? Modern science will solve our environmental problems with little change to our way of life.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	36	2.2 %
2	AGREE	257	16.0 %
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	301	18.7 %
4	DISAGREE	689	42.9 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	161	10.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	54	3.4 %
9	NA	59	3.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,444 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 540-540 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRNECON: WORRY TOO MUCH ABOUT ENVIR, TOO LITTLE ECON

Question: And please check one box for each of these statements to show how much you agree or disagree with it. We worry too much about the future of the environment, and not enough about prices and jobs today.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	163	10.1 %
2	AGREE	454	28.3 %
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	223	13.9 %
4	DISAGREE	502	31.3 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	145	9.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	19	1.2 %
9	NA	51	3.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,487 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 541-541 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

HARMSGRN: ALMOST EVERYTHING WE DO HARMS ENVIR

Question: And please check one box for each of these statements to show how much you agree or disagree with it. Almost everything we do in modern life harms the environment.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	95	5.9 %
2	AGREE	669	41.7 %
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	244	15.2 %
4	DISAGREE	431	26.8 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	45	2.8 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	19	1.2 %
9	NA	54	3.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,484 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 542-542 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

ANRIGHTS: ANIMALS HAVE RIGHTS TOO

Question: And please check one box for each of these statements to show how much you agree or disagree with it. Animals should have the same moral rights that human beings do.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	95	5.9 %
2	AGREE	373	23.2 %
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	285	17.7 %
4	DISAGREE	516	32.1 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	198	12.3 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	36	2.2 %
9	NA	54	3.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,467 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 543-543 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RESNATUR: HUMANS SHOULD RESPECT NATURE CREATED BY GOD

Question: And please check one box for each of these statements to show how much you agree or disagree with it. Human beings should respect nature because it was created by God.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	387	24.1 %
2	AGREE	747	46.5 %
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	238	14.8 %
4	DISAGREE	63	3.9 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	46	2.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	23	1.4 %
9	NA	53	3.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,481 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 544-544 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRNPROG: WORRY TOO MUCH ABOUT PROGRESS HARMING ENVIR

Question: How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. People worry too much about human progress harming the environment.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	68	4.2 %
2	AGREE	416	25.9 %
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	259	16.1 %
4	DISAGREE	602	37.5 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	127	7.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	27	1.7 %
9	NA	58	3.6 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,472 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 545-545 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATURPAX: NATURE WOULD BE AT PEACE IF HUMANS LEFT ALONE

Question: How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. Nature would be at peace and in harmony if only human beings would leave it alone.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	106	6.6 %
2	AGREE	625	38.9 %
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	317	19.7 %
4	DISAGREE	390	24.3 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	40	2.5 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	23	1.4 %
9	NA	56	3.5 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,478 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 546-546 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRWTHHELP: AMER NEEDS ECON GRWTH TO PROTECT ENVIR

Question: How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. In order to protect the environment, American needs economic growth.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	78	4.9 %
2	AGREE	661	41.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	335	20.9 %
4	DISAGREE	339	21.1 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	35	2.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	48	3.0 %
9	NA	61	3.8 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,448 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 547-547 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

ANTESTS: OK TO TEST ON ANIMALS TO SAVE HUMANS

Question: How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. It is right to use animals for medical testing if it might save human lives.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	212	13.2 %
2	AGREE	750	46.7 %
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	211	13.1 %
4	DISAGREE	197	12.3 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	86	5.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	43	2.7 %
9	NA	58	3.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,456 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 548-548 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATURWAR: NATURE IS SURVIVAL OF FITTEST

Question: How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. Nature is really a fierce struggle for survival of the fittest.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	120	7.5 %
2	AGREE	784	48.8 %
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	324	20.2 %
4	DISAGREE	176	11.0 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	30	1.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	60	3.7 %
9	NA	63	3.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,434 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 549-549 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRWTHARM: ECON GRWTH ALWAYS HARMS ENVIR

Question: How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. Economic growth always harms the environment.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	34	2.1 %
2	AGREE	272	16.9 %
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	378	23.5 %
4	DISAGREE	682	42.5 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	83	5.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	45	2.8 %
9	NA	63	3.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,449 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 550-550 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NATURGOD: RELATIONSHIP OF NATURE TO GOD

Question: Please check one box to show which statement is closest to your view: A)Nature is sacred because it is created by God. B)Nature is spiritual or sacred in itself. C)Nature is important, but not spiritual or sacred.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	NATURE CREATED BY GOD	554	34.5 %
2	NATURE SACRED IN ITSELF	304	18.9 %
3	NATURE IMPORT, BUT NOT SACRED	493	30.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	130	8.1 %
9	NA	76	4.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,351 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 551-551 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRNPRICE: PAY HIGHER PRICES TO HELP ENVIR?

Question: How willing would you be to pay much higher prices in order to protect the environment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY WILLING	158	9.8 %
2	FAIRLY WILLING	607	37.8 %
3	NEITHER WILLING NOR UNWILL	320	19.9 %
4	NOT VERY WILLING	269	16.7 %
5	NOT AT ALL WILLING	105	6.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	46	2.9 %
9	NA	52	3.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,459 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 552-552 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRNTAXES: PAY HIGHER TAXES TO HELP ENVIR?

Question: And how willing would you be to pay much higher taxes in order to protect the environment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY WILLING	108	6.7 %
2	FAIRLY WILLING	484	30.1 %
3	NEITHER WILLING NOR UNWILL	300	18.7 %
4	NOT VERY WILLING	379	23.6 %
5	NOT AT ALL WILLING	200	12.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	34	2.1 %
9	NA	52	3.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,471 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 553-553 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRNSOL: ACCEPT CUT IN LIVING STNDS TO HELP ENVIR?

Question: And how willing would you be to accept cuts in your standard of living in order to protect the environment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY WILLING	91	5.7 %
2	FAIRLY WILLING	410	25.5 %
3	NEITHER WILLING NOR UNWILL	353	22.0 %
4	NOT VERY WILLING	385	24.0 %
5	NOT AT ALL WILLING	229	14.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	36	2.2 %
9	NA	53	3.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,468 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 554-554 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

TOODIFME: TOO DIFF TO DO ANYTHING ABOUT ENVIR

Question: How much do you agree or disagree with each of these statements? It is just too difficult for someone like me to do much about the environment.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	69	4.3 %
2	AGREE	305	19.0 %
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	204	12.7 %
4	DISAGREE	748	46.6 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	129	8.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	21	1.3 %
9	NA	81	5.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,455 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 555-555 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

IHLPGRN: DO WHAT I CAN TO HELP ENVIR

Question: How much do you agree or disagree with each of these statements? I do what is right for the environment, even when it costs more money or takes up more time.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	70	4.4 %
2	AGREE	745	46.4 %
3	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	386	24.0 %
4	DISAGREE	201	12.5 %
5	STRONGLY DISAGREE	19	1.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	50	3.1 %
9	NA	86	5.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,421 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 556-556 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SCITEST1: HUMANS MAKE ALL RADIOACTIVITY

Question: For each statement below, just check the box that comes closest to your opinion of how true it is. In your opinion, how true is this? All radioactivity is made by humans.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DEFINITELY TRUE	102	6.4 %
2	PROBABLY TRUE	398	24.8 %
3	PROBABLY NOT TRUE	401	25.0 %
4	DEFINITELY NOT TRUE	430	26.8 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	145	9.0 %
9	NA	81	5.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,331 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 557-557 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SCITEST2: ANTIBIOTICS KILL BACTERIA NOT VIRUSES

Question: For each statement below, just check the box that comes closest to your opinion of how true it is. Antibiotics kill bacteria, but not viruses.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DEFINITELY TRUE	374	23.3 %
2	PROBABLY TRUE	615	38.3 %
3	PROBABLY NOT TRUE	206	12.8 %
4	DEFINITELY NOT TRUE	114	7.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	165	10.3 %
9	NA	83	5.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,309 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 558-558 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SCITEST3: ASTROLOGY HAS SOME SCIENTIFIC TRUTH

Question: For each statement below, just check the box that comes closest to your opinion of how true it is. Astrology-the study of star signs-has some scientific truth.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DEFINITELY TRUE	138	8.6 %
2	PROBABLY TRUE	572	35.6 %
3	PROBABLY NOT TRUE	320	19.9 %
4	DEFINITELY NOT TRUE	317	19.7 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	128	8.0 %
9	NA	82	5.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,347 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 559-559 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SCITEST4: HUMANS EVOLVED FROM ANIMALS

Question: For each statement below, just check the box that comes closest to your opinion of how true it is. Human beings developed from earlier species of animals.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DEFINITELY TRUE	205	12.8 %
2	PROBABLY TRUE	445	27.7 %
3	PROBABLY NOT TRUE	200	12.5 %
4	DEFINITELY NOT TRUE	500	31.1 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	120	7.5 %
9	NA	87	5.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,350 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 560-560 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SCITEST5: ALL MAN-MADE CHEMS CAUSE CANCER

Question: For each statement below, just check the box that comes closest to your opinion of how true it is. All man-made chemicals can cause cancer if you eat enough of them.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DEFINITELY TRUE	124	7.7 %
2	PROBABLY TRUE	598	37.2 %
3	PROBABLY NOT TRUE	444	27.6 %
4	DEFINITELY NOT TRUE	197	12.3 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	111	6.9 %
9	NA	83	5.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,363 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 561-561 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRNTEST1: ALL WILL DIE IF EXPOSED TO RADIOACT

Question: And for each of these statements, just check the box that comes closest to your opinion of how true it is. In your opinion, how true is this? If someone is exposed to any amount of radioactivity, they are certain to die as a result.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DEFINITELY TRUE	77	4.8 %
2	PROBABLY TRUE	302	18.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	PROBABLY NOT TRUE	591	36.8 %
4	DEFINITELY NOT TRUE	419	26.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	87	5.4 %
9	NA	81	5.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,389 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 562-562 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRNTEST2: NUKE WASTE DANGEROUS FOR THOUSANDS OF YRS

Question: And for each of these statements, just check the box that comes closest to your opinion of how true it is. In your opinion, how true is this? Some radioactive waste from nuclear power stations will stay dangerous for thousands of years.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DEFINITELY TRUE	490	30.5 %
2	PROBABLY TRUE	781	48.6 %
3	PROBABLY NOT TRUE	102	6.4 %
4	DEFINITELY NOT TRUE	20	1.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	83	5.2 %
9	NA	81	5.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,393 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 563-563 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRNTEST3: GREENHOUSE EFFCT CAUSED BY HOLE IN ATMOSPH

Question: And for each of these statements, just check the box that comes closest to your opinion of how true it is. In your opinion, how true is this? The greenhouse effect is caused by a hole in the earth's atmosphere.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DEFINITELY TRUE	267	16.6 %
2	PROBABLY TRUE	609	37.9 %
3	PROBABLY NOT TRUE	188	11.7 %
4	DEFINITELY NOT TRUE	187	11.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	222	13.8 %
9	NA	84	5.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,251 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 564-564 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRNTEST4: USING COAL OR GAS CONTRIB TO GREENHOUSE EFFCT

Question: And for each of these statements, just check the box that comes closest to your opinion of how true it is. In your opinion, how true is this? Every time we use coal or oil or gas, we contribute to the greenhouse effect.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DEFINITELY TRUE	264	16.4 %
2	PROBABLY TRUE	680	42.3 %
3	PROBABLY NOT TRUE	287	17.9 %
4	DEFINITELY NOT TRUE	51	3.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	191	11.9 %
9	NA	84	5.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,282 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 565-565 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRNTEST5: ALL PESTICIDES CAUSE CANCER

Question: And for each of these statements, just check the box that comes closest to your opinion of how true it is. In your opinion, how true is this? All pesticides and chemicals used on food crops cause cancer in humans.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DEFINITELY TRUE	84	5.2 %
2	PROBABLY TRUE	443	27.6 %
3	PROBABLY NOT TRUE	624	38.9 %
4	DEFINITELY NOT TRUE	226	14.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	96	6.0 %
9	NA	84	5.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,377 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 566-566 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRNTEST6: HUMANS ARE MAIN CAUSE OF PLANT & ANIMAL EXTINCT

Question: And for each of these statements, just check the box that comes closest to your opinion of how true it is. In your opinion, how true is this? Human beings are the main cause of plant and animal species dying out.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DEFINITELY TRUE	233	14.5 %
2	PROBABLY TRUE	692	43.1 %
3	PROBABLY NOT TRUE	321	20.0 %
4	DEFINITELY NOT TRUE	157	9.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	72	4.5 %
9	NA	82	5.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,403 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 567-567 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRNTEST7: CARS NOT IMP CAUSE OF AIR POLLUTION

Question: And for each of these statements, just check the box that comes closest to your opinion of how true it is. In your opinion, how true is this? Cars are not really an important cause of air pollution in America.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DEFINITELY TRUE	91	5.7 %
2	PROBABLY TRUE	232	14.4 %
3	PROBABLY NOT TRUE	388	24.2 %
4	DEFINITELY NOT TRUE	715	44.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	50	3.1 %
9	NA	81	5.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,426 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 568-568 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CARSGEN: CAR POLLUTION DANGER TO ENVIR

Question: Please check one box for each of the questions below to show what you think. In general, do you think that air pollution caused by cars is ... dangerous for the environment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY DANGEROUS	265	16.5 %
2	VERY DANGEROUS	472	29.4 %
3	SOMEWHAT DANGEROUS	584	36.4 %
4	NOT VERY DANGEROUS	106	6.6 %
5	NOT DANGEROUS	10	0.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	34	2.1 %
9	NA	86	5.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,437 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 569-569 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CARSFAM: CAR POLLUTION DANGER TO MY FAMILY

Question: Please check one box for each of the questions below to show what you think. And do you think that air pollution caused by cars is ... dangerous for you and your family?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY DANGEROUS	189	11.8 %
2	VERY DANGEROUS	372	23.2 %
3	SOMEWHAT DANGEROUS	674	42.0 %
4	NOT VERY DANGEROUS	178	11.1 %
5	NOT DANGEROUS	22	1.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	36	2.2 %
9	NA	86	5.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,435 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 570-570 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CARSTEN: CAR POLLUTION WILL INCREASE IN NEXT 10 YRS

Question: Please check one box for each of the questions below to show what you think. Within the next ten years, how likely do you think it is that there will be a large increase in ill-health in America's cities as a result of air pollution caused by cars?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	CERTAIN TO HAPPEN	214	13.3 %
2	VERY LIKELY TO HAPPEN	429	26.7 %
3	FAIRLY LIKELY TO HAPPEN	441	27.5 %
4	NOT VERY LIKELY TO HAPPEN	302	18.8 %
5	NOT AT ALL LIKELY	11	0.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	CANNOT CHOSE	73	4.5 %
9	NA	87	5.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,397 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 571-571 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NUKEGEN: NUKE POWER DANGER TO ENVIR

Question: In general, do you think that nuclear power stations are ... dangerous for the environment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY DANGEROUS	287	17.9 %
2	VERY DANGEROUS	334	20.8 %
3	SOMEWHAT DANGEROUS	540	33.6 %
4	NOT VERY DANGEROUS	206	12.8 %
5	NOT DANGEROUS	36	2.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	65	4.0 %
9	NA	89	5.5 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,403 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 572-572 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NUKEFAM: NUKE POWER DANGER TO MY FAMILY

Question: And do you think that nuclear power stations are ... dangerous for you and your family?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY DANGEROUS	253	15.8 %
2	VERY DANGEROUS	288	17.9 %
3	SOMEWHAT DANGEROUS	515	32.1 %
4	NOT VERY DANGEROUS	278	17.3 %
5	NOT DANGEROUS	65	4.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	68	4.2 %
9	NA	90	5.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,399 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 573-573 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

INDUSGEN: INDUST AIR POLLUTION DANGER TO ENVIR

Question: In general, do you think that air pollution caused by industry is ... dangerous for the environment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY DANGEROUS	414	25.8 %
2	VERY DANGEROUS	530	33.0 %
3	SOMEWHAT DANGEROUS	483	30.1 %
4	NOT VERY DANGEROUS	59	3.7 %
5	NOT DANGEROUS	5	0.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	36	2.2 %
9	NA	30	1.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,491 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 574-574 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

INDUSFAM: INDUST AIR POLLUTION DANGER TO MY FAMILY

Question: And do you think that air pollution caused by industry is ... dangerous for you and your family?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY DANGEROUS	326	20.3 %
2	VERY DANGEROUS	471	29.3 %
3	SOMEWHAT DANGEROUS	556	34.6 %
4	NOT VERY DANGEROUS	114	7.1 %
5	NOT DANGEROUS	19	1.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	40	2.5 %
9	NA	31	1.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,486 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 575-575 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CHEMGEN: PESTICIDES DANGER TO ENVIR

Question: In general, do you think that pesticides and chemicals used in farming are ... dangerous for the environment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY DANGEROUS	225	14.0 %
2	VERY DANGEROUS	358	22.3 %
3	SOMEWHAT DANGEROUS	737	45.9 %
4	NOT VERY DANGEROUS	161	10.0 %
5	NOT DANGEROUS	8	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	40	2.5 %
9	NA	28	1.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,489 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 576-576 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CHEMFAM: PESTICIDES DANGER TO MY FAMILY

Question: And do you think that pesticides and chemicals used in farming are ... dangerous for you and your family?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY DANGEROUS	211	13.1 %
2	VERY DANGEROUS	328	20.4 %
3	SOMEWHAT DANGEROUS	736	45.8 %
4	NOT VERY DANGEROUS	194	12.1 %
5	NOT DANGEROUS	18	1.1 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	40	2.5 %
9	NA	30	1.9 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,487 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 577-577 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

WATERGEN: WATER POLLUTION DANGER TO ENVIR

Question: In general, do you think that pollution of America's rivers, lakes, and streams is ... dangerous for the environment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY DANGEROUS	537	33.4 %
2	VERY DANGEROUS	484	30.1 %
3	SOMEWHAT DANGEROUS	413	25.7 %
4	NOT VERY DANGEROUS	54	3.4 %
5	NOT DANGEROUS	9	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	32	2.0 %
9	NA	28	1.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,497 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 578-578 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

WATERFAM: WATER POLLUTION DANGER TO MY FAMILY

Question: And do you think that pollution of America's rivers, lakes, and streams is ... dangerous for you and your family?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY DANGEROUS	374	23.3 %
2	VERY DANGEROUS	445	27.7 %
3	SOMEWHAT DANGEROUS	541	33.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	NOT VERY DANGEROUS	113	7.0 %
5	NOT DANGEROUS	15	0.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	33	2.1 %
9	NA	36	2.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,488 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 579-579 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

TEMPGEN: GREENHOUSE EFFECT DANGER TO ENVIR

Question: In general, do you think that a rise in the world's temperature caused by the "greenhouse effect", is ... dangerous for the environment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY DANGEROUS	263	16.4 %
2	VERY DANGEROUS	370	23.0 %
3	SOMEWHAT DANGEROUS	510	31.8 %
4	NOT VERY DANGEROUS	187	11.6 %
5	NOT DANGEROUS	33	2.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	158	9.8 %
9	NA	36	2.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,363 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 580-580 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

TEMPFAM: GREENHOUSE EFFECT DANGER TO MY FAMILY

Question: And do you think that a rise in the world's temperature caused by the "greenhouse effect", is ... dangerous for you and your family?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXTREMELY DANGEROUS	222	13.8 %
2	VERY DANGEROUS	358	22.3 %
3	SOMEWHAT DANGEROUS	509	31.7 %
4	NOT VERY DANGEROUS	222	13.8 %
5	NOT DANGEROUS	46	2.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	160	10.0 %
9	NA	40	2.5 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,357 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 581-581 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PUBDECID: GOVT SHOULD LET PEOP DECIDE HOW TO PROTECT ENVIR

Question: If you had to choose, which one of the following would be closest to your views? Government should let ordinary people decide for themselves how to protect the environment, even if it means they don't always do the right thing, or government should pass laws to make ordinary people protect the environment, even if it interferes with people's right to make their own decisions.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GOV. LET PEOPLE DECIDE	261	16.3 %
2	GOV. MAKE LAWS	968	60.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	288	17.9 %
9	NA	40	2.5 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,229 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 582-582 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

BUSDECID: GOVT SHOULD LET BIZ DECIDE HOW TO PROTECT ENVIR

Question: And which one of the following is closest to your views? Government should let businesses decide for themselves how to protect the environment, even if it means they don't always do the right thing, or government should pass laws to make businesses protect the environment, even if it interferes with business' right to make their own decisions.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GOV. LET BUSINESSES DECIDE	118	7.3 %
2	GOV. MAKE LAWS	1223	76.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	174	10.8 %
9	NA	42	2.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,341 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 583-583 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RECYCLE: RECYCLE CANS BOTTLES

Question: How often do you make a special effort to sort glass or cans or plastic or papers and so on for recycling?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALWAYS	579	36.1 %
2	OFTEN	338	21.0 %
3	SOMETIMES	343	21.4 %
4	NEVER	204	12.7 %
5	NOT AVAILABLE	55	3.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	37	2.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,519 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 584-584 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

CHEMFREE: BUY PESTICIDE FREE FRUITS, VEGETABLES

Question: And how often do you make a special effort to buy fruits and vegetables grown without pesticides or chemicals?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALWAYS	125	7.8 %
2	OFTEN	296	18.4 %
3	SOMETIMES	475	29.6 %
4	NEVER	510	31.8 %
5	NOT AVAILABLE	113	7.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	38	2.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,519 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 585-585 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

NOMEAT: REFUSE TO EAT MEAT FOR ENVIR REASONS

Question: And how often do you refuse to eat meat for moral or environmental reasons?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALWAYS	35	2.2 %
2	OFTEN	105	6.5 %
3	SOMETIMES	322	20.0 %
4	NEVER	1056	65.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	39	2.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,518 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 586-586 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

DRIVLESS: DRIVE LESS FOR ENVIR REASONS

Question: And how often do you cut back on driving a car for environmental reasons?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALWAYS	32	2.0 %
2	OFTEN	119	7.4 %
3	SOMETIMES	393	24.5 %
4	NEVER	860	53.5 %
5	NO CAR, DONT DRIVE	113	7.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	40	2.5 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,517 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 587-587 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRNGROUP: MEMBER OF ENVIR GROUP

Question: Are you a member of any group whose main aim is to preserve or protect the environment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	156	9.7 %
2	NO	1362	84.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	39	2.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,518 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 588-588 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRNSIGN: SIGN PETITION ON ENVIR ISSUE

Question: In the last five years, have you signed a petition about an environmental issue?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, I HAVE	456	28.4 %
2	NO, I HAVE NOT	1024	63.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	77	4.8 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,480 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 589-589 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRNMONEY: GIVE MONEY TO ENVIR GROUP

Question: In the last five years, have you given money to an environmental group?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, I HAVE	1003	62.5 %
2	NO, I HAVE NOT	412	25.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	142	8.8 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,415 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 590-590 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

GRNDEMO: PROTESTED FOR ENVIR ISSUE

Question: In the last five years, have you taken part in a protest or demonstration about an environmental issue?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, I HAVE	40	2.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	NO, I HAVE NOT	1390	86.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	127	7.9 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,430 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 591-591 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

COMTYPE: TYPE OF COMMUNITY IN WHICH R LIVES

Question: Would you describe the place where you live as ...

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	BIG CITY	266	16.6 %
2	SUBURBS, OUTSKIRTS	406	25.3 %
3	SMALL TOWN	590	36.7 %
4	COUNTRY VILLAGE	66	4.1 %
5	FARM, CNTRY HOME	192	12.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	49	3.1 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	36	2.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,520 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 592-592 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AIDSKNOW: PEOPLE R KNOWS INFECTED WITH AIDS

Question: How many people have you known personally, either living or dead, who came down with the disease called AIDS?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	0	1214	75.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1	204	12.7 %
2	2	85	5.3 %
3	3	49	3.1 %
4	4	19	1.2 %
5	5	13	0.8 %
6	6	5	0.3 %
7	7 OR MORE	8	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
-2	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	8	0.5 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,597 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 593-594 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 8 , 9

AIDSWHO: RS RELATIONSHIP TO CLOSEST AIDS VICTIM

Question: Think about the person you have known best, living or dead, who came down with AIDS. Please tell me the letter of the category on the card which best describes your relationship to that person.

Notes: Card GP contained punches 1-10

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HUSBAND OR WIFE	1	0.1 %
2	PARTNER OR LOVER	2	0.1 %
3	SON OR DAUGHTER	2	0.1 %
4	OTHER RELATIVE	52	3.2 %
5	FRIEND	125	7.8 %
6	NEIGHBOR	19	1.2 %
7	CO-WORKER	47	2.9 %
8	ACQUAINTANCE	112	7.0 %
9	PATIENT	9	0.6 %
10	OTHER	16	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1214	75.6 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	7	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 385 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 10.00

Location: 595-596 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

AIDSDEAD: HAS AIDS VICTIM CLOSEST TO R DIED

Question: We would like to know a few other things about that person. Is that person currently living, or has that person died?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIVING	91	5.7 %
2	DIED	287	17.9 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1214	75.6 %
8	DK	7	0.4 %
9	NA	7	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 378 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 597-597 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AIDSSEX: SEX OF AIDS VICTIM CLOSEST TO R

Question: (Is/Was) that person male or female?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	352	21.9 %
2	FEMALE	33	2.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1214	75.6 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	7	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 385 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 598-598 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AIDSAGE: AGE OF AIDS VICTIM CLOSEST TO R

Question: How old (is/was) that person? (Is/Was) (he/she) ... (Read list)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	10 YEARS OR UNDER	1	0.1 %
2	11-20	8	0.5 %
3	21-40	296	18.4 %
4	41 YEAR OR OLDER	80	5.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1214	75.6 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	7	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 385 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 599-599 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AIDSRACE: RACE OF AIDS VICTIM CLOSEST TO R

Question: What (is/was) that person's race? (Is/Was) it black, white, Hispanic or other?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	BLACK	68	4.2 %
2	WHITE	294	18.3 %
3	HISPANIC	19	1.2 %
4	OTHER	4	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1214	75.6 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	7	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 385 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 600-600 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AIDSREG: REGION OF AIDS VICTIM CLOSEST TO R

Question: What state did this person live in?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	FOREIGN	5	0.3 %
1	NEW ENGLAND	22	1.4 %
2	MIDDLE ATLANTIC	64	4.0 %
3	E. NOR. CENTRAL	44	2.7 %
4	W. NOR. CENTRAL	17	1.1 %
5	SOUTH ATLANTIC	76	4.7 %
6	E. SOU. CENTRAL	16	1.0 %
7	W. SOU. CENTRAL	31	1.9 %
8	MOUNTAIN	15	0.9 %
9	PACIFIC	93	5.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	1214	75.6 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	9	0.6 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 383 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 601-602 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

AIDSWHO2: RS RELATION. TO 2ND CLOSEST AIDS VICTIM

Question: Think about the person you have know next best, living or dead, who came down with AIDS. Please tell me the letter of the category on the card which best describes your relationship to that person. (Hand card GQ)

Notes: Card GQ contained punches 1-10.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HUSBAND OR WIFE	0	0.0 %
2	PARTNER OR LOVER	0	0.0 %
3	SON OR DAUGHTER	0	0.0 %
4	OTHER RELATIVE	11	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	FRIEND	51	3.2 %
6	NEIGHBOR	7	0.4 %
7	CO-WORKER	29	1.8 %
8	ACQUAINTANCE	75	4.7 %
9	PATIENT	9	0.6 %
10	OTHER	10	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1407	87.6 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	7	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 192 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 10.00

Location: 603-604 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

AIDSDED2: HAS AIDS VICTIM 2ND CLOSEST TO R DIED

Question: We would like to know a few other things about that person. Is that person currently living, or has that person died?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIVING	68	4.2 %
2	DIED	122	7.6 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1407	87.6 %
8	DK	2	0.1 %
9	NA	7	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 190 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 605-605 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AIDSSEX2: SEX OF AIDS VICTIM 2ND CLOSEST TO R

Question: (Is/Was) that person male or female?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	175	10.9 %
2	FEMALE	17	1.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1407	87.6 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	7	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 192 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 606-606 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AIDSAGE2: AGE OF AIDS VICTIM 2ND CLOSEST TO R

Question: How old (is/was) that person? (Is/Was)(he/she) ... (Read list)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	10 YEARS OR UNDER	1	0.1 %
2	11-20	6	0.4 %
3	21-40	145	9.0 %
4	41 YEAR OR OLDER	39	2.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1407	87.6 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	8	0.5 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 191 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 607-607 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AIDSRAC2: RACE OF AIDS VICTIM 2ND CLOSEST TO R

Question: What (is/was) that person's race? (Is/Was) it black, white, Hispanic or other?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	BLACK	43	2.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	WHITE	131	8.2 %
3	HISPANIC	14	0.9 %
4	OTHER	3	0.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1407	87.6 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	8	0.5 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 191 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 608-608 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AIDSREG2: REGION OF AIDS VICTIM 2ND CLOSEST TO R

Question: What state did this person live in?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	FOREIGN	3	0.2 %
1	NEW ENGLAND	18	1.1 %
2	MIDDLE ATLANTIC	33	2.1 %
3	E. NOR. CENTRAL	23	1.4 %
4	W. NOR. CENTRAL	2	0.1 %
5	SOUTH ATLANTIC	36	2.2 %
6	E. SOU. CENTRAL	8	0.5 %
7	W. SOU. CENTRAL	17	1.1 %
8	MOUNTAIN	9	0.6 %
9	PACIFIC	40	2.5 %
Missing Data			
-1	NAP	1407	87.6 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	10	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 189 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 609-610 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

AIDSWHO3: RS RELAT. TO 3RD CLOSEST AIDS VICTIM TO R

Notes: Card GR contained punches 1-10.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HUSBAND OR WIFE	0	0.0 %
2	PARTNER OR LOVER	0	0.0 %
3	SON OR DAUGHTER	0	0.0 %
4	OTHER RELATIVE	3	0.2 %
5	FRIEND	30	1.9 %
6	NEIGHBOR	2	0.1 %
7	CO-WORKER	10	0.6 %
8	ACQUAINTANCE	50	3.1 %
9	PATIENT	11	0.7 %
10	OTHER	4	0.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1490	92.8 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	6	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 110 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 10.00

Location: 611-612 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

AIDSDED3: HAS AIDS VICTIM 3RD CLOSEST TO R DIED

Question: We would like to know a few other things about that person. Is that person currently living, or has that person died?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LIVING	34	2.1 %
2	DIED	75	4.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1490	92.8 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 109 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 613-613 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AIDSSEX3: SEX OF AIDS VICTIM 3RD CLOSEST TO R

Question: (Is/Was) that person male or female?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	101	6.3 %
2	FEMALE	9	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1490	92.8 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 110 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 614-614 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AIDSAGE3: AGE OF AIDS VICTIM 3RD CLOSEST TO R

Question: How old (is/was) that person? (Is/Was)(he/she) ... (Read list)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	10 YEARS OR UNDER	1	0.1 %
2	11-20	2	0.1 %
3	21-40	88	5.5 %
4	41 YEAR OR OLDER	19	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1490	92.8 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 110 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 615-615 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AIDSRAC3: RACE OF AIDS VICTIM 3RD CLOEST TO R

Question: What (is/was) that person's race? (Is/Was) it black, white, Hispanic or other?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	BLACK	20	1.2 %
2	WHITE	75	4.7 %
3	HISPANIC	10	0.6 %
4	OTHER	5	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1490	92.8 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 110 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 616-616 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AIDSREG3: REGION OF AIDS VICTIM 3RD CLOSEST TO R

Question: What state did this person live in?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	FOREIGN	0	0.0 %
1	NEW ENGLAND	5	0.3 %
2	MIDDLE ATLANTIC	24	1.5 %
3	E. NOR. CENTRAL	12	0.7 %
4	W. NOR. CENTRAL	3	0.2 %
5	SOUTH ATLANTIC	21	1.3 %
6	E. SOU. CENTRAL	3	0.2 %
7	W. SOU. CENTRAL	9	0.6 %
8	MOUNTAIN	8	0.5 %
9	PACIFIC	25	1.6 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	NAP	1490	92.8 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	6	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 110 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 617-618 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

PARTNERS: HOW MANY SEX PARTNERS R HAD IN LAST YEAR

Question: How many sex partners have you had in the last 12 months? Please circle one answer.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NO PARTNERS	313	19.5 %
1	1 PARTNER	983	61.2 %
2	2 PARTNERS	87	5.4 %
3	3 PARTNERS	33	2.1 %
4	4 PARTNERS	25	1.6 %
5	5-10 PARTNERS	20	1.2 %
6	11-20 PARTNERS	4	0.2 %
7	21-100 PARTNERS	1	0.1 %
8	MORE THAN 100 PARTNERS	0	0.0 %
9	1 OR MORE, DK	0	0.0 %
95	SEVERAL	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	114	7.1 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	26	1.6 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,466 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 619-620 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

MATESEX: WAS 1 OF RS PARTNERS SPOUSE OR REGULAR

Question: Was one of the partners your husband or wife or regular sexual partner?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1055	65.7 %
2	NO	80	5.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	427	26.6 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	44	2.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,135 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 621-621 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

FRNDSEX: R HAD SEX WITH FRIEND LAST YEAR

Question: If you have no other partners besides your husband or wife or regular sexual partner, please go to Q. 1123. If you had other partners, please indicate all categories that apply to them. Circle all the answers that apply. Was your partner a close personal friend?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HAD SEX WITH FRIEND	87	5.4 %
2	NOT SELECTED	73	4.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1359	84.6 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	87	5.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 160 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 622-622 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

ACQNTSEX: R HAD SEX WITH ACQUAINTANCE LAST YEAR

Question: If you had other partners, please indicate all categories that apply to them. Circle all the answers that apply. Was your partner a neighbor, co-worker, or long-term acquaintance?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SEX W ACQUAINTANCE	53	3.3 %
2	NOT SELECTED	107	6.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1359	84.6 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	87	5.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 160 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 623-623 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PIKUPSEX: R HAD SEX WITH CAUSAL DATE LAST YEAR

Question: If you had other partners, please indicate all categories that apply to them. Circle all the answers that apply. Was your partner a casual date or pick-up?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HAD SEX WITH PICK-UP	58	3.6 %
2	NOT SELECTED	102	6.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1359	84.6 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	87	5.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 160 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 624-624 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

PAIDSEX: R HAD SEX FOR PAY LAST YEAR

Question: If you had other partners, please indicate all categories that apply to them. Circle all the answers that apply. Was your partner a person you paid or paid you for sex?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HAD SEX FOR PAY	4	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	NOT SELECTED	156	9.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1359	84.6 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	87	5.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 160 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 625-625 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

OTHERSEX: R HAD SEX WITH SOME OTHER LAST YEAR

Question: If you had other partners, please indicate all categories that apply to them. Circle all the answers that apply. Was your partner other than the above categories?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HAD SEX WITH OTHER	4	0.2 %
2	NOT SELECTED	156	9.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1359	84.6 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	87	5.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 160 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 626-626 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SEXSEX: SEX OF SEX PARTNERS IN LAST YEAR

Question: Have your sex partners in the last 12 months been ... please circle one answer.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXCLUSIVELY MALE	592	36.9 %
2	BOTH MALE AND FEMALE	6	0.4 %
3	EXCLUSIVELY FEMALE	504	31.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Missing Data			
0	NAP	427	26.6 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	77	4.8 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,102 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 627-627 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

SEXFREQ: FREQUENCY OF SEX DURING LAST YEAR

Question: About how often did you have sex during the last 12 months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NOT AT ALL	309	19.2 %
1	ONCE OR TWICE	92	5.7 %
2	ONCE A MONTH	164	10.2 %
3	2-3 TIMES A MONTH	221	13.8 %
4	WEEKLY	272	16.9 %
5	2-3 PER WEEK	273	17.0 %
6	4+ PER WEEK	97	6.0 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	114	7.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	64	4.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,428 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 628-629 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 8 , 9

NUMWOMEN: NUMBER OF FEMALE SEX PARTNERS SINCE 18

Question: Now thinking about the time since your 18th (including the past 12 months) how many female partners have you had sex with?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	798	49.7 %
1	-	128	8.0 %
2	-	56	3.5 %
3	-	51	3.2 %
4	-	26	1.6 %
5	-	39	2.4 %
6	-	29	1.8 %
7	-	16	1.0 %
8	-	14	0.9 %
9	-	4	0.2 %
10	-	45	2.8 %
11	-	5	0.3 %
12	-	19	1.2 %
13	-	2	0.1 %
15	-	21	1.3 %
16	-	2	0.1 %
18	-	5	0.3 %
20	-	41	2.6 %
21	-	1	0.1 %
22	-	2	0.1 %
23	-	1	0.1 %
24	-	2	0.1 %
25	-	15	0.9 %
26	-	1	0.1 %
28	-	1	0.1 %
30	-	10	0.6 %
32	-	1	0.1 %
35	-	5	0.3 %
40	-	5	0.3 %
45	-	1	0.1 %
50	-	10	0.6 %
60	-	1	0.1 %
75	-	2	0.1 %
100	-	11	0.7 %
120	-	1	0.1 %
150	-	1	0.1 %
250	-	1	0.1 %
300	-	2	0.1 %
403	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
989	989 OR HIGHER	0	0.0 %
990	DASH OR SLASH	0	0.0 %
991	SOME,1+	6	0.4 %
992	X	0	0.0 %
993	GARBLED TEXT	1	0.1 %
994	SEVERAL	0	0.0 %
995	MANY,LOTS	3	0.2 %
996	N.A	0	0.0 %
997	REFUSED	3	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	114	7.1 %
998	DK	11	0.7 %
999	NA	92	5.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,389 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 14.91
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 997.00
- Standard Deviation: 97.48

Location: 630-632 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998 , 999

NUMMEN: NUMBER OF MALE SEX PARTNERS SINCE 18

Question: Now thinking about the time since your 18th (including the past 12 months) how many male partners have you had sex with?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	615	38.3 %
1	-	298	18.6 %
2	-	123	7.7 %
3	-	85	5.3 %
4	-	56	3.5 %
5	-	43	2.7 %
6	-	35	2.2 %
7	-	20	1.2 %
8	-	11	0.7 %
9	-	5	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	-	33	2.1 %
11	-	7	0.4 %
12	-	9	0.6 %
15	-	10	0.6 %
16	-	2	0.1 %
17	-	2	0.1 %
18	-	2	0.1 %
20	-	6	0.4 %
21	-	1	0.1 %
25	-	4	0.2 %
30	-	2	0.1 %
45	-	2	0.1 %
50	-	3	0.2 %
200	-	3	0.2 %
336	-	1	0.1 %
989	989 OR HIGHER	0	0.0 %
990	DASH OR SLASH	1	0.1 %
991	SOME,1+	10	0.6 %
992	X	0	0.0 %
993	GARBLED TEXT	0	0.0 %
994	SEVERAL	0	0.0 %
995	MANY,LOTS	2	0.1 %
996	N.A	1	0.1 %
997	REFUSED	6	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	114	7.1 %
998	DK	9	0.6 %
999	NA	85	5.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,398 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 17.05
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 997.00
- Standard Deviation: 118.44

Location: 633-635 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998 , 999

PARTNRS5: SEX OF THE PARTNER LAST FIVE YEARS

Question: Now think about the past five years-the time since February/March 1988, and including the past 12 months, how many sex partners have you had in that five year period?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NO PARTNERS	213	13.3 %
1	1 PARTNER	793	49.4 %
2	2 PARTNERS	136	8.5 %
3	3 PARTNERS	98	6.1 %
4	4 PARTNERS	73	4.5 %
5	5-10 PARTNERS	86	5.4 %
6	11-20 PARTNERS	34	2.1 %
7	21-100 PARTNERS	13	0.8 %
8	MORE THAN 100 PARTNERS	1	0.1 %
9	1 OR MORE, DK	4	0.2 %
95	SEVERAL	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	114	7.1 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	41	2.6 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,451 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 636-637 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

SEXSEX5: SEX OF SEX PARTNERS LAST FIVE YEARS

Question: Have your sex partners in the last five years been ...

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXCLUSIVELY MALE	660	41.1 %
2	BOTH MALE AND FEMALE	17	1.1 %
3	EXCLUSIVELY FEMALE	545	33.9 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	327	20.4 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	57	3.5 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,222 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 638-638 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

EVPAIDSX: EVER HAVE SEX PAID FOR OR BEING PAID SINCE 18

Question: Thinking about the time since your 18th birthday, have you ever had sex with a person you paid or who paid you for sex?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	124	7.7 %
2	NO	1327	82.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	114	7.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	41	2.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,451 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 639-639 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

EVSTRAY: HAVE SEX OTHER THAN SPOUSE WHILE MARRIED

Question: Have you ever had sex with someone other than your husband or wife while you were married?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	195	12.1 %
2	NO	982	61.1 %
3	NEVER MARRIED	258	16.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	114	7.1 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	57	3.5 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,435 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 640-640 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

POVLIN: R'S HH POVERTY STATUS

Question: Poverty status of household

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	POOR	186	11.6 %
2	POOR -- IMPUTED	3	0.2 %
3	NOT POOR	1231	76.7 %
4	NOT POOR -- IMPUTED	113	7.0 %
5	BORDERLINE	42	2.6 %
6	BORDERLINE -- IMPUTED	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NOT IMPUTABLE	31	1.9 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,575 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 641-641 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

INCDEF: DISTANCE BELOW POVERTY LINE

Question: Income deficit of household

Notes: POVLIN and INCDEF used government definitions of poverty status to classify households. Imputed cases (codes 2,4,6 on POVLIN) calculated poverty status based on estimated income values. Borderline cases (codes 5,6 on POVLIN) are those whose income category straddled the poverty line cut-off (e.g. a household income of income \$10,000 to \$14,000 in 1976 when the line was at \$12,493 for a family of 9 with 2 children). Note that negative values for INCDEF indicate that the respondent's household is below the poverty line. For full details see Appendix T, GSS Methodological Report No. 57.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	< -10,000	43	2.7 %
2	-10,000 TO -5,000	106	6.6 %
3	-4,999 TO -1,000	107	6.7 %
4	-999 TO 999	55	3.4 %
5	1,000 TO 4,999	132	8.2 %
6	5,000 TO 9,999	107	6.7 %
7	10,000 TO 20,000	163	10.1 %
8	20,001 +	741	46.1 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NOT IMPUTABLE	152	9.5 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,454 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 642-642 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

REALINC: FAMILY INCOME IN CONSTANT \$

Question: Family income in constant dollars (base = 1986).

Notes: Income variables (INCOME72, INCOME, INCOME77, INCOME82, INCOME86, INCOME91) are recoded in five-digit numbers and converted to 1986 dollars. The collapsed numbers above are for convenience of display only. Since this variable is based on categorical data, income is not continuous, but based on categorical mid-points and imputations. For details see GSS Methodological Report No. 64.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
393	-	17	1.1 %
1572	-	20	1.2 %
2751	-	25	1.6 %
3537	-	34	2.1 %
4323	-	34	2.1 %
5109	-	24	1.5 %
5895	-	29	1.8 %
7074	-	39	2.4 %
8843	-	69	4.3 %
10808	-	77	4.8 %
12773	-	63	3.9 %
14738	-	59	3.7 %
16703	-	63	3.9 %
18471	-	76	4.7 %
21615	-	98	6.1 %
25545	-	118	7.3 %
29475	-	107	6.7 %
35370	-	133	8.3 %
43230	-	114	7.1 %
53055	-	108	6.7 %
110160	-	160	10.0 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NAP	139	8.7 %
999998	DONT KNOW	0	0.0 %
999999	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,467 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 32577.15
- Median: 25545.00
- Mode: 110160.00
- Minimum: 393.00
- Maximum: 110160.00
- Standard Deviation: 30567.76

Location: 643-648 (width: 6; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 999998 , 999999

REALRINC: RS INCOME IN CONSTANT \$

Question: Respondent's income in constant dollars (base=1986)

Notes: Income variables (INCOME, RINCOM77, RINCOM82, RINCOM86, RINCOM91) are recoded in five-digit numbers and converted to 1986 dollars. The collapsed numbers above are for convenience of display only. Since this variable is based on categorical data, income is not continuous, but based on categorical mid-points and imputations. For details see GSS Methodological Report No. 64.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
393	-	26	1.6 %
1572	-	38	2.4 %
2751	-	33	2.1 %
3537	-	28	1.7 %
4323	-	24	1.5 %
5109	-	25	1.6 %
5895	-	16	1.0 %
7074	-	34	2.1 %
8843	-	62	3.9 %
10808	-	58	3.6 %
12773	-	69	4.3 %
14738	-	63	3.9 %
16703	-	57	3.5 %
18471	-	66	4.1 %
21615	-	90	5.6 %
25545	-	89	5.5 %
29475	-	61	3.8 %
35370	-	70	4.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
43230	-	38	2.4 %
53055	-	25	1.6 %
100496	-	47	2.9 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	587	36.6 %
999998	DONT KNOW	0	0.0 %
999999	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,019 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 21754.03
- Median: 16703.00
- Mode: 21615.00
- Minimum: 393.00
- Maximum: 100496.00
- Standard Deviation: 21107.96

Location: 649-654 (width: 6; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 999998 , 999999

MINFOUR: IMPUTED POVERTY LINE FOR FAMILY OF FOUR

Question: People who have incomes below a certain level can be considered poor. That level is called the "poverty line." What amount of weekly income would you use as a poverty line for a family of four (husband, wife and two children) in this community?
Notes: Minimum income variables (MINFOUR, MINFOOD, MINTHREE) are coded in four-digit numbers. The collapsed numbers above are for convenience of display only. Since this variable is based on categorical data, income is not continuous, but based on categorical mid-points and imputations.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
25	-	1	0.1 %
30	-	2	0.1 %
50	-	2	0.1 %
60	-	1	0.1 %
75	-	2	0.1 %
90	-	1	0.1 %
99	-	1	0.1 %
100	-	44	2.7 %
110	-	1	0.1 %
125	-	6	0.4 %
130	-	3	0.2 %
140	-	1	0.1 %
150	-	51	3.2 %
154	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
160	-	3	0.2 %
170	-	2	0.1 %
175	-	7	0.4 %
200	-	247	15.4 %
210	-	1	0.1 %
211	-	1	0.1 %
225	-	4	0.2 %
230	-	1	0.1 %
240	-	2	0.1 %
250	-	121	7.5 %
270	-	1	0.1 %
275	-	3	0.2 %
280	-	3	0.2 %
288	-	4	0.2 %
290	-	1	0.1 %
300	-	269	16.7 %
307	-	1	0.1 %
308	-	2	0.1 %
320	-	2	0.1 %
325	-	4	0.2 %
336	-	1	0.1 %
340	-	1	0.1 %
346	-	1	0.1 %
350	-	56	3.5 %
360	-	1	0.1 %
375	-	4	0.2 %
384	-	1	0.1 %
385	-	8	0.5 %
400	-	200	12.5 %
425	-	2	0.1 %
450	-	13	0.8 %
461	-	1	0.1 %
475	-	1	0.1 %
480	-	3	0.2 %
500	-	192	12.0 %
550	-	1	0.1 %
576	-	3	0.2 %
600	-	48	3.0 %
625	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
650	-	1	0.1 %
673	-	1	0.1 %
700	-	10	0.6 %
750	-	5	0.3 %
800	-	12	0.7 %
1000	-	18	1.1 %
1150	-	1	0.1 %
1200	-	1	0.1 %
1500	-	2	0.1 %
5000	-	1	0.1 %
7000	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
9998	DK	0	0.0 %
9999	NA	219	13.6 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,387 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 349.38
- Median: 300.00
- Mode: 300.00
- Minimum: 25.00
- Maximum: 7000.00
- Standard Deviation: 274.85

Location: 655-658 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9998 , 9999

MINFOOD: IMPUTED WKLY FOOD SPENDING FOR POV LINE FAM OF 4

Question: Now more specifically, thinking about that family of four at the "poverty line," what would you say that family needs to spend each week on food, in this community?

Notes: Minimum income variables (MINFOUR, MINFOOD, MINTHREE) are coded in four-digit numbers. The collapsed numbers above are for convenience of display only. Since this variable is based on categorical data, income is not continuous, but based on categorical mid-points and imputations.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	-	2	0.1 %
13	-	1	0.1 %
20	-	3	0.2 %
25	-	7	0.4 %
30	-	4	0.2 %
35	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
37	-	1	0.1 %
40	-	18	1.1 %
45	-	1	0.1 %
50	-	127	7.9 %
55	-	2	0.1 %
60	-	59	3.7 %
65	-	12	0.7 %
70	-	46	2.9 %
75	-	160	10.0 %
80	-	82	5.1 %
85	-	5	0.3 %
88	-	1	0.1 %
90	-	21	1.3 %
95	-	1	0.1 %
100	-	472	29.4 %
110	-	4	0.2 %
112	-	1	0.1 %
115	-	1	0.1 %
120	-	24	1.5 %
125	-	59	3.7 %
130	-	8	0.5 %
140	-	2	0.1 %
150	-	179	11.1 %
160	-	3	0.2 %
170	-	3	0.2 %
175	-	3	0.2 %
180	-	2	0.1 %
200	-	105	6.5 %
225	-	1	0.1 %
250	-	6	0.4 %
300	-	14	0.9 %
350	-	1	0.1 %
400	-	4	0.2 %
500	-	1	0.1 %
600	-	1	0.1 %
710	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1	0.1 %
9998	DK	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9999	NA	155	9.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,450 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 107.01
- Median: 100.00
- Mode: 100.00
- Minimum: 10.00
- Maximum: 710.00
- Standard Deviation: 54.12

Location: 659-662 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9998 , 9999

MINTHREE: IMPUTED POVERTY LINE FOR FAMILY OF THREE

Question: And finally, what amount of weekly income would you use as a "poverty line" for a family of three, made up of a woman and two children in this community?

Notes: Minimum income variables (MINFOUR, MINFOOD, MINTHREE) are coded in four-digit numbers. The collapsed numbers above are for convenience of display only. Since this variable is based on categorical data, income is not continuous, but based on categorical mid-points and imputations.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
25	-	1	0.1 %
30	-	2	0.1 %
45	-	1	0.1 %
50	-	8	0.5 %
60	-	3	0.2 %
65	-	2	0.1 %
70	-	2	0.1 %
75	-	11	0.7 %
80	-	4	0.2 %
85	-	3	0.2 %
90	-	5	0.3 %
100	-	51	3.2 %
110	-	3	0.2 %
115	-	1	0.1 %
120	-	3	0.2 %
125	-	15	0.9 %
130	-	2	0.1 %
135	-	1	0.1 %
140	-	1	0.1 %
145	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
150	-	113	7.0 %
153	-	1	0.1 %
154	-	1	0.1 %
160	-	3	0.2 %
165	-	1	0.1 %
170	-	3	0.2 %
175	-	44	2.7 %
180	-	4	0.2 %
185	-	1	0.1 %
190	-	2	0.1 %
200	-	252	15.7 %
210	-	1	0.1 %
220	-	2	0.1 %
225	-	17	1.1 %
230	-	3	0.2 %
231	-	2	0.1 %
250	-	142	8.8 %
270	-	2	0.1 %
275	-	17	1.1 %
280	-	1	0.1 %
288	-	5	0.3 %
290	-	1	0.1 %
295	-	1	0.1 %
300	-	214	13.3 %
305	-	1	0.1 %
307	-	1	0.1 %
325	-	6	0.4 %
326	-	1	0.1 %
340	-	1	0.1 %
346	-	2	0.1 %
350	-	88	5.5 %
360	-	1	0.1 %
375	-	10	0.6 %
380	-	3	0.2 %
384	-	1	0.1 %
385	-	1	0.1 %
400	-	134	8.3 %
420	-	1	0.1 %
425	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
450	-	23	1.4 %
461	-	1	0.1 %
480	-	2	0.1 %
500	-	93	5.8 %
550	-	3	0.2 %
600	-	19	1.2 %
650	-	2	0.1 %
673	-	1	0.1 %
700	-	9	0.6 %
750	-	4	0.2 %
800	-	7	0.4 %
950	-	1	0.1 %
1000	-	10	0.6 %
1200	-	1	0.1 %
3700	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
9998	DK	1	0.1 %
9999	NA	222	13.8 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,383 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 290.37
- Median: 250.00
- Mode: 200.00
- Minimum: 25.00
- Maximum: 3700.00
- Standard Deviation: 173.43

Location: 663-666 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9998 , 9999

ETHNIC: COUNTRY OF FAMILY ORIGIN

Question: From what countries or part of the world did your ancestors come? If single country is names, refer to national codes below and enter code number in boxes. If more than one country is names, refer to national codes below, code up to 3 responses and then ask: Which one of this countries do you feel closer to? If one country names, refer to codes below, and enter code number in boxes, if can't decide on one country, enter code 88.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AFRICA	104	6.5 %
2	AUSTRIA	4	0.2 %
3	FRENCH CANADA	13	0.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	OTHER CANADA	7	0.4 %
5	CHINA	6	0.4 %
6	CZECHOSLOVAKIA	10	0.6 %
7	DENMARK	9	0.6 %
8	ENGLAND & WALES	167	10.4 %
9	FINLAND	5	0.3 %
10	FRANCE	23	1.4 %
11	GERMANY	230	14.3 %
12	GREECE	6	0.4 %
13	HUNGARY	10	0.6 %
14	IRELAND	168	10.5 %
15	ITALY	59	3.7 %
16	JAPAN	3	0.2 %
17	MEXICO	28	1.7 %
18	NETHERLANDS	34	2.1 %
19	NORWAY	21	1.3 %
20	PHILIPPINES	11	0.7 %
21	POLAND	39	2.4 %
22	PUERTO RICO	11	0.7 %
23	RUSSIA	25	1.6 %
24	SCOTLAND	50	3.1 %
25	SPAIN	17	1.1 %
26	SWEDEN	24	1.5 %
27	SWITZERLAND	6	0.4 %
28	WEST INDIES	2	0.1 %
29	OTHER	19	1.2 %
30	AMERICAN INDIAN	71	4.4 %
31	INDIA	6	0.4 %
32	PORTUGAL	3	0.2 %
33	LITHUANIA	3	0.2 %
34	YUGOSLAVIA	3	0.2 %
35	RUMANIA	2	0.1 %
36	BELGIUM	1	0.1 %
37	ARABIC	2	0.1 %
38	OTHER SPANISH	12	0.7 %
39	NON-SPAN WINDIES	11	0.7 %
40	OTHER ASIAN	0	0.0 %
41	OTHER EUROPEAN	0	0.0 %
97	AMERICAN ONLY	23	1.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
0	UNCODEABLE	331	20.6 %
98	NO ETH MENTIONED	0	0.0 %
99	NA	27	1.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,248 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 97.00

Location: 667-668 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

ETH1: 1ST MENTIONED COUNTRY OF ORIGIN

Question: First ethnicity mentioned?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AFRICA	105	6.5 %
2	AUSTRIA	8	0.5 %
3	FRENCH CANADA	15	0.9 %
4	OTHER CANADA	8	0.5 %
5	CHINA	7	0.4 %
6	CZECHOSLOVAKIA	14	0.9 %
7	DENMARK	11	0.7 %
8	ENGLAND & WALES	189	11.8 %
9	FINLAND	5	0.3 %
10	FRANCE	40	2.5 %
11	GERMANY	304	18.9 %
12	GREECE	8	0.5 %
13	HUNGARY	17	1.1 %
14	IRELAND	166	10.3 %
15	ITALY	65	4.0 %
16	JAPAN	3	0.2 %
17	MEXICO	28	1.7 %
18	NETHERLANDS	39	2.4 %
19	NORWAY	19	1.2 %
20	PHILIPPINES	8	0.5 %
21	POLAND	47	2.9 %
22	PUERTO RICO	11	0.7 %
23	RUSSIA	37	2.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
24	SCOTLAND	73	4.5 %
25	SPAIN	24	1.5 %
26	SWEDEN	25	1.6 %
27	SWITZERLAND	7	0.4 %
28	WEST INDIES	1	0.1 %
29	OTHER	18	1.1 %
30	AMERICAN INDIAN	58	3.6 %
31	INDIA	7	0.4 %
32	PORTUGAL	5	0.3 %
33	LITHUANIA	5	0.3 %
34	YUGOSLAVIA	3	0.2 %
35	RUMANIA	1	0.1 %
36	BELGIUM	1	0.1 %
37	ARABIC	2	0.1 %
38	OTHER SPANISH	10	0.6 %
39	NON-SPAN WINDIES	11	0.7 %
40	OTHER ASIAN	0	0.0 %
41	OTHER EUROPEAN	0	0.0 %
97	AMERICAN ONLY	22	1.4 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
98	NO ETH MENTIONED	175	10.9 %
99	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,427 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 97.00

Location: 669-670 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

ETH2: 2ND MENTIONED COUNTRY OF ORIGIN

Question: Second ethnicity mentioned?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AFRICA	4	0.2 %
2	AUSTRIA	3	0.2 %
3	FRENCH CANADA	8	0.5 %
4	OTHER CANADA	3	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	CHINA	1	0.1 %
6	CZECHOSLOVAKIA	12	0.7 %
7	DENMARK	5	0.3 %
8	ENGLAND & WALES	96	6.0 %
9	FINLAND	2	0.1 %
10	FRANCE	38	2.4 %
11	GERMANY	126	7.8 %
12	GREECE	5	0.3 %
13	HUNGARY	7	0.4 %
14	IRELAND	154	9.6 %
15	ITALY	18	1.1 %
16	JAPAN	1	0.1 %
17	MEXICO	4	0.2 %
18	NETHERLANDS	19	1.2 %
19	NORWAY	16	1.0 %
20	PHILIPPINES	2	0.1 %
21	POLAND	17	1.1 %
22	PUERTO RICO	0	0.0 %
23	RUSSIA	16	1.0 %
24	SCOTLAND	47	2.9 %
25	SPAIN	8	0.5 %
26	SWEDEN	13	0.8 %
27	SWITZERLAND	5	0.3 %
28	WEST INDIES	0	0.0 %
29	OTHER	4	0.2 %
30	AMERICAN INDIAN	40	2.5 %
31	INDIA	0	0.0 %
32	PORTUGAL	1	0.1 %
33	LITHUANIA	4	0.2 %
34	YUGOSLAVIA	5	0.3 %
35	RUMANIA	3	0.2 %
36	BELGIUM	4	0.2 %
37	ARABIC	1	0.1 %
38	OTHER SPANISH	1	0.1 %
39	NON-SPAN WINDIES	1	0.1 %
40	OTHER ASIAN	0	0.0 %
41	OTHER EUROPEAN	0	0.0 %
97	AMERICAN ONLY	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NAP	907	56.5 %
98	NO ETH MENTIONED	4	0.2 %
99	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 695 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 97.00

Location: 671-672 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

ETH3: 3RD MENTIONED COUNTRY OF ORIGIN

Question: Third ethnicity mentioned?

Notes: Question was recoded so that the summary ethnicity of the respondent appears in Q. 1173A in GSS cumulative codebook whether obtained in Q. 1173 or Q. 1173A in GSS cumulative codebook. See Appendix D: Recodes, for details. Contents of Punch 29 (Other SPECIFY) available from NORC. See Appendix N for changes across surveys. See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Reports Nos. 6, 12, and 18. Codes 40 and 41 were used starting in 1994. If planning to perform trend analysis with ETHNIC, please consult GSS Methodological Report No. 56.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AFRICA	1	0.1 %
2	AUSTRIA	2	0.1 %
3	FRENCH CANADA	1	0.1 %
4	OTHER CANADA	2	0.1 %
5	CHINA	0	0.0 %
6	CZECHOSLOVAKIA	1	0.1 %
7	DENMARK	2	0.1 %
8	ENGLAND & WALES	30	1.9 %
9	FINLAND	1	0.1 %
10	FRANCE	20	1.2 %
11	GERMANY	36	2.2 %
12	GREECE	0	0.0 %
13	HUNGARY	1	0.1 %
14	IRELAND	40	2.5 %
15	ITALY	2	0.1 %
16	JAPAN	0	0.0 %
17	MEXICO	1	0.1 %
18	NETHERLANDS	12	0.7 %
19	NORWAY	6	0.4 %
20	PHILIPPINES	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
21	POLAND	4	0.2 %
22	PUERTO RICO	0	0.0 %
23	RUSSIA	5	0.3 %
24	SCOTLAND	22	1.4 %
25	SPAIN	0	0.0 %
26	SWEDEN	7	0.4 %
27	SWITZERLAND	2	0.1 %
28	WEST INDIES	0	0.0 %
29	OTHER	1	0.1 %
30	AMERICAN INDIAN	24	1.5 %
31	INDIA	0	0.0 %
32	PORTUGAL	0	0.0 %
33	LITHUANIA	0	0.0 %
34	YUGOSLAVIA	1	0.1 %
35	RUMANIA	0	0.0 %
36	BELGIUM	0	0.0 %
37	ARABIC	0	0.0 %
38	OTHER SPANISH	0	0.0 %
39	NON-SPAN WINDIES	1	0.1 %
40	OTHER ASIAN	0	0.0 %
41	OTHER EUROPEAN	0	0.0 %
97	AMERICAN ONLY	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1376	85.7 %
98	NO ETH MENTIONED	0	0.0 %
99	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 227 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 97.00

Location: 673-674 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

ETHNUM: TYPE OF RESPONSE ABOUT ETHNICITY -- R

Notes: See Appendix D: Recodes. See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Reports No. 6, 12 and 18.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	NAMES 1	726	45.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	CHOOSES 1 OF 2+	537	33.4 %
3	CANNOT CHOOSE 1	141	8.8 %
4	CANNOT NAME ANY	175	10.9 %
	Missing Data		
5	UNCODEABLE	27	1.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,579 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 675-675 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 5

SPETHNIC: COUNTRY OF SPOUSES FAMILY ORIGIN

Question: Summary ethnicity of spouse.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AFRICA	37	2.3 %
2	AUSTRIA	1	0.1 %
3	FRENCH CANADA	6	0.4 %
4	OTHER CANADA	4	0.2 %
5	CHINA	3	0.2 %
6	CZECHOSLOVAKIA	6	0.4 %
7	DENMARK	6	0.4 %
8	ENGLAND & WALES	103	6.4 %
9	FINLAND	2	0.1 %
10	FRANCE	16	1.0 %
11	GERMANY	143	8.9 %
12	GREECE	8	0.5 %
13	HUNGARY	3	0.2 %
14	IRELAND	64	4.0 %
15	ITALY	33	2.1 %
16	JAPAN	2	0.1 %
17	MEXICO	13	0.8 %
18	NETHERLANDS	13	0.8 %
19	NORWAY	9	0.6 %
20	PHILIPPINES	8	0.5 %
21	POLAND	23	1.4 %
22	PUERTO RICO	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
23	RUSSIA	18	1.1 %
24	SCOTLAND	21	1.3 %
25	SPAIN	15	0.9 %
26	SWEDEN	16	1.0 %
27	SWITZERLAND	2	0.1 %
28	WEST INDIES	0	0.0 %
29	OTHER	14	0.9 %
30	AMERICAN INDIAN	28	1.7 %
31	INDIA	3	0.2 %
32	PORTUGAL	2	0.1 %
33	LITHUANIA	2	0.1 %
34	YUGOSLAVIA	1	0.1 %
35	RUMANIA	2	0.1 %
36	BELGIUM	1	0.1 %
37	ARABIC	1	0.1 %
38	OTHER SPANISH	9	0.6 %
39	NON-SPAN WINDIES	3	0.2 %
40	OTHER ASIAN	0	0.0 %
41	OTHER EUROPEAN	0	0.0 %
97	AMERICAN ONLY	9	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
0	UNCODEABLE & NAP	939	58.5 %
98	NO ETH MENTIONED	0	0.0 %
99	NA	16	1.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 651 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 97.00

Location: 676-677 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

SPETH1: 1ST MENTIONED COUNTRY OF SPOUSES ORIGIN

Question: First ethnicity of spouse mentioned.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AFRICA	37	2.3 %
2	AUSTRIA	1	0.1 %
3	FRENCH CANADA	8	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	OTHER CANADA	5	0.3 %
5	CHINA	5	0.3 %
6	CZECHOSLOVAKIA	8	0.5 %
7	DENMARK	6	0.4 %
8	ENGLAND & WALES	110	6.8 %
9	FINLAND	3	0.2 %
10	FRANCE	26	1.6 %
11	GERMANY	174	10.8 %
12	GREECE	10	0.6 %
13	HUNGARY	4	0.2 %
14	IRELAND	64	4.0 %
15	ITALY	32	2.0 %
16	JAPAN	2	0.1 %
17	MEXICO	17	1.1 %
18	NETHERLANDS	14	0.9 %
19	NORWAY	10	0.6 %
20	PHILIPPINES	6	0.4 %
21	POLAND	25	1.6 %
22	PUERTO RICO	1	0.1 %
23	RUSSIA	21	1.3 %
24	SCOTLAND	30	1.9 %
25	SPAIN	14	0.9 %
26	SWEDEN	15	0.9 %
27	SWITZERLAND	2	0.1 %
28	WEST INDIES	0	0.0 %
29	OTHER	10	0.6 %
30	AMERICAN INDIAN	25	1.6 %
31	INDIA	3	0.2 %
32	PORTUGAL	2	0.1 %
33	LITHUANIA	3	0.2 %
34	YUGOSLAVIA	1	0.1 %
35	RUMANIA	2	0.1 %
36	BELGIUM	1	0.1 %
37	ARABIC	1	0.1 %
38	OTHER SPANISH	10	0.6 %
39	NON-SPAN WINDIES	3	0.2 %
40	OTHER ASIAN	0	0.0 %
41	OTHER EUROPEAN	0	0.0 %
97	AMERICAN ONLY	8	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
0	UNCODEABLE & NAP	751	46.8 %
98	NO ETH MENTIONED	132	8.2 %
99	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 719 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 97.00

Location: 678-679 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

SPETH2: 2ND MENTIONED COUNTRY OF SPOUSES ORIGIN

Question: Second ethnicity of spouse mentioned.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AFRICA	1	0.1 %
2	AUSTRIA	2	0.1 %
3	FRENCH CANADA	3	0.2 %
4	OTHER CANADA	1	0.1 %
5	CHINA	0	0.0 %
6	CZECHOSLOVAKIA	4	0.2 %
7	DENMARK	2	0.1 %
8	ENGLAND & WALES	36	2.2 %
9	FINLAND	1	0.1 %
10	FRANCE	15	0.9 %
11	GERMANY	43	2.7 %
12	GREECE	1	0.1 %
13	HUNGARY	0	0.0 %
14	IRELAND	67	4.2 %
15	ITALY	10	0.6 %
16	JAPAN	0	0.0 %
17	MEXICO	0	0.0 %
18	NETHERLANDS	11	0.7 %
19	NORWAY	2	0.1 %
20	PHILIPPINES	1	0.1 %
21	POLAND	13	0.8 %
22	PUERTO RICO	1	0.1 %
23	RUSSIA	3	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
24	SCOTLAND	16	1.0 %
25	SPAIN	10	0.6 %
26	SWEDEN	8	0.5 %
27	SWITZERLAND	5	0.3 %
28	WEST INDIES	0	0.0 %
29	OTHER	3	0.2 %
30	AMERICAN INDIAN	14	0.9 %
31	INDIA	1	0.1 %
32	PORTUGAL	1	0.1 %
33	LITHUANIA	0	0.0 %
34	YUGOSLAVIA	3	0.2 %
35	RUMANIA	3	0.2 %
36	BELGIUM	0	0.0 %
37	ARABIC	0	0.0 %
38	OTHER SPANISH	1	0.1 %
39	NON-SPAN WINDIES	0	0.0 %
40	OTHER ASIAN	0	0.0 %
41	OTHER EUROPEAN	0	0.0 %
97	AMERICAN ONLY	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	UNCODEABLE & NAP	1322	82.3 %
98	NO ETH MENTIONED	1	0.1 %
99	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 283 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 97.00

Location: 680-681 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99)

SPETH3: 3RD MENTIONED COUNTRY OF SPOUSES ORIGIN

Question: Third ethnicity of spouse mentioned.

Notes: Question was recoded so that the summary ethnicity of the spouse appears in Q. 1175A in GSS cumulative codebook whether obtained in Q. 1175 or Q. 1175A in GSS cumulative codebook. See Appendix D: Recodes, for details. Contents of Punch 29 (Other SPECIFY) available from NORC. See Appendix N for changes across surveys. See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Reports Nos. 6, 12, 18, and 35. Codes 40 and 41 were used starting in 1994.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AFRICA	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	AUSTRIA	0	0.0 %
3	FRENCH CANADA	0	0.0 %
4	OTHER CANADA	0	0.0 %
5	CHINA	0	0.0 %
6	CZECHOSLOVAKIA	0	0.0 %
7	DENMARK	1	0.1 %
8	ENGLAND & WALES	5	0.3 %
9	FINLAND	0	0.0 %
10	FRANCE	6	0.4 %
11	GERMANY	14	0.9 %
12	GREECE	0	0.0 %
13	HUNGARY	1	0.1 %
14	IRELAND	9	0.6 %
15	ITALY	3	0.2 %
16	JAPAN	0	0.0 %
17	MEXICO	0	0.0 %
18	NETHERLANDS	3	0.2 %
19	NORWAY	0	0.0 %
20	PHILIPPINES	1	0.1 %
21	POLAND	0	0.0 %
22	PUERTO RICO	0	0.0 %
23	RUSSIA	0	0.0 %
24	SCOTLAND	4	0.2 %
25	SPAIN	0	0.0 %
26	SWEDEN	0	0.0 %
27	SWITZERLAND	0	0.0 %
28	WEST INDIES	0	0.0 %
29	OTHER	0	0.0 %
30	AMERICAN INDIAN	9	0.6 %
31	INDIA	0	0.0 %
32	PORTUGAL	0	0.0 %
33	LITHUANIA	0	0.0 %
34	YUGOSLAVIA	0	0.0 %
35	RUMANIA	0	0.0 %
36	BELGIUM	0	0.0 %
37	ARABIC	0	0.0 %
38	OTHER SPANISH	0	0.0 %
39	NON-SPAN WINDIES	0	0.0 %
40	OTHER ASIAN	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
41	OTHER EUROPEAN	0	0.0 %
97	AMERICAN ONLY	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	UNCODEABLE & NAP	1547	96.3 %
98	NO ETH MENTIONED	1	0.1 %
99	NA	2	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 56 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 7.00
- Maximum: 30.00

Location: 682-683 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

SPETHNUM: TYPE OF RESPONSE ABOUT ETHNICITY -- SP

Question: Recode of Q. 1175A.

Notes: See Appendix D: Recodes. See Appendix T, GSS Methodological Reports Nos. 6, 12, 18 and 35.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	NAMES 1	435	27.1 %
2	CHOOSES 1 OF 2+	222	13.8 %
3	CANNOT CHOOSE 1	54	3.4 %
4	CANNOT NAME ANY	132	8.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	747	46.5 %
5	UNCODEABLE	16	1.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 843 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 684-684 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 5

VETYEARS: YEARS IN ARMED FORCES

Question: Have you ever been on active duty for military training or service for two consecutive months or more? If yes: What was your total time on active duty?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NONE	856	53.3 %
1	LESS THAN 2 YRS	40	2.5 %
2	2 TO 4 YEARS	84	5.2 %
3	MORE THAN 4 YRS	42	2.6 %
4	SOME,DK HOW LONG	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	526	32.8 %
9	NA	58	3.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,022 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 685-686 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 9

DWELLING: TYPE OF STRUCTURE

Question: Dwelling type.

Notes: Contents of Punch 10 available from NORC. Interviewer coded.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TRAILER	108	6.7 %
2	DETACHED 1-FAM HOUSE	1043	64.9 %
3	2 UNITS SIDE BY SIDE	45	2.8 %
4	2 UNITS-ONE ABOVE	37	2.3 %
5	3-4 FAM HOUSE	31	1.9 %
6	ROW HOUSE	78	4.9 %
7	APARTMENT HOUSE	189	11.8 %
8	APARTMENT-4 STORIES	57	3.5 %
9	APARTMENT-COMMERCIAL	4	0.2 %
10	OTHER	13	0.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	1	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,605 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 10.00

Location: 687-688 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

DWELOWN: DOES R OWN OR RENT HOME?

Question: (Do you/Does your family) own your (home/apartment), pay rent, or what?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	OWN OR IS BUYING	710	44.2 %
2	PAYS RENT	337	21.0 %
3	OTHER	29	1.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	526	32.8 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,076 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 689-689 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

WORDA: WORD A

Question: We would like to know something about how people go about guessing words they do not know. On this card are listed some words-you may know some of them, and you may not know quite a few of them. On each line the first word is in capital letters-like BEAST. Then there are five other words. Tell me the number of the word that comes closest to the meaning of the word in capital letters. For example, if the word in capital letters is BEAST, you would say "4" since "animal" comes closer to BEAST than any of the other words. If you wish, I will read the words to you. These words are difficult for almost everyone-Circle one code number for each item below. Hand cared HI.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	INCORRECT	172	10.7 %
1	CORRECT	809	50.4 %
Missing Data			
-2	NAP	526	32.8 %
9	NA	99	6.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 981 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00

- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 690-691 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of Missing Values: -2 , 9

WORDB: WORD B

Question: We would like to know something about how people go about guessing words they do not know. On this card are listed some words-you may know some of them, and you may not know quite a few of them. On each line the first word is in capital letters-like BEAST. Then there are five other words. Tell me the number of the word that comes closest to the meaning of the word in capital letters. For example, if the word in capital letters is BEAST, you would say "4" since "animal" comes closer to BEAST than any of the other words. If you wish, I will read the words to you. These words are difficult for almost everyone-Circle one code number for each item below. Hand cared HI.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	INCORRECT	56	3.5 %
1	CORRECT	926	57.7 %
	Missing Data		
-2	NAP	526	32.8 %
9	NA	98	6.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 982 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 692-693 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of Missing Values: -2 , 9

WORDC: WORD C

Question: We would like to know something about how people go about guessing words they do not know. On this card are listed some words-you may know some of them, and you may not know quite a few of them. On each line the first word is in capital letters-like BEAST. Then there are five other words. Tell me the number of the word that comes closest to the meaning of the word in capital letters. For example, if the word in capital letters is BEAST, you would say "4" since "animal" comes closer to BEAST than any of the other words. If you wish, I will read the words to you. These words are difficult for almost everyone-Circle one code number for each item below. Hand cared HI.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	INCORRECT	574	35.7 %
1	CORRECT	213	13.3 %
	Missing Data		
-2	NAP	526	32.8 %
9	NA	293	18.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 787 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 694-695 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of Missing Values: -2 , 9

WORDD: WORD D

Question: We would like to know something about how people go about guessing words they do not know. On this card are listed some words-you may know some of them, and you may not know quite a few of them. On each line the first word is in capital letters-like BEAST. Then there are five other words. Tell me the number of the word that comes closest to the meaning of the word in capital letters. For example, if the word in capital letters is BEAST, you would say "4" since "animal" comes closer to BEAST than any of the other words. If you wish, I will read the words to you. These words are difficult for almost everyone-Circle one code number for each item below. Hand cared HI.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	INCORRECT	57	3.5 %
1	CORRECT	941	58.6 %
	Missing Data		
-2	NAP	526	32.8 %
9	NA	82	5.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 998 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 696-697 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of Missing Values: -2 , 9

WORDE: WORD E

Question: We would like to know something about how people go about guessing words they do not know. On this card are listed some words-you may know some of them, and you may not know quite a few of them. On each line the first word is in capital letters-like BEAST. Then there are five other words. Tell me the number of the word that comes closest to the meaning of the word in capital letters. For example, if the word in capital letters is BEAST, you would say "4" since "animal" comes closer to BEAST than any of the other words. If you wish, I will read the words to you. These words are difficult for almost everyone-Circle one code number for each item below. Hand cared HI.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	INCORRECT	184	11.5 %
1	CORRECT	773	48.1 %
	Missing Data		
-2	NAP	526	32.8 %
9	NA	123	7.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 957 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 698-699 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of Missing Values: -2 , 9

WORDF: WORD F

Question: We would like to know something about how people go about guessing words they do not know. On this card are listed some words-you may know some of them, and you may not know quite a few of them. On each line the first word is in capital letters-like BEAST. Then there are five other words. Tell me the number of the word that comes closest to the meaning of the word in capital letters. For example, if the word in capital letters is BEAST, you would say "4" since "animal" comes closer to BEAST than any of the other words. If you wish, I will read the words to you. These words are difficult for almost everyone-Circle one code number for each item below. Hand cared HI.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	INCORRECT	157	9.8 %
1	CORRECT	797	49.6 %
	Missing Data		
-2	NAP	526	32.8 %
9	NA	126	7.8 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 954 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 700-701 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of Missing Values: -2 , 9

WORDG: WORD G

Question: We would like to know something about how people go about guessing words they do not know. On this card are listed some words-you may know some of them, and you may not know quite a few of them. On each line the first word is in capital letters-like BEAST. Then there are five other words. Tell me the number of the word that comes closest to the meaning of the word in capital letters. For example, if the word in capital letters is BEAST, you would say "4" since "animal" comes closer to BEAST than any of the other words. If you wish, I will read the words to you. These words are difficult for almost everyone-Circle one code number for each item below. Hand cared HI.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	INCORRECT	583	36.3 %
1	CORRECT	341	21.2 %
	Missing Data		
-2	NAP	526	32.8 %
9	NA	156	9.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 924 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 702-703 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of Missing Values: -2 , 9

WORDH: WORD H

Question: We would like to know something about how people go about guessing words they do not know. On this card are listed some words-you may know some of them, and you may not know quite a few of them. On each line the first word is in capital letters-like BEAST. Then there are five other words. Tell me the number of the word that comes closest to the meaning of the word in capital letters. For example, if the word in capital letters is BEAST, you would say "4" since "animal" comes closer to BEAST than any of the other words. If you wish, I will read the words to you. These words are difficult for almost everyone-Circle one code number for each item below. Hand cared HI.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	INCORRECT	528	32.9 %
1	CORRECT	296	18.4 %
	Missing Data		
-2	NAP	526	32.8 %
9	NA	256	15.9 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 824 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 704-705 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of Missing Values: -2 , 9

WORDI: WORD I

Question: We would like to know something about how people go about guessing words they do not know. On this card are listed some words-you may know some of them, and you may not know quite a few of them. On each line the first word is in capital letters-like BEAST. Then there are five other words. Tell me the number of the word that comes closest to the meaning of the word in capital letters. For example, if the word in capital letters is BEAST, you would say "4" since "animal" comes closer to BEAST than any of the other words. If you wish, I will read the words to you. These words are difficult for almost everyone-Circle one code number for each item below. Hand cared HI.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	INCORRECT	211	13.1 %
1	CORRECT	786	48.9 %
	Missing Data		
-2	NAP	526	32.8 %
9	NA	83	5.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 997 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 706-707 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of Missing Values: -2 , 9

WORDJ: WORD J

Question: We would like to know something about how people go about guessing words they do not know. On this card are listed some words-you may know some of them, and you may not know quite a few of them. On each line the first word is in capital letters-like BEAST. Then there are five other words. Tell me the number of the word that comes closest to the meaning of the word in capital letters. For example, if the word in capital letters is BEAST, you would say "4" since "animal" comes closer to BEAST than any of the other words. If you wish, I will read the words to you. These words are difficult for almost everyone-Circle one code number for each item below. Hand cared HI.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	INCORRECT	692	43.1 %
1	CORRECT	250	15.6 %
	Missing Data		
-2	NAP	526	32.8 %
9	NA	138	8.6 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 942 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 708-709 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of Missing Values: -2 , 9

WORDSUM: NUMBER WORDS CORRECT IN VOCABULARY TEST

Question: Total number of correct words.

Notes: See Appendix D: Recodes, for discussion of word text. Card HI lists words and choices.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	None correct	4	0.2 %
1	One correct	20	1.2 %
2	Two correct	38	2.4 %
3	Three correct	59	3.7 %
4	Four correct	114	7.1 %
5	Five correct	143	8.9 %
6	Six correct	227	14.1 %
7	Seven correct	157	9.8 %
8	Eight correct	113	7.0 %
9	Nine correct	90	5.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	Ten correct	50	3.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	526	32.8 %
99	DID NOT TRY	65	4.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,015 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00

Location: 710-711 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 99

RELATE1: RELATIONSHIP OF 1ST PERSON TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: Please tell me the names of the people who usually live in this household. Let's start with the head of the household [List on lines 01-10 below]. Have we forgotten anyone: such as babies or small children; roomers; people who usually live here, but are away temporarily-on business trips, vacations, at school, temporarily in a hospital, and so on? [List additional persons on lines 01-10 below.] Are there any people currently staying here-visitors, friends or relatives-do not usually live here? [List visitors on lines 11-14 below]. A) What is that [Person's] relationship to the head of household? B) Code sex [Ask if not obvious]. C) How old was [Head/Person] on (his/her) last birthday? D) If 13 years or older ask: Is [Person] now married, widowed, divorced, separated, or has (he/she) never been married? E) Are any of the people we have listed staying somewhere else right now? If yes, who is staying somewhere else right now. F) Where is [Person] staying right now? Is [Person] staying at another household; Is (he/she) traveling; Is (he/she) in some institution or dormitory-like college or in a hospital or something; or what? What is the relationship of first person to head of household?

Notes: For relation to head see Q. 1198-1 and Q. 1199 in GSS cumulative codebook. See GSS Methodological Report No. 73.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	1606	100.0 %
2	SPOUSE	0	0.0 %
3	CHILD	0	0.0 %
4	SON OR DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
5	GRAND OR GREAT-GRANDCHILD	0	0.0 %
6	PARENT OR PARENT-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
7	OTHER RELATIVE	0	0.0 %
8	NON-RELATIVE	0	0.0 %
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 712-712 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

GENDER1: GENDER OF 1ST PERSON

Question: What is the gender of first person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	1094	68.1 %
2	FEMALE	512	31.9 %
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 713-713 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

OLD1: AGE OF 1ST PERSON

Question: What is the age of first person?

Notes: This variable codes the exact age mentioned in columns 668-669. The numbers above are for convenience of display only.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
18	-	4	0.2 %
19	-	5	0.3 %
20	-	6	0.4 %
21	-	12	0.7 %
22	-	16	1.0 %
23	-	17	1.1 %
24	-	23	1.4 %
25	-	22	1.4 %
26	-	24	1.5 %
27	-	36	2.2 %
28	-	31	1.9 %
29	-	27	1.7 %
30	-	34	2.1 %
31	-	33	2.1 %
32	-	29	1.8 %
33	-	44	2.7 %
34	-	34	2.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
35	-	41	2.6 %
36	-	41	2.6 %
37	-	34	2.1 %
38	-	34	2.1 %
39	-	48	3.0 %
40	-	44	2.7 %
41	-	33	2.1 %
42	-	42	2.6 %
43	-	39	2.4 %
44	-	24	1.5 %
45	-	46	2.9 %
46	-	41	2.6 %
47	-	23	1.4 %
48	-	40	2.5 %
49	-	28	1.7 %
50	-	38	2.4 %
51	-	26	1.6 %
52	-	23	1.4 %
53	-	26	1.6 %
54	-	18	1.1 %
55	-	20	1.2 %
56	-	21	1.3 %
57	-	15	0.9 %
58	-	22	1.4 %
59	-	20	1.2 %
60	-	17	1.1 %
61	-	14	0.9 %
62	-	27	1.7 %
63	-	19	1.2 %
64	-	19	1.2 %
65	-	21	1.3 %
66	-	12	0.7 %
67	-	14	0.9 %
68	-	18	1.1 %
69	-	16	1.0 %
70	-	23	1.4 %
71	-	23	1.4 %
72	-	25	1.6 %
73	-	18	1.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
74	-	20	1.2 %
75	-	11	0.7 %
76	-	19	1.2 %
77	-	12	0.7 %
78	-	11	0.7 %
79	-	6	0.4 %
80	-	9	0.6 %
81	-	12	0.7 %
82	-	9	0.6 %
83	-	7	0.4 %
84	-	4	0.2 %
85	-	4	0.2 %
86	-	6	0.4 %
87	-	2	0.1 %
88	-	4	0.2 %
89	-	3	0.2 %
90	-	1	0.1 %
91	-	2	0.1 %
96	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	0	0.0 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	13	0.8 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,593 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 47.68
- Median: 45.00
- Mode: 39.00
- Minimum: 18.00
- Maximum: 96.00
- Standard Deviation: 16.75

Location: 714-715 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

MAR1: MARITAL STATUS OF 1ST PERSON

Question: What is the marital status of first person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MARRIED	914	56.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	WIDOWED	179	11.1 %
3	DIVORCED	239	14.9 %
4	SEPARATED	49	3.1 %
5	NEVER MARRIED	213	13.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	12	0.7 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,594 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 716-716 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AWAY1: IS 1ST PERSON STAYING SOMEWHERE ELSE NOW?

Question: Is the first person staying somewhere else right now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	7	0.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1599	99.6 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 7 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 717-717 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

WHERE1: WHERE IS 1ST PERSON STAYING?

Question: Where is the first person staying?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ANOTHER HOUSEHOLD	3	0.2 %
2	TRAVELING	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	INSTITUTION	0	0.0 %
4	OTHER, DK	1	0.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1599	99.6 %
9	NA	1	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 718-718 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

RELATE2: RELATIONSHIP OF 2ND PERSON TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of the second person to head of household?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	900	56.0 %
3	CHILD	172	10.7 %
4	SON OR DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	1	0.1 %
5	GRAND OR GREAT-GRANDCHILD	6	0.4 %
6	PARENT OR PARENT-IN-LAW	8	0.5 %
7	OTHER RELATIVE	18	1.1 %
8	NON-RELATIVE	121	7.5 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	373	23.2 %
9	NA	7	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,226 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 719-719 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

GENDER2: GENDER OF 2ND PERSON

Question: What is the gender of the second person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	216	13.4 %
2	FEMALE	1014	63.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	373	23.2 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,230 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 720-720 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

OLD2: AGE OF 2ND PERSON

Question: What is the age of the second person?

Notes: This variable codes the exact age mentioned in columns 675-676. The numbers above are for convenience of display only.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	3	0.2 %
1	-	5	0.3 %
2	-	3	0.2 %
3	-	4	0.2 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	4	0.2 %
6	-	3	0.2 %
7	-	3	0.2 %
8	-	4	0.2 %
9	-	8	0.5 %
10	-	7	0.4 %
11	-	6	0.4 %
12	-	6	0.4 %
13	-	8	0.5 %
14	-	5	0.3 %
15	-	7	0.4 %
16	-	8	0.5 %
17	-	17	1.1 %
18	-	11	0.7 %
19	-	6	0.4 %
20	-	24	1.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
21	-	21	1.3 %
22	-	28	1.7 %
23	-	19	1.2 %
24	-	25	1.6 %
25	-	21	1.3 %
26	-	23	1.4 %
27	-	28	1.7 %
28	-	21	1.3 %
29	-	36	2.2 %
30	-	24	1.5 %
31	-	31	1.9 %
32	-	32	2.0 %
33	-	29	1.8 %
34	-	27	1.7 %
35	-	35	2.2 %
36	-	28	1.7 %
37	-	25	1.6 %
38	-	30	1.9 %
39	-	40	2.5 %
40	-	28	1.7 %
41	-	21	1.3 %
42	-	23	1.4 %
43	-	26	1.6 %
44	-	28	1.7 %
45	-	18	1.1 %
46	-	32	2.0 %
47	-	24	1.5 %
48	-	19	1.2 %
49	-	22	1.4 %
50	-	17	1.1 %
51	-	13	0.8 %
52	-	14	0.9 %
53	-	15	0.9 %
54	-	12	0.7 %
55	-	17	1.1 %
56	-	10	0.6 %
57	-	16	1.0 %
58	-	13	0.8 %
59	-	8	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
60	-	15	0.9 %
61	-	12	0.7 %
62	-	15	0.9 %
63	-	9	0.6 %
64	-	10	0.6 %
65	-	12	0.7 %
66	-	13	0.8 %
67	-	11	0.7 %
68	-	11	0.7 %
69	-	4	0.2 %
70	-	8	0.5 %
71	-	5	0.3 %
72	-	8	0.5 %
73	-	6	0.4 %
74	-	8	0.5 %
75	-	6	0.4 %
76	-	7	0.4 %
77	-	3	0.2 %
78	-	3	0.2 %
79	-	3	0.2 %
80	-	1	0.1 %
81	-	4	0.2 %
82	-	1	0.1 %
83	-	1	0.1 %
85	-	3	0.2 %
86	-	1	0.1 %
89	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	373	23.2 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	9	0.6 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,224 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 39.48
- Median: 38.00
- Mode: 39.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 89.00
- Standard Deviation: 17.10

Location: 721-722 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

MAR2: MARITAL STATUS OF 2ND PERSON

Question: What is the marital status of the second person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MARRIED	902	56.2 %
2	WIDOWED	10	0.6 %
3	DIVORCED	48	3.0 %
4	SEPARATED	14	0.9 %
5	NEVER MARRIED	188	11.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	433	27.0 %
8	DK	1	0.1 %
9	NA	10	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,162 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 723-723 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AWAY2: IS 2ND PERSON STAYING SOMEWHERE ELSE NOW?

Question: Is the second person staying somewhere else right now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	17	1.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1589	98.9 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 17 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 724-724 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

WHERE2: WHERE IS 2ND PERSON STAYING?

Question: Where is the second person staying?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ANOTHER HOUSEHOLD	12	0.7 %
2	TRAVELING	1	0.1 %
3	INSTITUTION	2	0.1 %
4	OTHER, DK	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1589	98.9 %
9	NA	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 16 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 725-725 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

RELATE3: RELATIONSHIP OF 3RD PERSON TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of third person to head of household?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	5	0.3 %
3	CHILD	630	39.2 %
4	SON OR DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	7	0.4 %
5	GRAND OR GREAT-GRANDCHILD	9	0.6 %
6	PARENT OR PARENT-IN-LAW	11	0.7 %
7	OTHER RELATIVE	17	1.1 %
8	NON-RELATIVE	28	1.7 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	895	55.7 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 707 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 726-726 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

GENDER3: GENDER OF 3RD PERSON

Question: What is the gender of the third person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	364	22.7 %
2	FEMALE	344	21.4 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	895	55.7 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 708 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 727-727 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

OLD3: AGE OF 3RD PERSON

Question: What is the age of the third person?

Notes: This variable codes the exact age mentioned in columns 682-683. The numbers above are for convenience of display only.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	12	0.7 %
1	-	25	1.6 %
2	-	26	1.6 %
3	-	26	1.6 %
4	-	24	1.5 %
5	-	26	1.6 %
6	-	24	1.5 %
7	-	28	1.7 %
8	-	30	1.9 %
9	-	30	1.9 %
10	-	25	1.6 %
11	-	24	1.5 %
12	-	32	2.0 %
13	-	22	1.4 %
14	-	31	1.9 %
15	-	25	1.6 %
16	-	30	1.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
17	-	29	1.8 %
18	-	30	1.9 %
19	-	24	1.5 %
20	-	23	1.4 %
21	-	24	1.5 %
22	-	18	1.1 %
23	-	17	1.1 %
24	-	10	0.6 %
25	-	10	0.6 %
26	-	7	0.4 %
27	-	5	0.3 %
28	-	6	0.4 %
29	-	3	0.2 %
30	-	8	0.5 %
31	-	7	0.4 %
32	-	2	0.1 %
33	-	2	0.1 %
34	-	2	0.1 %
35	-	1	0.1 %
36	-	2	0.1 %
37	-	3	0.2 %
39	-	1	0.1 %
40	-	2	0.1 %
41	-	2	0.1 %
42	-	2	0.1 %
44	-	2	0.1 %
46	-	2	0.1 %
48	-	2	0.1 %
51	-	1	0.1 %
52	-	2	0.1 %
55	-	1	0.1 %
56	-	3	0.2 %
67	-	1	0.1 %
70	-	1	0.1 %
71	-	1	0.1 %
76	-	1	0.1 %
78	-	1	0.1 %
81	-	1	0.1 %
82	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
85	-	1	0.1 %
87	-	1	0.1 %
91	-	1	0.1 %
93	-	2	0.1 %
96	-	1	0.1 %
Missing Data			
-1	NAP	895	55.7 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	5	0.3 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 706 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 15.42
- Median: 13.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 96.00
- Standard Deviation: 13.44

Location: 728-729 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

MAR3: MARITAL STATUS OF 3RD PERSON

Question: What is the marital status of the third person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MARRIED	19	1.2 %
2	WIDOWED	10	0.6 %
3	DIVORCED	13	0.8 %
4	SEPARATED	10	0.6 %
5	NEVER MARRIED	315	19.6 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1230	76.6 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	9	0.6 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 367 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 730-730 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AWAY3: IS 3RD PERSON STAYING SOMEWHERE ELSE NOW?

Question: Is the third person staying somewhere else right now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	23	1.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1583	98.6 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 23 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 731-731 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

WHERE3: WHERE IS 3RD PERSON STAYING?

Question: Where is the third persons staying?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ANOTHER HOUSEHOLD	9	0.6 %
2	TRAVELING	0	0.0 %
3	INSTITUTION	8	0.5 %
4	OTHER, DK	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1583	98.6 %
9	NA	6	0.4 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 17 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 732-732 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

RELATE4: RELATIONSHIP OF 4TH PERSON TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of fourth person to head of household?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	3	0.2 %
3	CHILD	371	23.1 %
4	SON OR DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	2	0.1 %
5	GRAND OR GREAT-GRANDCHILD	15	0.9 %
6	PARENT OR PARENT-IN-LAW	2	0.1 %
7	OTHER RELATIVE	6	0.4 %
8	NON-RELATIVE	15	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1190	74.1 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 414 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 733-733 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

GENDER4: GENDER OF 4TH PERSON

Question: What is the gender of the fourth person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	221	13.8 %
2	FEMALE	192	12.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1190	74.1 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 413 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 734-734 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

OLD4: AGE OF 4TH PERSON

Question: What is the age of fourth person?

Notes: This variable codes the exact age mentioned in columns 689-690. The numbers above are for convenience of display only.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11	0.7 %
1	-	22	1.4 %
2	-	31	1.9 %
3	-	21	1.3 %
4	-	17	1.1 %
5	-	25	1.6 %
6	-	19	1.2 %
7	-	20	1.2 %
8	-	24	1.5 %
9	-	18	1.1 %
10	-	18	1.1 %
11	-	21	1.3 %
12	-	18	1.1 %
13	-	27	1.7 %
14	-	23	1.4 %
15	-	16	1.0 %
16	-	11	0.7 %
17	-	11	0.7 %
18	-	13	0.8 %
19	-	11	0.7 %
20	-	7	0.4 %
21	-	2	0.1 %
22	-	5	0.3 %
23	-	5	0.3 %
25	-	2	0.1 %
27	-	3	0.2 %
28	-	2	0.1 %
29	-	1	0.1 %
30	-	1	0.1 %
31	-	1	0.1 %
34	-	1	0.1 %
35	-	1	0.1 %
39	-	1	0.1 %
41	-	1	0.1 %
42	-	1	0.1 %
44	-	1	0.1 %
60	-	1	0.1 %
82	-	1	0.1 %
96	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	1190	74.1 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 415 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 10.73
- Median: 9.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 96.00
- Standard Deviation: 9.48

Location: 735-736 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

MAR4: MARITAL STATUS OF 4TH PERSON

Question: What is the marital status of fourth person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MARRIED	6	0.4 %
2	WIDOWED	2	0.1 %
3	DIVORCED	5	0.3 %
4	SEPARATED	3	0.2 %
5	NEVER MARRIED	131	8.2 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1456	90.7 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 147 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 737-737 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AWAY4: IS 4TH PERSON STAYING SOMEWHERE ELSE NOW?

Question: Is the fourth person staying somewhere else right now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	12	0.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1594	99.3 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 12 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 738-738 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

WHERE4: WHERE IS 4TH PERSON STAYING?

Question: Where is the fourth person staying?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ANOTHER HOUSEHOLD	6	0.4 %
2	TRAVELING	1	0.1 %
3	INSTITUTION	5	0.3 %
4	OTHER, DK	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1594	99.3 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 12 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 739-739 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

RELATE5: RELATIONSHIP OF 5TH PERSON TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of fifth person to head of household?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	0	0.0 %
3	CHILD	128	8.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	SON OR DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
5	GRAND OR GREAT-GRANDCHILD	12	0.7 %
6	PARENT OR PARENT-IN-LAW	1	0.1 %
7	OTHER RELATIVE	7	0.4 %
8	NON-RELATIVE	6	0.4 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1451	90.3 %
9	NA	1	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 154 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 740-740 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

GENDER5: GENDER OF 5TH PERSON '

Question: What is the gender of fifth person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	75	4.7 %
2	FEMALE	77	4.8 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1451	90.3 %
9	NA	3	0.2 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 152 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 741-741 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

OLD5: AGE OF 5TH PERSON

Question: What is the age of fifth person?

Notes: This variable codes the exact age mentioned in columns 696-697. The numbers above are for convenience of display only.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	8	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	15	0.9 %
2	-	9	0.6 %
3	-	9	0.6 %
4	-	7	0.4 %
5	-	14	0.9 %
6	-	10	0.6 %
7	-	9	0.6 %
8	-	6	0.4 %
9	-	6	0.4 %
10	-	7	0.4 %
11	-	11	0.7 %
12	-	9	0.6 %
13	-	7	0.4 %
14	-	1	0.1 %
15	-	3	0.2 %
16	-	3	0.2 %
17	-	3	0.2 %
18	-	3	0.2 %
20	-	1	0.1 %
21	-	4	0.2 %
22	-	2	0.1 %
23	-	1	0.1 %
25	-	2	0.1 %
32	-	1	0.1 %
43	-	1	0.1 %
48	-	1	0.1 %
76	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	1451	90.3 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 154 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 9.12
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 76.00
- Standard Deviation: 9.32

Location: 742-743 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

MAR5: MARITAL STATUS OF 5TH PERSON

Question: What is the marital status of fifth person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MARRIED	0	0.0 %
2	WIDOWED	1	0.1 %
3	DIVORCED	1	0.1 %
4	SEPARATED	1	0.1 %
5	NEVER MARRIED	28	1.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1573	97.9 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	2	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 31 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 744-744 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AWAY5: IS 5TH PERSON STAYING SOMEWHERE ELSE NOW?

Question: Is the fifth person staying somewhere else right now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	5	0.3 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1601	99.7 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 5 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 745-745 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

WHERE5: WHERE IS 5TH PERSON STAYING?

Question: Where is the fifth person staying?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ANOTHER HOUSEHOLD	2	0.1 %
2	TRAVELING	0	0.0 %
3	INSTITUTION	3	0.2 %
4	OTHER, DK	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1601	99.7 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 5 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 746-746 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

RELATE6: RELATIONSHIP OF 6TH PERSON TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of sixth person to head of household?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	0	0.0 %
3	CHILD	31	1.9 %
4	SON OR DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
5	GRAND OR GREAT-GRANDCHILD	4	0.2 %
6	PARENT OR PARENT-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
7	OTHER RELATIVE	3	0.2 %
8	NON-RELATIVE	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1566	97.5 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 40 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 747-747 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

GENDER6: GENDER OF 6TH PERSON

Question: What is the gender of sixth person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	19	1.2 %
2	FEMALE	21	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1566	97.5 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 40 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 748-748 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

OLD6: AGE OF 6TH PERSON

Question: What is the age of sixth person?

Notes: This variable codes the exact age mentioned in columns 703-704. The numbers above are for convenience of display only.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1	0.1 %
1	-	5	0.3 %
2	-	4	0.2 %
3	-	5	0.3 %
4	-	3	0.2 %
5	-	2	0.1 %
6	-	5	0.3 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
8	-	2	0.1 %
9	-	3	0.2 %
10	-	1	0.1 %
11	-	1	0.1 %
13	-	1	0.1 %
16	-	1	0.1 %
19	-	1	0.1 %
23	-	2	0.1 %
24	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	1566	97.5 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 40 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 6.90
- Median: 5.50
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.30

Location: 749-750 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

MAR6: MARITAL STATUS OF 6TH PERSON

Question: What is the marital status of sixth person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MARRIED	1	0.1 %
2	WIDOWED	0	0.0 %
3	DIVORCED	0	0.0 %
4	SEPARATED	0	0.0 %
5	NEVER MARRIED	5	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1600	99.6 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 751-751 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AWAY6: IS 6TH PERSON STAYING SOMEWHERE ELSE NOW?

Question: Is the sixth person staying somewhere else right now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1604	99.9 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 752-752 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

WHERE6: WHERE IS 6TH PERSON STAYING?

Question: Where is the sixth person staying?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ANOTHER HOUSEHOLD	1	0.1 %
2	TRAVELING	0	0.0 %
3	INSTITUTION	1	0.1 %
4	OTHER, DK	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1604	99.9 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 753-753 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

RELATE7: RELATIONSHIP OF 7TH PERSON TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of seventh person to head of household?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	0	0.0 %
3	CHILD	7	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	SON OR DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	1	0.1 %
5	GRAND OR GREAT-GRANDCHILD	1	0.1 %
6	PARENT OR PARENT-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
7	OTHER RELATIVE	0	0.0 %
8	NON-RELATIVE	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1597	99.4 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 9 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 754-754 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

GENDER7: GENDER OF 7TH PERSON

Question: What is the gender of seventh person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	5	0.3 %
2	FEMALE	4	0.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1597	99.4 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 9 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 755-755 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

OLD7: AGE OF 7TH PERSON

Question: What is the age of seventh person?

Notes: This variable codes the exact age mentioned in columns 710-711. The numbers above are for convenience of display only.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	-	1	0.1 %
6	-	1	0.1 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
8	-	1	0.1 %
9	-	1	0.1 %
18	-	1	0.1 %
24	-	1	0.1 %
Missing Data			
-1	NAP	1597	99.4 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 9 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 9.56
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.91

Location: 756-757 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

MAR7: MARITAL STATUS OF 7TH PERSON

Question: What is the marital status of seventh person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MARRIED	1	0.1 %
2	WIDOWED	0	0.0 %
3	DIVORCED	0	0.0 %
4	SEPARATED	0	0.0 %
5	NEVER MARRIED	1	0.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1604	99.9 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 758-758 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AWAY7: IS 7TH PERSON STAYING SOMEWHERE ELSE NOW?

Question: Is the seventh person staying somewhere else right now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1	0.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1605	99.9 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 759-759 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

WHERE7: WHERE IS 7TH PERSON STAYING?

Question: Where is the seventh person staying?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ANOTHER HOUSEHOLD	1	0.1 %
2	TRAVELING	0	0.0 %
3	INSTITUTION	0	0.0 %
4	OTHER, DK	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1605	99.9 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 760-760 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

RELATE8: RELATIONSHIP OF 8TH PERSON TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of eighth person to head of household?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	0	0.0 %
3	CHILD	2	0.1 %
4	SON OR DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
5	GRAND OR GREAT-GRANDCHILD	1	0.1 %
6	PARENT OR PARENT-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
7	OTHER RELATIVE	1	0.1 %
8	NON-RELATIVE	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1602	99.8 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 4 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 761-761 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

GENDER8: GENDER OF 8TH PERSON

Question: What is the gender of eighth person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	2	0.1 %
2	FEMALE	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1602	99.8 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 4 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 762-762 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

OLD8: AGE OF 8TH PERSON

Question: What is the age of eighth person?

Notes: This variable codes the exact age mentioned in columns 717-718. The numbers above are for convenience of display only.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	1	0.1 %
2	-	1	0.1 %
5	-	1	0.1 %
6	-	1	0.1 %
Missing Data			
-1	NAP	1602	99.8 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 4 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 3.50
- Median: 3.50
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.38

Location: 763-764 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

RELATE9: RELATIONSHIP OF 9TH PERSON TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of ninth person to head of household?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	0	0.0 %
3	CHILD	0	0.0 %
4	SON OR DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
5	GRAND OR GREAT-GRANDCHILD	1	0.1 %
6	PARENT OR PARENT-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
7	OTHER RELATIVE	1	0.1 %
8	NON-RELATIVE	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1604	99.9 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 5.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 765-765 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

GENDER9: GENDER OF 9TH PERSON

Question: What is the gender of ninth person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	1	0.1 %
2	FEMALE	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1604	99.9 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 766-766 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

OLD9: AGE OF 9TH PERSON

Question: What is the age of ninth person?

Notes: This variable codes the exact age mentioned in columns 721-722. The numbers above are for convenience of display only.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	1	0.1 %
3	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	1604	99.9 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 2.50
- Median: 2.50
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 3.00

- Standard Deviation: 0.71

Location: 767-768 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

RELATE11: RELATION OF 11TH PERSON (VISITOR) TO HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of eleventh person to head of household?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	0	0.0 %
3	CHILD	4	0.2 %
4	SON OR DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
5	GRAND OR GREAT-GRANDCHILD	0	0.0 %
6	PARENT OR PARENT-IN-LAW	2	0.1 %
7	OTHER RELATIVE	1	0.1 %
8	NON-RELATIVE	2	0.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1597	99.4 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 9 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 769-769 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

GENDER11: GENDER OF 11TH PERSON (VISITOR)

Question: What is the gender of eleventh person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	5	0.3 %
2	FEMALE	4	0.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1597	99.4 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 9 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 770-770 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

OLD11: AGE OF 11TH PERSON (VISITOR)

Question: What is the age of eleventh person?

Notes: This variable codes the exact age mentioned in columns 725-726. The numbers above are for convenience of display only.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
12	-	1	0.1 %
25	-	2	0.1 %
31	-	1	0.1 %
35	-	1	0.1 %
51	-	1	0.1 %
72	-	1	0.1 %
87	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	1597	99.4 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 8 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 42.25
- Median: 33.00
- Mode: 25.00
- Minimum: 12.00
- Maximum: 87.00
- Standard Deviation: 25.78

Location: 771-772 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

MAR11: MARITAL STATUS OF 11TH PERSON (VISITOR)

Question: What is the marital status of eleventh person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MARRIED	2	0.1 %
2	WIDOWED	2	0.1 %
3	DIVORCED	2	0.1 %
4	SEPARATED	0	0.0 %
5	NEVER MARRIED	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1598	99.5 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 8 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 773-773 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

AWAY11: IS 11TH PERSON (VISITOR) STAYING ELSEWHERE NOW?

Question: Is the eleventh person staying somewhere else right now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	3	0.2 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1603	99.8 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 3 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 774-774 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

WHERE11: WHERE IS 11TH PERSON (VISITOR) STAYING?

Question: Where is the eleventh person staying?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ANOTHER HOUSEHOLD	3	0.2 %
2	TRAVELING	0	0.0 %
3	INSTITUTION	0	0.0 %
4	OTHER, DK	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1603	99.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 3 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 775-775 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

RELATE12: RELATION OF 12TH PERSON (VISITOR) TO HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of twelfth person to head of household?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	0	0.0 %
3	CHILD	0	0.0 %
4	SON OR DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
5	GRAND OR GREAT-GRANDCHILD	1	0.1 %
6	PARENT OR PARENT-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
7	OTHER RELATIVE	0	0.0 %
8	NON-RELATIVE	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1605	99.9 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 5.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 776-776 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

GENDER12: GENDER OF 12TH PERSON (VISITOR)

Question: What is the gender of twelfth person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	1	0.1 %
2	FEMALE	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NAP	1605	99.9 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 777-777 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

OLD12: AGE OF 12TH PERSON (VISITOR)

Question: What is the age of twelfth person?

Notes: This variable codes the exact age mentioned in columns 732-733. The numbers above are for convenience of display only.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	NAP	1605	99.9 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

Location: 778-779 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 98 , 99

RELHHD1: RELATION OF 1ST PERSON TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: What is (person's) relationship to (head of household)? See codes below roster. Relationship of first person to head of household, revised.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	1606	100.0 %
2	SPOUSE	0	0.0 %
3	PARTNER,FIANCE-E-,BOYFRIEND,GIRLFRIEND,ETC	0	0.0 %
4	CHILD,NATURAL OR ADOPTED,STEPCHILD	0	0.0 %
5	GRANDCHILD,GREAT-GRANCHILD	0	0.0 %
6	SON-IN-LAW,DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
7	PARENT	0	0.0 %
8	PARENT-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
9	GRANDPARENT,GREAT-GRANDPARENT	0	0.0 %
10	UNCLE OR AUNT	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
11	NIECE OR NEPHEW	0	0.0 %
12	COUSIN	0	0.0 %
13	BROTHER,SISTER-FULL,HALF, OR STEP SIBLING-	0	0.0 %
14	SIBLING-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
15	OTHER RELATIVE-GREAT-AUNT,GRANDNIECE,ETC.-	0	0.0 %
16	ROOMMATE,HOUSEMATE	0	0.0 %
17	FRIEND	0	0.0 %
18	CHILD OF NON-RELATIVE	0	0.0 %
19	OTHER NON-RELATIVE-EMPLOYEE,BOARDER-	0	0.0 %
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 780-781 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

RELHHD2: RELATION OF 2ND PERSON TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: What is relationship of second person to head of household, revised.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	900	56.0 %
3	PARTNER,FIANCE-E-,BOYFRIEND,GIRLFRIEND,ETC	61	3.8 %
4	CHILD,NATURAL OR ADOPTED,STEPCHILD	172	10.7 %
5	GRANDCHILD,GREAT-GRANCHILD	6	0.4 %
6	SON-IN-LAW,DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	1	0.1 %
7	PARENT	8	0.5 %
8	PARENT-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
9	GRANDPARENT,GREAT-GRANDPARENT	0	0.0 %
10	UNCLE OR AUNT	1	0.1 %
11	NIECE OR NEPHEW	2	0.1 %
12	COUSIN	1	0.1 %
13	BROTHER,SISTER-FULL,HALF, OR STEP SIBLING-	12	0.7 %
14	SIBLING-IN-LAW	2	0.1 %
15	OTHER RELATIVE-GREAT-AUNT,GRANDNIECE,ETC.-	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
16	ROOMMATE,HOUSEMATE	46	2.9 %
17	FRIEND	11	0.7 %
18	CHILD OF NON-RELATIVE	0	0.0 %
19	OTHER NON-RELATIVE-EMPLOYEE,BOARDER-	3	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	373	23.2 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	7	0.4 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,226 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 19.00

Location: 782-783 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

RELHHD3: RELATION OF 3RD PERSON TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of third person to head of household, revised.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	5	0.3 %
3	PARTNER,FIANCE-E-,BOYFRIEND,GIRLFRIEND,ETC	2	0.1 %
4	CHILD,NATURAL OR ADOPTED,STEPCHILD	630	39.2 %
5	GRANDCHILD,GREAT-GRANCHILD	9	0.6 %
6	SON-IN-LAW,DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	7	0.4 %
7	PARENT	4	0.2 %
8	PARENT-IN-LAW	7	0.4 %
9	GRANDPARENT,GREAT-GRANDPARENT	1	0.1 %
10	UNCLE OR AUNT	1	0.1 %
11	NIECE OR NEPHEW	2	0.1 %
12	COUSIN	0	0.0 %
13	BROTHER,SISTER-FULL,HALF, OR STEP SIBLING-	12	0.7 %
14	SIBLING-IN-LAW	1	0.1 %
15	OTHER RELATIVE-GREAT-AUNT,GRANDNIECE,ETC.-	0	0.0 %
16	ROOMMATE,HOUSEMATE	17	1.1 %
17	FRIEND	4	0.2 %
18	CHILD OF NON-RELATIVE	2	0.1 %
19	OTHER NON-RELATIVE-EMPLOYEE,BOARDER-	3	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	895	55.7 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 707 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 19.00

Location: 784-785 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

RELHHD4: RELATION OF 4TH PERSON TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of fourth person to head of household, revised.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	3	0.2 %
3	PARTNER,FIANCE-E-,BOYFRIEND,GIRLFRIEND,ETC	1	0.1 %
4	CHILD,NATURAL OR ADOPTED,STEPCHILD	371	23.1 %
5	GRANDCHILD,GREAT-GRANCHILD	15	0.9 %
6	SON-IN-LAW,DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	2	0.1 %
7	PARENT	1	0.1 %
8	PARENT-IN-LAW	1	0.1 %
9	GRANDPARENT,GREAT-GRANDPARENT	0	0.0 %
10	UNCLE OR AUNT	0	0.0 %
11	NIECE OR NEPHEW	4	0.2 %
12	COUSIN	0	0.0 %
13	BROTHER,SISTER-FULL,HALF, OR STEP SIBLING-	1	0.1 %
14	SIBLING-IN-LAW	1	0.1 %
15	OTHER RELATIVE-GREAT-AUNT,GRANDNIECE,ETC.-	0	0.0 %
16	ROOMMATE,HOUSEMATE	7	0.4 %
17	FRIEND	2	0.1 %
18	CHILD OF NON-RELATIVE	3	0.2 %
19	OTHER NON-RELATIVE-EMPLOYEE,BOARDER-	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1190	74.1 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 414 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 19.00

Location: 786-787 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

RELHHD5: RELATION OF 5TH PERSON TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of fifth person to head of household, revised.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	0	0.0 %
3	PARTNER,FIANCE-E-,BOYFRIEND,GIRLFRIEND,ETC	0	0.0 %
4	CHILD,NATURAL OR ADOPTED,STEPCHILD	128	8.0 %
5	GRANDCHILD,GREAT-GRANCHILD	12	0.7 %
6	SON-IN-LAW,DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
7	PARENT	0	0.0 %
8	PARENT-IN-LAW	1	0.1 %
9	GRANDPARENT,GREAT-GRANDPARENT	0	0.0 %
10	UNCLE OR AUNT	1	0.1 %
11	NIECE OR NEPHEW	3	0.2 %
12	COUSIN	1	0.1 %
13	BROTHER,SISTER-FULL,HALF, OR STEP SIBLING-	0	0.0 %
14	SIBLING-IN-LAW	2	0.1 %
15	OTHER RELATIVE-GREAT-AUNT,GRANDNIECE,ETC.-	0	0.0 %
16	ROOMMATE,HOUSEMATE	2	0.1 %
17	FRIEND	1	0.1 %
18	CHILD OF NON-RELATIVE	3	0.2 %
19	OTHER NON-RELATIVE-EMPLOYEE,BOARDER-	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1451	90.3 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 154 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 18.00

Location: 788-789 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

RELHHD6: RELATION OF 6TH PERSON TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of sixth person to head of household, revised.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	0	0.0 %
3	PARTNER,FIANCE-E-,BOYFRIEND,GIRLFRIEND,ETC	0	0.0 %
4	CHILD,NATURAL OR ADOPTED,STEPCHILD	31	1.9 %
5	GRANDCHILD,GREAT-GRANCHILD	4	0.2 %
6	SON-IN-LAW,DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
7	PARENT	0	0.0 %
8	PARENT-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
9	GRANDPARENT,GREAT-GRANDPARENT	0	0.0 %
10	UNCLE OR AUNT	0	0.0 %
11	NIECE OR NEPHEW	2	0.1 %
12	COUSIN	1	0.1 %
13	BROTHER,SISTER-FULL,HALF, OR STEP SIBLING-	0	0.0 %
14	SIBLING-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
15	OTHER RELATIVE-GREAT-AUNT,GRANDNIECE,ETC.-	0	0.0 %
16	ROOMMATE,HOUSEMATE	0	0.0 %
17	FRIEND	1	0.1 %
18	CHILD OF NON-RELATIVE	1	0.1 %
19	OTHER NON-RELATIVE-EMPLOYEE,BOARDER-	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1566	97.5 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 40 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 18.00

Location: 790-791 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

RELHHD7: RELATION OF 7TH PERSON TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of seventh person to head of household, revised.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	0	0.0 %
3	PARTNER,FIANCE-E-,BOYFRIEND,GIRLFRIEND,ETC	0	0.0 %
4	CHILD,NATURAL OR ADOPTED,STEPCHILD	7	0.4 %
5	GRANDCHILD,GREAT-GRANCHILD	1	0.1 %
6	SON-IN-LAW,DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	1	0.1 %
7	PARENT	0	0.0 %
8	PARENT-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
9	GRANDPARENT,GREAT-GRANDPARENT	0	0.0 %
10	UNCLE OR AUNT	0	0.0 %
11	NIECE OR NEPHEW	0	0.0 %
12	COUSIN	0	0.0 %
13	BROTHER,SISTER-FULL,HALF, OR STEP SIBLING-	0	0.0 %
14	SIBLING-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
15	OTHER RELATIVE-GREAT-AUNT,GRANDNIECE,ETC.-	0	0.0 %
16	ROOMMATE,HOUSEMATE	0	0.0 %
17	FRIEND	0	0.0 %
18	CHILD OF NON-RELATIVE	0	0.0 %
19	OTHER NON-RELATIVE-EMPLOYEE,BOARDER-	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1597	99.4 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 9 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 792-793 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

RELHHD8: RELATION OF 8TH PERSON TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of eighth person to head of household, revised.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	SPOUSE	0	0.0 %
3	PARTNER,FIANCE-E-,BOYFRIEND,GIRLFRIEND,ETC	0	0.0 %
4	CHILD,NATURAL OR ADOPTED,STEPCHILD	2	0.1 %
5	GRANDCHILD,GREAT-GRANCHILD	1	0.1 %
6	SON-IN-LAW,DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
7	PARENT	0	0.0 %
8	PARENT-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
9	GRANDPARENT,GREAT-GRANDPARENT	0	0.0 %
10	UNCLE OR AUNT	0	0.0 %
11	NIECE OR NEPHEW	1	0.1 %
12	COUSIN	0	0.0 %
13	BROTHER,SISTER-FULL,HALF, OR STEP SIBLING-	0	0.0 %
14	SIBLING-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
15	OTHER RELATIVE-GREAT-AUNT,GRANDNIECE,ETC.-	0	0.0 %
16	ROOMMATE,HOUSEMATE	0	0.0 %
17	FRIEND	0	0.0 %
18	CHILD OF NON-RELATIVE	0	0.0 %
19	OTHER NON-RELATIVE-EMPLOYEE,BOARDER-	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1602	99.8 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 4 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 11.00

Location: 794-795 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

RELHHD9: RELATION OF 9TH PERSON TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of ninth person to head of household, revised.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	0	0.0 %
3	PARTNER,FIANCE-E-,BOYFRIEND,GIRLFRIEND,ETC	0	0.0 %
4	CHILD,NATURAL OR ADOPTED,STEPCHILD	0	0.0 %
5	GRANDCHILD,GREAT-GRANCHILD	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	SON-IN-LAW,DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
7	PARENT	0	0.0 %
8	PARENT-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
9	GRANDPARENT,GREAT-GRANDPARENT	0	0.0 %
10	UNCLE OR AUNT	0	0.0 %
11	NIECE OR NEPHEW	1	0.1 %
12	COUSIN	0	0.0 %
13	BROTHER,SISTER-FULL,HALF, OR STEP SIBLING-	0	0.0 %
14	SIBLING-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
15	OTHER RELATIVE-GREAT-AUNT,GRANDNIECE,ETC.-	0	0.0 %
16	ROOMMATE,HOUSEMATE	0	0.0 %
17	FRIEND	0	0.0 %
18	CHILD OF NON-RELATIVE	0	0.0 %
19	OTHER NON-RELATIVE-EMPLOYEE,BOARDER-	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1604	99.9 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 5.00
- Maximum: 11.00

Location: 796-797 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

RELHHD11: RELATION OF 11TH PERSON (VISITOR) TO HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of eleventh person to head of household, revised.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	0	0.0 %
3	PARTNER,FIANCE-E-,BOYFRIEND,GIRLFRIEND,ETC	0	0.0 %
4	CHILD,NATURAL OR ADOPTED,STEPCHILD	4	0.2 %
5	GRANDCHILD,GREAT-GRANCHILD	0	0.0 %
6	SON-IN-LAW,DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
7	PARENT	1	0.1 %
8	PARENT-IN-LAW	1	0.1 %
9	GRANDPARENT,GREAT-GRANDPARENT	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	UNCLE OR AUNT	0	0.0 %
11	NIECE OR NEPHEW	0	0.0 %
12	COUSIN	0	0.0 %
13	BROTHER,SISTER-FULL,HALF, OR STEP SIBLING-	0	0.0 %
14	SIBLING-IN-LAW	1	0.1 %
15	OTHER RELATIVE-GREAT-AUNT,GRANDNIECE,ETC.-	0	0.0 %
16	ROOMMATE,HOUSEMATE	0	0.0 %
17	FRIEND	1	0.1 %
18	CHILD OF NON-RELATIVE	0	0.0 %
19	OTHER NON-RELATIVE-EMPLOYEE,BOARDER-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	1597	99.4 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 9 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 19.00

Location: 798-799 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

RELHHD12: RELATION OF 12TH PERSON (VISITOR) TO HEAD

Question: What is the relationship of twelfth person to head of household, revised.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	0	0.0 %
2	SPOUSE	0	0.0 %
3	PARTNER,FIANCE-E-,BOYFRIEND,GIRLFRIEND,ETC	0	0.0 %
4	CHILD,NATURAL OR ADOPTED,STEPCHILD	0	0.0 %
5	GRANDCHILD,GREAT-GRANCHILD	1	0.1 %
6	SON-IN-LAW,DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
7	PARENT	0	0.0 %
8	PARENT-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
9	GRANDPARENT,GREAT-GRANDPARENT	0	0.0 %
10	UNCLE OR AUNT	0	0.0 %
11	NIECE OR NEPHEW	0	0.0 %
12	COUSIN	0	0.0 %
13	BROTHER,SISTER-FULL,HALF, OR STEP SIBLING-	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
14	SIBLING-IN-LAW	0	0.0 %
15	OTHER RELATIVE-GREAT-AUNT,GRANDNIECE,ETC.-	0	0.0 %
16	ROOMMATE,HOUSEMATE	0	0.0 %
17	FRIEND	0	0.0 %
18	CHILD OF NON-RELATIVE	0	0.0 %
19	OTHER NON-RELATIVE-EMPLOYEE,BOARDER-	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	1605	99.9 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	0	0.0 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 5.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 800-801 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

HEFINFO: NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN INFORMANT'S HOUSEHOLD

Question: Number in family of person who was household informant (i.e. who provided information on household members).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1ST PERSON	971	60.5 %
2	2ND PERSON	491	30.6 %
3	3RD PERSON	39	2.4 %
4	4TH PERSON	12	0.7 %
5	5TH PERSON	4	0.2 %
6	6TH PERSON	2	0.1 %
7	7TH PERSON	0	0.0 %
8	8TH PERSON	0	0.0 %
9	9TH PERSON	0	0.0 %
10	10TH PERSON	1	0.1 %
11	11TH PERSON	6	0.4 %
12	12TH PERSON	0	0.0 %
13	13TH PERSON	0	0.0 %
14	14TH PERSON	0	0.0 %
22	NOT IN HOUSEHOLD	28	1.7 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	52	3.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,554 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 22.00

Location: 802-803 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

HHRACE: RACE OF HOUSEHOLD

Question: Race of household.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	WHITE	1310	81.6 %
2	BLACK	172	10.7 %
3	AMER INDIAN	15	0.9 %
4	ASIATIC, ORIENTAL	28	1.7 %
5	OTHER, MIXED	37	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	44	2.7 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,562 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 804-804 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RESPNUM: NUMBER IN FAMILY OF R

Question: Number in family of respondent.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1ST PERSON	1000	62.3 %
2	2ND PERSON	509	31.7 %
3	3RD PERSON	77	4.8 %
4	4TH PERSON	13	0.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	5TH PERSON	4	0.2 %
6	6TH PERSON	1	0.1 %
7	7TH PERSON	1	0.1 %
8	8TH PERSON	0	0.0 %
9	9TH PERSON	0	0.0 %
10	10TH PERSON	0	0.0 %
11	11TH PERSON	1	0.1 %
12	12TH PERSON	0	0.0 %
13	13TH PERSON	0	0.0 %
14	14TH PERSON	0	0.0 %
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
99	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 11.00

Location: 805-806 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 99

HHTYPE: HOUSEHOLD TYPE

Question: Household type.

Notes: This variable classifies households from information on the household enumeration form (HEF) on the basis of 1) number of adults (household members 18 and older), 2) the presence of children (0 vs. 1 + household members less than 18), 3) number of married people in the household, 4) relation of second or third listed persons to head (in households with 2 or 3 adults), and 5) gender of head and second listed person when second listed person is not related to the head (in households with two adults). When the HEF roster counts differed from the processed HEF variables (ADULTS, BABIES, PRETEEN, TEENS, HOMPOP), the processed values were utilized. This generally means that household members away from the housing unit and living in another household or institution were not counted for this variable (see above AWAY1-14 and WHERE1-14). Visitors not absent from the household are counted.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1ADLT,0KIDS	376	23.4 %
2	1ADLT,1+KIDS	97	6.0 %
3	2ADLTS,MAR,0KIDS	359	22.4 %
4	2ADLTS,MAR,1+KIDS	364	22.7 %
5	2ADLTS,DKMAR,0KIDS	3	0.2 %
6	2ADLTS,DKMAR,1+KIDS	3	0.2 %
7	2AS,NTMAR,NTREL,OPSEX,0KS	43	2.7 %
8	2AS,NTMAR,NTREL,OPSEX,1+KS	23	1.4 %
9	2AS,NTMAR,NTREL,SMSEX,0KS	26	1.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	2AS,NTMAR,NTREL,SMSEX,1+KS	5	0.3 %
11	2ADLTS,NTMAR,REL,0KIDS	48	3.0 %
12	2ADLTS,NTMAR,REL,1+KIDS	25	1.6 %
13	2ADLTS,NTMAR,DKREL,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
14	2ADLTS,NTMAR,DKREL,1+KIDS	1	0.1 %
15	2AS,NTMAR,NTREL,DKSEX,0KS	0	0.0 %
16	2AS,NTMAR,NTREL,DKSEX,1+KS	0	0.0 %
20	3ADLT,0MAR,SP,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
21	3ADLTS,0MAR,SP,REL,0KIDS	1	0.1 %
22	3ADLTS,0MAR,REL,SP,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
23	3ADLTS,0MAR,REL,0KIDS	13	0.8 %
24	3ADLTS,0MAR,SP,NTREL,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
25	3ADLTS,0MAR,NTREL,SP,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
26	3ADLTS,0MAR,REL,0KIDS	5	0.3 %
27	3ADLTS,0MAR,NTREL,REL,0KIDS	4	0.2 %
28	3ADLTS,0MAR,NTREL,0KIDS	7	0.4 %
30	3ADLTS,1MAR,SP,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
31	3ADLTS,1MAR,SP,REL,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
32	3ADLTS,1MAR,REL,SP,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
33	3ADLTS,1MAR,REL,REL,0KIDS	1	0.1 %
34	3ADLTS,1MAR,SP,NTREL,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
35	3ADLTS,1MAR,NTREL,SP,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
36	3ADLTS,1MAR,REL,NTREL,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
37	3ADLTS,1MAR,NTREL,REL,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
38	3ADLTS,1MAR,NTREL,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
40	3ADLTS,2MAR,SP,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
41	3ADLTS,2MAR,SP,REL,0KIDS	76	4.7 %
42	3ADLTS,2MAR,REL,SP,0KIDS	2	0.1 %
43	3ADLTS,2MAR,REL,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
44	3ADLTS,2MAR,SP,NTREL,0KIDS	4	0.2 %
45	3ADLTS,2MAR,REL,NTREL,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
46	3ADLTS,2MAR,REL,NTREL,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
47	3ADLTS,2MAR,NTREL,REL,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
48	3ADLTS,2MAR,NTREL,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
50	3ADLTS,3MAR,SP,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
51	3ADLTS,3MAR,SP,REL,0KIDS	1	0.1 %
52	3ADLTS,3MAR,SP,REL,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
53	3ADLTS,3MAR,REL,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
54	3ADLTS,3MAR,SP,NTREL,0KIDS	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
55	3ADLTS,3MAR,NTREL,SP,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
56	3ADLTS,3MAR,REL,NTREL,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
57	3ADLTS,3MAR,NTREL,REL,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
58	3ADLTS,3MAR,NTREL,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
100	4+ADLTS,0MAR,0KIDS	9	0.6 %
101	4+ADLTS,1MAR,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
102	4+ADLTS,2MAR,0KIDS	26	1.6 %
103	4+ADLTS,3MAR,0KIDS	0	0.0 %
104	4+ADLTS,4+MAR,0KIDS	1	0.1 %
120	3ADLT,0MAR,SP,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
121	3ADLTS,0MAR,SP,REL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
122	3ADLTS,0MAR,REL,SP,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
123	3ADLTS,0MAR,REL,1+KIDS	2	0.1 %
124	3ADLTS,0MAR,SP,NTREL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
125	3ADLTS,0MAR,NTREL,SP,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
126	3ADLTS,0MAR,REL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
127	3ADLTS,0MAR,NTREL,REL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
128	3ADLTS,0MAR,NTREL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
130	3ADLTS,1MAR,SP,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
131	3ADLTS,1MAR,SP,REL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
132	3ADLTS,1MAR,REL,SP,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
133	3ADLTS,1MAR,REL,REL,1+KIDS	1	0.1 %
134	3ADLTS,1MAR,SP,NTREL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
135	3ADLTS,1MAR,NTREL,SP,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
136	3ADLTS,1MAR,REL,NTREL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
137	3ADLTS,1MAR,NTREL,REL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
138	3ADLTS,1MAR,NTREL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
140	3ADLTS,2MAR,SP,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
141	3ADLTS,2MAR,SP,REL,1+KIDS	49	3.1 %
142	3ADLTS,2MAR,REL,SP,1+KIDS	1	0.1 %
143	3ADLTS,2MAR,REL,1+KIDS	6	0.4 %
144	3ADLTS,2MAR,SP,NTREL,1+KIDS	1	0.1 %
145	3ADLTS,2MAR,REL,NTREL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
146	3ADLTS,2MAR,REL,NTREL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
147	3ADLTS,2MAR,NTREL,REL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
148	3ADLTS,2MAR,NTREL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
150	3ADLTS,3MAR,SP,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
151	3ADLTS,3MAR,SP,REL,1+KIDS	1	0.1 %
152	3ADLTS,3MAR,SP,REL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
153	3ADLTS,3MAR,REL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
154	3ADLTS,3MAR,SP,NTREL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
155	3ADLTS,3MAR,NTREL,SP,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
156	3ADLTS,3MAR,REL,NTREL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
157	3ADLTS,3MAR,NTREL,REL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
158	3ADLTS,3MAR,NTREL,1+KIDS	0	0.0 %
200	4+ADLTS,0MAR,1+KIDS	2	0.1 %
201	4+ADLTS,1MAR,1+KIDS	1	0.1 %
202	4+ADLTS,2MAR,1+KIDS	13	0.8 %
203	4+ADLTS,3MAR,1+KIDS	1	0.1 %
204	4+ADLTS,4+MAR,1+KIDS	3	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
998	DK	0	0.0 %
999	NA	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Please note that only the first 100 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,604 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 204.00

Location: 807-809 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 998 , 999

HHTYPE1: HOUSEHOLD TYPE (CONDENSED)

Question: Household type (condensed).

Notes: This variable is a collapse or recode of HHTYPE. The categories are explained below. 1) Married couple with no children = 2 or more adults with either respondent being married or two or more members being listed as married with no one under age 18. 2) Single parent = 1 adult and 1 or more members under age 18. 3) Other family, no children = 2 or more adults with 2nd or 3rd person listed related to head of household; no married couple and no household members under age 18. 4) Single adult = 1 adult and no one under age 18. 5) Cohabiting couple, no children = 2 adults of different genders not married nor related to each other. This category covers most, but not all, cohabiting couples. Excluded are cohabiting couples in which relationship and/or gender information was missing or household with three or more adults with at least one unrelated to the others. Also, same sex cohabiting couples are excluded. See GSS Methodological Report No. 73 for more details. 6) Non-family, no children = 2 adults with 2nd listed person unrelated to head and same gender as head and no one married, or with three or more adults with the 2nd and 3rd persons unrelated to head. 8) Unsure, no children = 2 or more adults, unmarried or with relationship and/or marital information unclear or conflicting, but with no one under age 18. 11) Married couple with child(ren) = Number 1 with 1 + members under age 18. 13) Other family with child(ren) = Number 3 with 1 + members under age 18. 15) Cohabiting couple with child(ren) = Number 5 with 1 + members under age 18. 16) Non-family with child(ren) = Number 6 with 1 + members under age 18. 18) Unsure with child(ren) = Number 8 with 1 + members less than age 18.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MARRIED COUPLE, NO CHILDREN	469	29.2 %
2	SINGLE PARENT	97	6.0 %
3	OTHER FAM., NO CHILDREN	70	4.4 %
4	SINGLE ADULT	376	23.4 %
5	COHAB COUPLE, NO CHILDREN	43	2.7 %
6	NON-FAMILY, NO CHILDREN	33	2.1 %
8	UNSURE, NO CHILDREN	14	0.9 %
11	MARRIED COUPLE W CHILDREN	439	27.3 %
13	OTHER FAMILY W CHILDREN	27	1.7 %
15	COHAB COUPLE W CHILDREN	23	1.4 %
16	NON-FAMILY W CHILDREN	5	0.3 %
18	UNSURE W CHILDREN	8	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
99	NA	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,604 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 18.00

Location: 810-811 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 99

FAMGEN: NUMBER OF FAMILY GENERATIONS IN HOUSEHOLD

Question: Number of family generations in household.

Notes: This variable indicates how many direct family generations (e.g. grandparent, parent, child) reside in the household. It counts children-in-law and parents-in-law as direct relations, but does not count collateral relatives (e.g. nieces and nephews, aunts and uncles). In addition, it is impossible to identify grandparents (or above) of the head. It should be noted that for FAMGEN children were defined by their relationship to household head, not by their age as in HHTYPE. All regular household members and visitors listed on the roster are counted regardless of presence. For more details, see NORC Methodological Report No. 73.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1 GEN	847	52.7 %
2	2 GENS, CHILDREN	706	44.0 %
3	2 GENS, PARENTS	9	0.6 %
4	2 GENS, GRANDCHLDRN	9	0.6 %
5	3 GENS, GRANDCHLDRN	21	1.3 %
6	3 GENS, CHLD, PAR	14	0.9 %
7	4 GENS	0	0.0 %
0	NAP	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 812-812 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

RPLACE: R'S RELATIONSHIP TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD

Question: Respondent's relationship to household head.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	1000	62.3 %
2	SPOUSE	416	25.9 %
3	CHILD	95	5.9 %
4	SON OR DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	2	0.1 %
5	GRAND OR GREAT-GRANDCHILD	1	0.1 %
6	PARENT OR PARENT-IN-LAW	5	0.3 %
7	OTHER RELATIVE	19	1.2 %
8	NON-RELATIVE	64	4.0 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
9	NA	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,602 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 813-813 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9

RVISITOR: IS R A VISITOR?

Question: Is respondent a visitor?

Notes: Regular household members are listed in lines 1-10 and visitors to the household are listed in lines 11-14. This variable codes respondents who are regular members as 1 and those who are visitors as 2.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	R. IS HOUSEHOLD MEMBER	1605	99.9 %
2	R. IS VISITOR	1	0.1 %
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 814-814 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 8 , 9

VISITORS: NUMBER OF VISITORS IN HOUSEHOLD

Question: Number of visitors in household.

Notes: This variable counts the number of visitors in the household. It counts the number of people listed in lines 11-14 and does not adjust for presence in the household.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NO VISITORS	1597	99.4 %
1	1 VISITOR	8	0.5 %
2	2 VISITORS	1	0.1 %
3	3 VISITORS	0	0.0 %
4	4 VISITORS	0	0.0 %
-2	NAP	0	0.0 %
8	DK	0	0.0 %
9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 815-816 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , 8 , 9

DATEINTV: DATE OF INTERVIEW

Question: Date of interview

Notes: This variable consists of the month (cols. 770-771) and date (cols. 772-773) on which the interview was conducted. Collapsed information by month is listed above for the convenience of display only.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
205	-	2	0.1 %
206	-	2	0.1 %
208	-	3	0.2 %
209	-	7	0.4 %
210	-	22	1.4 %
211	-	26	1.6 %
212	-	24	1.5 %
213	-	45	2.8 %
214	-	27	1.7 %
215	-	41	2.6 %
216	-	25	1.6 %
217	-	27	1.7 %
218	-	26	1.6 %
219	-	22	1.4 %
220	-	35	2.2 %
221	-	8	0.5 %
222	-	15	0.9 %
223	-	19	1.2 %
224	-	18	1.1 %
225	-	11	0.7 %
226	-	11	0.7 %
227	-	38	2.4 %
228	-	9	0.6 %
301	-	23	1.4 %
302	-	20	1.2 %
303	-	14	0.9 %
304	-	36	2.2 %
305	-	25	1.6 %
306	-	62	3.9 %
307	-	25	1.6 %
308	-	42	2.6 %
309	-	59	3.7 %
310	-	46	2.9 %
311	-	43	2.7 %
312	-	25	1.6 %
313	-	19	1.2 %
314	-	20	1.2 %
315	-	36	2.2 %
316	-	34	2.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
317	-	28	1.7 %
318	-	20	1.2 %
319	-	21	1.3 %
320	-	29	1.8 %
321	-	17	1.1 %
322	-	24	1.5 %
323	-	19	1.2 %
324	-	24	1.5 %
325	-	22	1.4 %
326	-	15	0.9 %
327	-	29	1.8 %
328	-	11	0.7 %
329	-	26	1.6 %
330	-	15	0.9 %
331	-	19	1.2 %
399	-	1	0.1 %
401	-	9	0.6 %
402	-	26	1.6 %
403	-	25	1.6 %
404	-	13	0.8 %
405	-	27	1.7 %
406	-	12	0.7 %
407	-	24	1.5 %
408	-	12	0.7 %
409	-	10	0.6 %
410	-	7	0.4 %
411	-	2	0.1 %
412	-	15	0.9 %
413	-	12	0.7 %
414	-	14	0.9 %
415	-	16	1.0 %
416	-	11	0.7 %
417	-	20	1.2 %
418	-	7	0.4 %
419	-	11	0.7 %
420	-	8	0.5 %
421	-	5	0.3 %
422	-	2	0.1 %
423	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
424	-	1	0.1 %
425	-	2	0.1 %
426	-	1	0.1 %
Missing Data			
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
9999	NA	1	0.1 %
Total		1,606	100%

Based upon 1,605 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 303.92
- Median: 310.00
- Mode: 306.00
- Minimum: 205.00
- Maximum: 426.00
- Standard Deviation: 65.72

Location: 817-820 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9999

ISCO681: R'S OCCUPATION, ISCO 1968

Question: Respondent's occupation (International Standard Classification Codes-1968)

Notes: These questions have been converted from cases coded according to the 1980 U.S. Census Classification of Occupations and Industries into the International Standard Classification codes. These are based on a preliminary conversion scheme and may be revised later. Adding the ISCO-68 codes facilitates the comparison of the GSS with many cross-national studies. The above collapsed numbers are presented for convenience of display only. See Appendix F.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
130	-	1	0.1 %
131	-	2	0.1 %
140	-	4	0.2 %
210	-	3	0.2 %
220	-	3	0.2 %
240	-	3	0.2 %
250	-	2	0.1 %
280	-	4	0.2 %
290	-	4	0.2 %
320	-	3	0.2 %
340	-	6	0.4 %
390	-	1	0.1 %
410	-	2	0.1 %
540	-	7	0.4 %
549	-	5	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
610	-	7	0.4 %
620	-	7	0.4 %
630	-	2	0.1 %
640	-	6	0.4 %
650	-	1	0.1 %
670	-	1	0.1 %
690	-	2	0.1 %
710	-	31	1.9 %
769	-	2	0.1 %
770	-	2	0.1 %
790	-	9	0.6 %
830	-	8	0.5 %
849	-	4	0.2 %
1100	-	18	1.1 %
1219	-	18	1.1 %
1310	-	7	0.4 %
1320	-	13	0.8 %
1330	-	30	1.9 %
1340	-	4	0.2 %
1350	-	4	0.2 %
1390	-	9	0.6 %
1393	-	4	0.2 %
1410	-	3	0.2 %
1519	-	5	0.3 %
1590	-	2	0.1 %
1593	-	2	0.1 %
1610	-	6	0.4 %
1621	-	6	0.4 %
1639	-	3	0.2 %
1710	-	2	0.1 %
1720	-	1	0.1 %
1730	-	2	0.1 %
1790	-	2	0.1 %
1799	-	1	0.1 %
1910	-	4	0.2 %
1921	-	3	0.2 %
1930	-	4	0.2 %
1939	-	11	0.7 %
1940	-	10	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1999	-	2	0.1 %
2010	-	6	0.4 %
2110	-	6	0.4 %
2190	-	93	5.8 %
2192	-	1	0.1 %
3000	-	16	1.0 %
3100	-	1	0.1 %
3102	-	2	0.1 %
3211	-	60	3.7 %
3219	-	13	0.8 %
3220	-	10	0.6 %
3311	-	36	2.2 %
3313	-	6	0.4 %
3319	-	26	1.6 %
3391	-	3	0.2 %
3399	-	6	0.4 %
3410	-	1	0.1 %
3420	-	3	0.2 %
3520	-	1	0.1 %
3590	-	12	0.7 %
3700	-	5	0.3 %
3701	-	1	0.1 %
3709	-	3	0.2 %
3809	-	6	0.4 %
3911	-	7	0.4 %
3919	-	13	0.8 %
3920	-	7	0.4 %
3939	-	19	1.2 %
3949	-	10	0.6 %
3951	-	5	0.3 %
3959	-	4	0.2 %
3990	-	11	0.7 %
3999	-	1	0.1 %
4210	-	50	3.1 %
4220	-	12	0.7 %
4222	-	2	0.1 %
4319	-	2	0.1 %
4320	-	20	1.2 %
4410	-	15	0.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4411	-	11	0.7 %
4412	-	4	0.2 %
4419	-	13	0.8 %
4420	-	3	0.2 %
4431	-	12	0.7 %
4432	-	5	0.3 %
4513	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	80	5.0 %
9998	DK	0	0.0 %
9999	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Please note that only the first 100 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,526 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 4692.74
- Median: 4220.00
- Mode: 2190.00
- Minimum: 130.00
- Maximum: 9990.00
- Standard Deviation: 2827.72

Location: 821-824 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9998 , 9999

PAISC681: OCCUPATION OF R'S FATHER, ISCO 1968

Question: Occupation of respondent's father (International Standard Classification Codes-1968).

Notes: These questions have been converted from cases coded according to the 1980 U.S. Census Classification of Occupations and Industries into the International Standard Classification codes. These are based on a preliminary conversion scheme and may be revised later. Adding the ISCO-68 codes facilitates the comparison of the GSS with many cross-national studies. The above collapsed numbers are presented for convenience of display only. See Appendix F.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
110	-	5	0.3 %
131	-	2	0.1 %
140	-	1	0.1 %
210	-	2	0.1 %
220	-	11	0.7 %
230	-	7	0.4 %
240	-	4	0.2 %
250	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
280	-	5	0.3 %
290	-	10	0.6 %
340	-	2	0.1 %
390	-	1	0.1 %
410	-	5	0.3 %
530	-	3	0.2 %
610	-	13	0.8 %
630	-	4	0.2 %
650	-	1	0.1 %
670	-	2	0.1 %
759	-	2	0.1 %
770	-	1	0.1 %
810	-	1	0.1 %
830	-	1	0.1 %
849	-	1	0.1 %
1100	-	12	0.7 %
1219	-	10	0.6 %
1229	-	1	0.1 %
1310	-	7	0.4 %
1320	-	10	0.6 %
1330	-	6	0.4 %
1390	-	2	0.1 %
1410	-	11	0.7 %
1590	-	2	0.1 %
1621	-	4	0.2 %
1710	-	1	0.1 %
1790	-	1	0.1 %
1939	-	2	0.1 %
1940	-	5	0.3 %
1999	-	2	0.1 %
2010	-	7	0.4 %
2020	-	1	0.1 %
2030	-	1	0.1 %
2110	-	2	0.1 %
2190	-	104	6.5 %
2192	-	3	0.2 %
3000	-	2	0.1 %
3102	-	5	0.3 %
3211	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3219	-	1	0.1 %
3311	-	2	0.1 %
3313	-	1	0.1 %
3319	-	3	0.2 %
3399	-	2	0.1 %
3420	-	1	0.1 %
3520	-	1	0.1 %
3590	-	11	0.7 %
3609	-	8	0.5 %
3700	-	4	0.2 %
3709	-	8	0.5 %
3809	-	1	0.1 %
3911	-	3	0.2 %
3919	-	3	0.2 %
3939	-	2	0.1 %
3949	-	1	0.1 %
3951	-	2	0.1 %
3990	-	5	0.3 %
4210	-	66	4.1 %
4220	-	2	0.1 %
4320	-	29	1.8 %
4400	-	2	0.1 %
4410	-	3	0.2 %
4411	-	4	0.2 %
4412	-	1	0.1 %
4419	-	13	0.8 %
4420	-	3	0.2 %
4431	-	1	0.1 %
4432	-	1	0.1 %
4519	-	14	0.9 %
4520	-	8	0.5 %
4521	-	3	0.2 %
4900	-	1	0.1 %
5319	-	3	0.2 %
5322	-	1	0.1 %
5329	-	3	0.2 %
5400	-	2	0.1 %
5500	-	15	0.9 %
5510	-	3	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5520	-	1	0.1 %
5600	-	3	0.2 %
5700	-	1	0.1 %
5702	-	1	0.1 %
5709	-	3	0.2 %
5810	-	10	0.6 %
5821	-	1	0.1 %
5829	-	16	1.0 %
5890	-	4	0.2 %
5895	-	16	1.0 %
5900	-	1	0.1 %
5999	-	1	0.1 %
6000	-	4	0.2 %
6001	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	239	14.9 %
9998	DK	0	0.0 %
9999	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Please note that only the first 100 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,367 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 5889.57
- Median: 6110.00
- Mode: 6110.00
- Minimum: 110.00
- Maximum: 9996.00
- Standard Deviation: 2926.53

Location: 825-828 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9998 , 9999

SPISC681: OCCUPATION OF R'S SPOUSE, ISCO 1968

Question: Occupation of respondent's spouse (International Standard Classification Codes=1968).

Notes: These questions have been converted from cases coded according to the 1980 U.S. Census Classification of Occupations and Industries into the International Standard Classification codes. These are based on a preliminary conversion scheme and may be revised later. Adding the ISCO-68 codes facilitates the comparison of the GSS with many cross-national studies. The above collapsed numbers are presented for convenience of display only. See Appendix F.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
130	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
131	-	1	0.1 %
210	-	1	0.1 %
220	-	3	0.2 %
230	-	2	0.1 %
240	-	2	0.1 %
250	-	1	0.1 %
280	-	3	0.2 %
290	-	5	0.3 %
320	-	1	0.1 %
340	-	2	0.1 %
410	-	1	0.1 %
530	-	3	0.2 %
540	-	2	0.1 %
549	-	1	0.1 %
610	-	3	0.2 %
620	-	4	0.2 %
630	-	1	0.1 %
710	-	21	1.3 %
760	-	1	0.1 %
769	-	2	0.1 %
770	-	2	0.1 %
790	-	1	0.1 %
830	-	6	0.4 %
849	-	5	0.3 %
1100	-	7	0.4 %
1219	-	14	0.9 %
1310	-	4	0.2 %
1320	-	4	0.2 %
1330	-	16	1.0 %
1340	-	3	0.2 %
1350	-	1	0.1 %
1390	-	2	0.1 %
1393	-	3	0.2 %
1410	-	5	0.3 %
1519	-	1	0.1 %
1590	-	2	0.1 %
1610	-	6	0.4 %
1730	-	2	0.1 %
1799	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1910	-	3	0.2 %
1921	-	1	0.1 %
1939	-	3	0.2 %
1940	-	8	0.5 %
1999	-	2	0.1 %
2010	-	3	0.2 %
2020	-	1	0.1 %
2110	-	5	0.3 %
2190	-	70	4.4 %
3000	-	7	0.4 %
3102	-	3	0.2 %
3211	-	31	1.9 %
3219	-	6	0.4 %
3220	-	2	0.1 %
3311	-	15	0.9 %
3313	-	3	0.2 %
3319	-	18	1.1 %
3410	-	3	0.2 %
3420	-	1	0.1 %
3590	-	16	1.0 %
3609	-	1	0.1 %
3700	-	6	0.4 %
3701	-	1	0.1 %
3709	-	2	0.1 %
3809	-	1	0.1 %
3911	-	4	0.2 %
3919	-	2	0.1 %
3920	-	2	0.1 %
3939	-	8	0.5 %
3949	-	9	0.6 %
3951	-	2	0.1 %
3959	-	2	0.1 %
3990	-	2	0.1 %
3993	-	1	0.1 %
4210	-	26	1.6 %
4220	-	3	0.2 %
4222	-	1	0.1 %
4320	-	15	0.9 %
4400	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4410	-	6	0.4 %
4411	-	2	0.1 %
4412	-	2	0.1 %
4419	-	7	0.4 %
4420	-	2	0.1 %
4431	-	5	0.3 %
4432	-	1	0.1 %
4513	-	1	0.1 %
4519	-	10	0.6 %
4520	-	5	0.3 %
4521	-	2	0.1 %
4900	-	5	0.3 %
5200	-	6	0.4 %
5300	-	1	0.1 %
5312	-	1	0.1 %
5319	-	4	0.2 %
5320	-	1	0.1 %
5322	-	2	0.1 %
5329	-	7	0.4 %
5400	-	2	0.1 %
5401	-	5	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	787	49.0 %
9998	DK	0	0.0 %
9999	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Please note that only the first 100 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 819 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 4746.26
- Median: 4210.00
- Mode: 2190.00
- Minimum: 130.00
- Maximum: 9996.00
- Standard Deviation: 2954.18

Location: 829-832 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9998 , 9999

Question: Respondent's occupation, 1988 Census.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
110	-	9	0.6 %
1120	-	6	0.4 %
1226	-	1	0.1 %
1229	-	91	5.7 %
1231	-	8	0.5 %
1232	-	1	0.1 %
1233	-	2	0.1 %
1240	-	14	0.9 %
1311	-	1	0.1 %
1314	-	50	3.1 %
2110	-	1	0.1 %
2114	-	2	0.1 %
2121	-	1	0.1 %
2131	-	7	0.4 %
2132	-	4	0.2 %
2141	-	3	0.2 %
2142	-	3	0.2 %
2145	-	6	0.4 %
2146	-	2	0.1 %
2149	-	5	0.3 %
2221	-	7	0.4 %
2222	-	2	0.1 %
2223	-	1	0.1 %
2224	-	1	0.1 %
2230	-	23	1.4 %
2310	-	7	0.4 %
2321	-	13	0.8 %
2331	-	30	1.9 %
2332	-	4	0.2 %
2340	-	4	0.2 %
2359	-	9	0.6 %
2411	-	18	1.1 %
2412	-	10	0.6 %
2419	-	2	0.1 %
2421	-	18	1.1 %
2431	-	1	0.1 %
2432	-	3	0.2 %
2445	-	3	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2446	-	11	0.7 %
2451	-	7	0.4 %
2452	-	6	0.4 %
2455	-	2	0.1 %
2460	-	3	0.2 %
3100	-	2	0.1 %
3111	-	4	0.2 %
3113	-	6	0.4 %
3118	-	3	0.2 %
3119	-	1	0.1 %
3122	-	3	0.2 %
3131	-	3	0.2 %
3132	-	1	0.1 %
3133	-	2	0.1 %
3143	-	2	0.1 %
3144	-	1	0.1 %
3211	-	12	0.7 %
3221	-	7	0.4 %
3223	-	2	0.1 %
3225	-	6	0.4 %
3226	-	7	0.4 %
3229	-	4	0.2 %
3231	-	8	0.5 %
3310	-	4	0.2 %
3410	-	14	0.9 %
3411	-	4	0.2 %
3412	-	14	0.9 %
3413	-	11	0.7 %
3415	-	22	1.4 %
3416	-	14	0.9 %
3417	-	17	1.1 %
3429	-	3	0.2 %
3443	-	1	0.1 %
3444	-	2	0.1 %
3460	-	6	0.4 %
3470	-	2	0.1 %
3471	-	6	0.4 %
3472	-	1	0.1 %
3473	-	3	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4100	-	19	1.2 %
4111	-	13	0.8 %
4113	-	10	0.6 %
4114	-	1	0.1 %
4115	-	60	3.7 %
4121	-	38	2.4 %
4122	-	1	0.1 %
4131	-	20	1.2 %
4132	-	4	0.2 %
4133	-	12	0.7 %
4141	-	7	0.4 %
4142	-	6	0.4 %
4143	-	1	0.1 %
4190	-	9	0.6 %
4211	-	36	2.2 %
4212	-	8	0.5 %
4215	-	2	0.1 %
4221	-	1	0.1 %
4222	-	10	0.6 %
4223	-	7	0.4 %
5100	-	1	0.1 %
5120	-	2	0.1 %
5121	-	15	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	80	5.0 %
9998	DK	0	0.0 %
9999	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Please note that only the first 100 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,526 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 4766.81
- Median: 4132.00
- Mode: 1229.00
- Minimum: 110.00
- Maximum: 9333.00
- Standard Deviation: 2531.89

Location: 833-836 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9998 , 9999

PAISCO88: R'S FATHER'S OCCUPATION, 1988 CENSUS

Question: Respondent's father's occupation, 1988 Census

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
110	-	16	1.0 %
1110	-	1	0.1 %
1120	-	8	0.5 %
1226	-	1	0.1 %
1229	-	102	6.4 %
1231	-	2	0.1 %
1232	-	3	0.2 %
1233	-	2	0.1 %
1240	-	2	0.1 %
1311	-	4	0.2 %
1314	-	66	4.1 %
2113	-	5	0.3 %
2114	-	2	0.1 %
2121	-	1	0.1 %
2131	-	1	0.1 %
2132	-	1	0.1 %
2141	-	2	0.1 %
2142	-	11	0.7 %
2143	-	7	0.4 %
2145	-	8	0.5 %
2146	-	1	0.1 %
2149	-	11	0.7 %
2213	-	3	0.2 %
2221	-	13	0.8 %
2222	-	4	0.2 %
2223	-	1	0.1 %
2224	-	2	0.1 %
2310	-	7	0.4 %
2321	-	10	0.6 %
2331	-	6	0.4 %
2359	-	2	0.1 %
2411	-	12	0.7 %
2412	-	5	0.3 %
2421	-	10	0.6 %
2422	-	1	0.1 %
2446	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2451	-	2	0.1 %
2460	-	11	0.7 %
3100	-	2	0.1 %
3111	-	1	0.1 %
3113	-	2	0.1 %
3119	-	1	0.1 %
3122	-	1	0.1 %
3133	-	1	0.1 %
3143	-	5	0.3 %
3151	-	1	0.1 %
3224	-	2	0.1 %
3410	-	3	0.2 %
3411	-	1	0.1 %
3412	-	13	0.8 %
3413	-	4	0.2 %
3415	-	29	1.8 %
3416	-	2	0.1 %
3417	-	2	0.1 %
3429	-	5	0.3 %
3444	-	4	0.2 %
3470	-	1	0.1 %
3471	-	4	0.2 %
3473	-	1	0.1 %
4100	-	2	0.1 %
4111	-	1	0.1 %
4115	-	1	0.1 %
4121	-	5	0.3 %
4131	-	6	0.4 %
4133	-	13	0.8 %
4141	-	1	0.1 %
4142	-	12	0.7 %
4190	-	4	0.2 %
4211	-	2	0.1 %
4212	-	1	0.1 %
4221	-	2	0.1 %
4222	-	1	0.1 %
4223	-	1	0.1 %
5100	-	1	0.1 %
5112	-	8	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5122	-	3	0.2 %
5123	-	4	0.2 %
5132	-	1	0.1 %
5141	-	5	0.3 %
5161	-	10	0.6 %
5162	-	18	1.1 %
5169	-	3	0.2 %
5200	-	1	0.1 %
5220	-	14	0.9 %
6132	-	1	0.1 %
6133	-	213	13.3 %
6141	-	6	0.4 %
6150	-	2	0.1 %
7110	-	2	0.1 %
7111	-	7	0.4 %
7122	-	11	0.7 %
7123	-	3	0.2 %
7124	-	1	0.1 %
7129	-	1	0.1 %
7131	-	1	0.1 %
7132	-	34	2.1 %
7133	-	1	0.1 %
7134	-	1	0.1 %
7135	-	2	0.1 %
7136	-	11	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	239	14.9 %
9998	DK	0	0.0 %
9999	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Please note that only the first 100 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,367 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 5463.83
- Median: 6133.00
- Mode: 6133.00
- Minimum: 110.00
- Maximum: 9333.00
- Standard Deviation: 2679.57

Location: 837-840 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 (Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9998 , 9999

SPISCO88: R'S SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION, 1988 CENSUS

Question: Respondent's spouse's occupation, 1988 Census

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
110	-	6	0.4 %
1110	-	1	0.1 %
1120	-	3	0.2 %
1229	-	68	4.2 %
1231	-	5	0.3 %
1233	-	2	0.1 %
1240	-	7	0.4 %
1311	-	1	0.1 %
1314	-	26	1.6 %
2110	-	1	0.1 %
2114	-	1	0.1 %
2121	-	1	0.1 %
2131	-	5	0.3 %
2132	-	5	0.3 %
2141	-	1	0.1 %
2142	-	3	0.2 %
2143	-	2	0.1 %
2145	-	4	0.2 %
2146	-	1	0.1 %
2149	-	6	0.4 %
2213	-	3	0.2 %
2221	-	3	0.2 %
2222	-	1	0.1 %
2230	-	17	1.1 %
2310	-	4	0.2 %
2321	-	4	0.2 %
2331	-	16	1.0 %
2332	-	3	0.2 %
2340	-	1	0.1 %
2359	-	2	0.1 %
2411	-	7	0.4 %
2412	-	8	0.5 %
2421	-	14	0.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2432	-	4	0.2 %
2445	-	1	0.1 %
2446	-	3	0.2 %
2451	-	3	0.2 %
2452	-	6	0.4 %
2455	-	2	0.1 %
2460	-	5	0.3 %
3100	-	2	0.1 %
3113	-	2	0.1 %
3118	-	1	0.1 %
3122	-	1	0.1 %
3133	-	2	0.1 %
3143	-	1	0.1 %
3144	-	1	0.1 %
3151	-	1	0.1 %
3211	-	2	0.1 %
3221	-	4	0.2 %
3226	-	3	0.2 %
3229	-	1	0.1 %
3231	-	4	0.2 %
3310	-	3	0.2 %
3410	-	6	0.4 %
3411	-	2	0.1 %
3412	-	7	0.4 %
3413	-	2	0.1 %
3415	-	15	0.9 %
3416	-	4	0.2 %
3417	-	6	0.4 %
3429	-	3	0.2 %
3444	-	2	0.1 %
3460	-	1	0.1 %
3472	-	1	0.1 %
4100	-	8	0.5 %
4111	-	6	0.4 %
4113	-	2	0.1 %
4114	-	3	0.2 %
4115	-	31	1.9 %
4121	-	18	1.1 %
4131	-	6	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4132	-	2	0.1 %
4133	-	14	0.9 %
4141	-	3	0.2 %
4142	-	7	0.4 %
4190	-	1	0.1 %
4211	-	15	0.9 %
4212	-	4	0.2 %
4215	-	1	0.1 %
4222	-	10	0.6 %
4223	-	2	0.1 %
5100	-	2	0.1 %
5112	-	1	0.1 %
5113	-	1	0.1 %
5120	-	1	0.1 %
5121	-	6	0.4 %
5122	-	4	0.2 %
5123	-	10	0.6 %
5131	-	5	0.3 %
5132	-	11	0.7 %
5141	-	5	0.3 %
5161	-	3	0.2 %
5162	-	5	0.3 %
5163	-	2	0.1 %
5169	-	1	0.1 %
5200	-	5	0.3 %
5210	-	1	0.1 %
5220	-	10	0.6 %
6133	-	11	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	787	49.0 %
9998	DK	0	0.0 %
9999	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Please note that only the first 100 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 819 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 4734.88
- Median: 4133.00

- Mode: 1229.00
- Minimum: 110.00
- Maximum: 9333.00
- Standard Deviation: 2637.83

Location: 841-844 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9998 , 9999

SEI: R'S SOCIOECONOMIC INDEX

Question: Respondent socioeconomic index

Notes: Based on procedures that Otis Dudley Duncan developed, Keiko Nakao and Judith Treas have created a new SEI based on the 1989 GSS study of occupational prestige. The new SEI scores have been assigned to all cases coded according to the 1980 Census occupational scheme. There is an implied decimal point between the second and third digits. The above collapsed codes are presented for convenience of display only. See GSS Methodological Report No. 74.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
17.1	-	23	1.4 %
17.6	-	4	0.2 %
19.4	-	1	0.1 %
20.1	-	1	0.1 %
21.1	-	1	0.1 %
21.2	-	10	0.6 %
21.3	-	1	0.1 %
22.5	-	12	0.7 %
22.6	-	1	0.1 %
22.7	-	5	0.3 %
22.9	-	2	0.1 %
23.3	-	1	0.1 %
24.2	-	1	0.1 %
25.2	-	2	0.1 %
25.3	-	3	0.2 %
25.4	-	1	0.1 %
25.5	-	2	0.1 %
25.8	-	1	0.1 %
26.4	-	24	1.5 %
26.5	-	8	0.5 %
26.6	-	1	0.1 %
26.7	-	17	1.1 %
26.9	-	1	0.1 %
27.2	-	2	0.1 %
27.3	-	1	0.1 %
27.5	-	20	1.2 %
27.7	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
28.0	-	2	0.1 %
28.2	-	6	0.4 %
28.4	-	20	1.2 %
28.6	-	13	0.8 %
28.9	-	1	0.1 %
29.0	-	2	0.1 %
29.1	-	6	0.4 %
29.2	-	31	1.9 %
29.3	-	31	1.9 %
29.4	-	12	0.7 %
29.5	-	1	0.1 %
29.6	-	2	0.1 %
29.8	-	7	0.4 %
30.0	-	3	0.2 %
30.1	-	2	0.1 %
30.4	-	1	0.1 %
30.7	-	6	0.4 %
30.8	-	5	0.3 %
31.1	-	1	0.1 %
31.2	-	2	0.1 %
31.3	-	5	0.3 %
31.4	-	19	1.2 %
31.5	-	24	1.5 %
31.9	-	3	0.2 %
32.0	-	20	1.2 %
32.1	-	1	0.1 %
32.3	-	16	1.0 %
32.4	-	27	1.7 %
32.7	-	4	0.2 %
33.1	-	52	3.2 %
33.3	-	3	0.2 %
33.6	-	7	0.4 %
33.9	-	4	0.2 %
34.2	-	12	0.7 %
34.3	-	6	0.4 %
34.4	-	1	0.1 %
34.6	-	12	0.7 %
34.8	-	2	0.1 %
35.1	-	3	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
35.4	-	10	0.6 %
35.7	-	2	0.1 %
36.1	-	6	0.4 %
36.2	-	2	0.1 %
36.4	-	1	0.1 %
36.5	-	29	1.8 %
36.7	-	3	0.2 %
36.9	-	4	0.2 %
37.0	-	1	0.1 %
37.3	-	32	2.0 %
37.4	-	6	0.4 %
37.6	-	4	0.2 %
37.7	-	19	1.2 %
37.8	-	4	0.2 %
37.9	-	4	0.2 %
38.0	-	26	1.6 %
38.1	-	8	0.5 %
38.2	-	1	0.1 %
38.4	-	66	4.1 %
38.5	-	4	0.2 %
38.7	-	1	0.1 %
38.8	-	1	0.1 %
38.9	-	15	0.9 %
39.0	-	5	0.3 %
39.4	-	9	0.6 %
39.5	-	2	0.1 %
39.6	-	6	0.4 %
39.9	-	1	0.1 %
40.1	-	2	0.1 %
40.9	-	3	0.2 %
41.3	-	2	0.1 %
41.6	-	1	0.1 %
42.3	-	2	0.1 %
42.5	-	4	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
0.0	NAP	89	5.5 %
99.8	DK	0	0.0 %
99.9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Please note that only the first 100 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,517 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 47.19
- Median: 38.90
- Mode: 63.50
- Minimum: 17.10
- Maximum: 97.20
- Standard Deviation: 18.72

Location: 845-848 (width: 4; decimal: 1)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0.0 , 99.8 , 99.9

PASEI: SOCIOECONOMIC INDEX OF R'S FATHER

Question: Socioeconomic index of respondent's father.

Notes: Based on procedures that Otis Dudley Duncan developed, Keiko Nakao and Judith Treas have created a new SEI based on the 1989 GSS study of occupational prestige. The new SEI scores have been assigned to all cases coded according to the 1980 Census occupational scheme. There is an implied decimal point between the second and third digits. The above collapsed codes are presented for convenience of display only. See GSS Methodological Report No. 74.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
17.1	-	2	0.1 %
18.5	-	1	0.1 %
20.1	-	6	0.4 %
21.1	-	1	0.1 %
21.2	-	2	0.1 %
22.5	-	1	0.1 %
22.7	-	2	0.1 %
22.9	-	2	0.1 %
24.2	-	4	0.2 %
24.6	-	1	0.1 %
24.8	-	2	0.1 %
25.3	-	1	0.1 %
25.5	-	2	0.1 %
25.9	-	2	0.1 %
26.4	-	2	0.1 %
26.5	-	16	1.0 %
26.6	-	1	0.1 %
26.7	-	11	0.7 %
26.9	-	5	0.3 %
27.5	-	3	0.2 %
27.7	-	1	0.1 %
28.0	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
28.2	-	2	0.1 %
28.4	-	17	1.1 %
28.6	-	15	0.9 %
29.2	-	46	2.9 %
29.3	-	3	0.2 %
29.4	-	20	1.2 %
29.5	-	3	0.2 %
29.6	-	2	0.1 %
29.8	-	7	0.4 %
29.9	-	1	0.1 %
30.1	-	2	0.1 %
30.2	-	2	0.1 %
30.4	-	1	0.1 %
30.6	-	1	0.1 %
30.7	-	1	0.1 %
31.3	-	11	0.7 %
31.4	-	13	0.8 %
31.5	-	38	2.4 %
31.7	-	2	0.1 %
31.9	-	2	0.1 %
32.0	-	6	0.4 %
32.1	-	2	0.1 %
32.3	-	40	2.5 %
32.4	-	3	0.2 %
32.7	-	7	0.4 %
32.8	-	2	0.1 %
32.9	-	1	0.1 %
33.1	-	8	0.5 %
33.2	-	3	0.2 %
33.3	-	1	0.1 %
33.5	-	1	0.1 %
33.6	-	3	0.2 %
33.9	-	3	0.2 %
34.0	-	2	0.1 %
34.2	-	31	1.9 %
34.3	-	8	0.5 %
34.4	-	1	0.1 %
34.8	-	1	0.1 %
35.1	-	6	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
35.4	-	7	0.4 %
36.1	-	2	0.1 %
36.4	-	2	0.1 %
36.5	-	29	1.8 %
36.7	-	1	0.1 %
37.2	-	1	0.1 %
37.3	-	218	13.6 %
37.4	-	4	0.2 %
37.6	-	1	0.1 %
37.7	-	2	0.1 %
37.8	-	8	0.5 %
38.0	-	4	0.2 %
38.1	-	21	1.3 %
38.2	-	20	1.2 %
38.4	-	11	0.7 %
38.5	-	7	0.4 %
38.8	-	1	0.1 %
38.9	-	4	0.2 %
39.0	-	5	0.3 %
39.4	-	3	0.2 %
39.7	-	1	0.1 %
42.2	-	6	0.4 %
42.3	-	1	0.1 %
42.4	-	1	0.1 %
42.5	-	1	0.1 %
42.9	-	1	0.1 %
43.1	-	1	0.1 %
43.2	-	1	0.1 %
43.4	-	1	0.1 %
44.2	-	1	0.1 %
44.3	-	2	0.1 %
44.4	-	1	0.1 %
44.7	-	15	0.9 %
45.2	-	5	0.3 %
45.3	-	4	0.2 %
45.4	-	6	0.4 %
45.8	-	2	0.1 %
45.9	-	16	1.0 %
46.3	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
0.0	NAP	255	15.9 %
99.8	DK	0	0.0 %
99.9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Please note that only the first 100 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,351 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 46.86
- Median: 38.10
- Mode: 37.30
- Minimum: 17.10
- Maximum: 97.20
- Standard Deviation: 17.91

Location: 849-852 (width: 4; decimal: 1)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0.0 , 99.8 , 99.9

SPSEI: SOCIOECONOMIC INDEX OF R'S SPOUSE

Question: Socioeconomic index of respondent's spouse.

Notes: Based on procedures that Otis Dudley Duncan developed, Keiko Nakao and Judith Treas have created a new SEI based on the 1989 GSS study of occupational prestige. The new SEI scores have been assigned to all cases coded according to the 1980 Census occupational scheme. There is an implied decimal point between the second and third digits. The above collapsed codes are presented for convenience of display only. See GSS Methodological Report No. 74.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
17.1	-	12	0.7 %
17.6	-	2	0.1 %
19.4	-	1	0.1 %
21.2	-	2	0.1 %
21.3	-	1	0.1 %
22.5	-	5	0.3 %
22.7	-	3	0.2 %
23.3	-	1	0.1 %
24.2	-	1	0.1 %
24.6	-	2	0.1 %
25.2	-	1	0.1 %
25.3	-	2	0.1 %
25.5	-	2	0.1 %
25.9	-	1	0.1 %
26.4	-	5	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
26.5	-	2	0.1 %
26.6	-	2	0.1 %
26.7	-	12	0.7 %
27.5	-	4	0.2 %
27.7	-	3	0.2 %
28.0	-	1	0.1 %
28.2	-	1	0.1 %
28.4	-	14	0.9 %
28.6	-	9	0.6 %
28.9	-	1	0.1 %
29.0	-	1	0.1 %
29.1	-	1	0.1 %
29.2	-	6	0.4 %
29.3	-	12	0.7 %
29.4	-	7	0.4 %
29.5	-	1	0.1 %
29.6	-	2	0.1 %
29.8	-	4	0.2 %
30.0	-	1	0.1 %
30.2	-	2	0.1 %
30.4	-	2	0.1 %
30.7	-	1	0.1 %
30.8	-	2	0.1 %
31.1	-	1	0.1 %
31.2	-	1	0.1 %
31.3	-	6	0.4 %
31.4	-	7	0.4 %
31.5	-	14	0.9 %
31.9	-	1	0.1 %
32.0	-	5	0.3 %
32.3	-	5	0.3 %
32.4	-	7	0.4 %
32.7	-	2	0.1 %
33.1	-	22	1.4 %
33.2	-	1	0.1 %
33.5	-	1	0.1 %
33.6	-	4	0.2 %
33.8	-	1	0.1 %
33.9	-	4	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
34.2	-	10	0.6 %
34.3	-	5	0.3 %
34.4	-	2	0.1 %
34.6	-	4	0.2 %
34.8	-	2	0.1 %
35.1	-	4	0.2 %
35.2	-	1	0.1 %
35.4	-	4	0.2 %
36.2	-	1	0.1 %
36.5	-	20	1.2 %
36.7	-	1	0.1 %
36.9	-	3	0.2 %
37.2	-	1	0.1 %
37.3	-	14	0.9 %
37.4	-	2	0.1 %
37.5	-	1	0.1 %
37.6	-	3	0.2 %
37.7	-	8	0.5 %
37.8	-	1	0.1 %
37.9	-	3	0.2 %
38.0	-	18	1.1 %
38.1	-	13	0.8 %
38.2	-	7	0.4 %
38.4	-	38	2.4 %
38.7	-	1	0.1 %
38.9	-	5	0.3 %
39.0	-	2	0.1 %
39.4	-	1	0.1 %
40.0	-	2	0.1 %
40.4	-	1	0.1 %
40.5	-	1	0.1 %
40.9	-	1	0.1 %
41.2	-	1	0.1 %
41.3	-	1	0.1 %
41.6	-	1	0.1 %
42.2	-	2	0.1 %
42.3	-	1	0.1 %
42.7	-	1	0.1 %
43.4	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
43.7	-	4	0.2 %
44.2	-	7	0.4 %
44.7	-	10	0.6 %
45.2	-	1	0.1 %
45.3	-	2	0.1 %
45.4	-	3	0.2 %
45.8	-	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0.0	NAP	793	49.4 %
99.8	DK	0	0.0 %
99.9	NA	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Please note that only the first 100 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 813 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 48.72
- Median: 43.70
- Mode: 63.50
- Minimum: 17.10
- Maximum: 97.20
- Standard Deviation: 18.69

Location: 853-856 (width: 4; decimal: 1)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0.0 , 99.8 , 99.9

COHORT: BIRTH COHORT OF R

Question: Birth cohort of respondent.

Notes: Computed from AGE variable. Exact year of birth is given. The above collapsed codes are presented for convenience of display only. For the full distribution, see Appendix E. As age has a maximum possible value of 89, for those cases which have such a value the cohort value does not necessarily create the actual age of birth. For those who were actually older than 89 at the time of the survey, they will be listed in a birth year more recent than it actually was.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1904	-	7	0.4 %
1905	-	3	0.2 %
1906	-	6	0.4 %
1907	-	5	0.3 %
1908	-	4	0.2 %
1909	-	4	0.2 %
1910	-	3	0.2 %
1911	-	12	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1912	-	9	0.6 %
1913	-	6	0.4 %
1914	-	7	0.4 %
1915	-	14	0.9 %
1916	-	15	0.9 %
1917	-	14	0.9 %
1918	-	18	1.1 %
1919	-	19	1.2 %
1920	-	20	1.2 %
1921	-	20	1.2 %
1922	-	17	1.1 %
1923	-	12	0.7 %
1924	-	20	1.2 %
1925	-	18	1.1 %
1926	-	11	0.7 %
1927	-	20	1.2 %
1928	-	18	1.1 %
1929	-	14	0.9 %
1930	-	19	1.2 %
1931	-	18	1.1 %
1932	-	12	0.7 %
1933	-	17	1.1 %
1934	-	16	1.0 %
1935	-	25	1.6 %
1936	-	19	1.2 %
1937	-	13	0.8 %
1938	-	23	1.4 %
1939	-	19	1.2 %
1940	-	18	1.1 %
1941	-	22	1.4 %
1942	-	27	1.7 %
1943	-	24	1.5 %
1944	-	29	1.8 %
1945	-	20	1.2 %
1946	-	36	2.2 %
1947	-	33	2.1 %
1948	-	33	2.1 %
1949	-	29	1.8 %
1950	-	40	2.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1951	-	32	2.0 %
1952	-	39	2.4 %
1953	-	40	2.5 %
1954	-	41	2.6 %
1955	-	44	2.7 %
1956	-	38	2.4 %
1957	-	45	2.8 %
1958	-	44	2.7 %
1959	-	28	1.7 %
1960	-	36	2.2 %
1961	-	30	1.9 %
1962	-	35	2.2 %
1963	-	39	2.4 %
1964	-	33	2.1 %
1965	-	46	2.9 %
1966	-	23	1.4 %
1967	-	27	1.7 %
1968	-	36	2.2 %
1969	-	26	1.6 %
1970	-	28	1.7 %
1971	-	16	1.0 %
1972	-	24	1.5 %
1973	-	20	1.2 %
1974	-	18	1.1 %
1975	-	5	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
9999	NA	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 1946.96
- Median: 1950.00
- Mode: 1965.00
- Minimum: 1904.00
- Maximum: 1975.00
- Standard Deviation: 17.36

Location: 857-860 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9999

MARCOHRT: MARRIAGE COHORT OF R

Question: Marriage cohort of the respondent.

Notes: Computed from AGEWED variable (age at first marriage). The above collapsed codes are presented for convenience of display only. For the full distribution, see Appendix E.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1922	-	1	0.1 %
1923	-	2	0.1 %
1925	-	2	0.1 %
1926	-	1	0.1 %
1927	-	4	0.2 %
1928	-	5	0.3 %
1929	-	2	0.1 %
1930	-	7	0.4 %
1932	-	3	0.2 %
1933	-	3	0.2 %
1934	-	10	0.6 %
1935	-	5	0.3 %
1936	-	13	0.8 %
1937	-	14	0.9 %
1938	-	12	0.7 %
1939	-	11	0.7 %
1940	-	18	1.1 %
1941	-	13	0.8 %
1942	-	13	0.8 %
1943	-	21	1.3 %
1944	-	9	0.6 %
1945	-	17	1.1 %
1946	-	24	1.5 %
1947	-	21	1.3 %
1948	-	20	1.2 %
1949	-	19	1.2 %
1950	-	16	1.0 %
1951	-	17	1.1 %
1952	-	20	1.2 %
1953	-	17	1.1 %
1954	-	16	1.0 %
1955	-	14	0.9 %
1956	-	21	1.3 %
1957	-	16	1.0 %
1958	-	13	0.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1959	-	14	0.9 %
1960	-	18	1.1 %
1961	-	18	1.1 %
1962	-	18	1.1 %
1963	-	18	1.1 %
1964	-	23	1.4 %
1965	-	22	1.4 %
1966	-	20	1.2 %
1967	-	20	1.2 %
1968	-	33	2.1 %
1969	-	22	1.4 %
1970	-	31	1.9 %
1971	-	41	2.6 %
1972	-	27	1.7 %
1973	-	26	1.6 %
1974	-	27	1.7 %
1975	-	27	1.7 %
1976	-	27	1.7 %
1977	-	29	1.8 %
1978	-	25	1.6 %
1979	-	28	1.7 %
1980	-	33	2.1 %
1981	-	24	1.5 %
1982	-	29	1.8 %
1983	-	27	1.7 %
1984	-	28	1.7 %
1985	-	28	1.7 %
1986	-	32	2.0 %
1987	-	27	1.7 %
1988	-	30	1.9 %
1989	-	26	1.6 %
1990	-	27	1.7 %
1991	-	26	1.6 %
1992	-	18	1.1 %
1994	-	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	299	18.6 %
9999	NA	16	1.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,291 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 1966.44
- Median: 1969.00
- Mode: 1971.00
- Minimum: 1922.00
- Maximum: 1994.00
- Standard Deviation: 16.95

Location: 861-864 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 9999

BIRTHMO: MONTH IN WHICH R WAS BORN

Question: What is your date of birth?

Notes: See Appendix D: Recodes.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	JANUARY	137	8.5 %
2	FEBRUARY	127	7.9 %
3	MARCH	160	10.0 %
4	APRIL	126	7.8 %
5	MAY	105	6.5 %
6	JUNE	142	8.8 %
7	JULY	150	9.3 %
8	AUGUST	149	9.3 %
9	SEPTEMBER	124	7.7 %
10	OCTOBER	115	7.2 %
11	NOVEMBER	138	8.6 %
12	DECEMBER	119	7.4 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	14	0.9 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,592 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 12.00

Location: 865-866 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

ZODIAC: RESPONDENTS ASTROLOGICAL SIGN

Question: Astrological sign of respondent.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ARIES	139	8.7 %
2	TAURUS	107	6.7 %
3	GEMINI	142	8.8 %
4	CANCER	151	9.4 %
5	LEO	153	9.5 %
6	VIRGO	135	8.4 %
7	LIBRA	116	7.2 %
8	SCORPIO	122	7.6 %
9	SAGITTARIUS	128	8.0 %
10	CAPRICORN	119	7.4 %
11	AQUARIUS	124	7.7 %
12	PISCES	156	9.7 %
	Missing Data		
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
98	DK	0	0.0 %
99	NA	14	0.9 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,592 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 12.00

Location: 867-868 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0 , 98 , 99

BALLOT: BALLOT USED FOR INTERVIEW

Question: Ballot administered?

Notes: See Appendix Q.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	BALLOT A	549	34.2 %
2	BALLOT B	531	33.1 %
3	BALLOT C	526	32.8 %
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 869-869 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0

ISSP: FILTER FOR ISSP CASES

Question: Status on International Social Survey Program Supplement.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DID ISSP	1557	96.9 %
2	DIDNT DO ISSP	49	3.1 %
0	NAP	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 870-870 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0

FORMWT: POST-STRATIFICATION WEIGHT

Notes: This weight in columns 824-828 should be used whenever any of the forms comparisons are being analyzed. There is an implied decimal point between columns 824 and 825. See Appendices A and P for an explanation of the weight and list of variables affected. See Appendix R, GSS Methodological Report No. 36.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	1606	100.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 1.00
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 871-871 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SAMPCODE: SAMPLING ERROR CODE

Notes: This "Sampling Error Code" in cols. 829-831 is provided for the convenience of persons who wish to study within-cluster and between-cluster variations in the GSS. Values of the code range from 101 to 218 and 301 to 384. Values 101 to 201 represent one of the primary sampling unites (PSUs) in the 1970 NORC National Sampling Frame. Values 202 and 218 represent the 17 PSUs in the 1980 black sample frame. Values 301 and 384 represent the 1980 NORC National Sample Frame. See Appendix A for details. Codes are assigned so that one can obtain properly stratified subsamples of the PSUs by taking every second (or every nth) value of SAMPCODE.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	52	3.2 %
2	-	36	2.2 %
3	-	21	1.3 %
4	-	24	1.5 %
5	-	11	0.7 %
6	-	11	0.7 %
7	-	10	0.6 %
8	-	12	0.7 %
9	-	11	0.7 %
10	-	11	0.7 %
11	-	16	1.0 %
12	-	13	0.8 %
13	-	7	0.4 %
14	-	3	0.2 %
15	-	7	0.4 %
16	-	6	0.4 %
17	-	6	0.4 %
18	-	9	0.6 %
19	-	12	0.7 %
20	-	1	0.1 %
21	-	14	0.9 %
23	-	10	0.6 %
24	-	11	0.7 %
27	-	13	0.8 %
28	-	14	0.9 %
30	-	17	1.1 %
32	-	15	0.9 %
34	-	14	0.9 %
37	-	10	0.6 %
38	-	15	0.9 %
41	-	11	0.7 %
42	-	7	0.4 %
44	-	18	1.1 %
47	-	12	0.7 %
48	-	11	0.7 %
50	-	15	0.9 %
52	-	14	0.9 %
55	-	12	0.7 %
56	-	12	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
58	-	14	0.9 %
60	-	14	0.9 %
63	-	17	1.1 %
64	-	1	0.1 %
65	-	13	0.8 %
66	-	19	1.2 %
69	-	14	0.9 %
71	-	4	0.2 %
72	-	16	1.0 %
73	-	1	0.1 %
75	-	11	0.7 %
76	-	16	1.0 %
78	-	9	0.6 %
80	-	18	1.1 %
83	-	11	0.7 %
84	-	10	0.6 %
86	-	12	0.7 %
88	-	12	0.7 %
90	-	13	0.8 %
92	-	13	0.8 %
94	-	11	0.7 %
97	-	9	0.6 %
99	-	14	0.9 %
301	-	26	1.6 %
302	-	23	1.4 %
303	-	26	1.6 %
304	-	16	1.0 %
305	-	23	1.4 %
306	-	10	0.6 %
307	-	9	0.6 %
308	-	18	1.1 %
309	-	5	0.3 %
310	-	18	1.1 %
311	-	4	0.2 %
312	-	11	0.7 %
313	-	7	0.4 %
314	-	11	0.7 %
315	-	9	0.6 %
316	-	8	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
318	-	12	0.7 %
319	-	16	1.0 %
321	-	14	0.9 %
323	-	13	0.8 %
326	-	19	1.2 %
327	-	16	1.0 %
330	-	19	1.2 %
331	-	22	1.4 %
334	-	11	0.7 %
337	-	12	0.7 %
338	-	15	0.9 %
340	-	13	0.8 %
342	-	11	0.7 %
345	-	15	0.9 %
346	-	18	1.1 %
347	-	16	1.0 %
348	-	21	1.3 %
349	-	1	0.1 %
351	-	1	0.1 %
352	-	12	0.7 %
353	-	1	0.1 %
354	-	16	1.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Please note that only the first 100 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 191.91
- Median: 301.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 383.00
- Standard Deviation: 152.77

Location: 872-874 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SAMPLE: SAMPLe

Notes: The GSS has employed various sampling procedures and frames. Appendix A gives full detail.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1960 BQ	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	1970 BQ	0	0.0 %
3	1970 FP	0	0.0 %
4	1970 FP BLK OVERSAMP	0	0.0 %
5	1980 BFP BLK OVERSAMP	0	0.0 %
6	1980 FP	810	50.4 %
7	1980 FP BLK OVERSAMP	0	0.0 %
8	1990 FP	796	49.6 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Minimum: 6.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 875-875 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

OVERSAMP: WEIGHTS FOR BLACK OVERSAMPLES

Question: Weight for Black oversamples

Notes: If one wants to combine the 1982 or 1987 cross-sections, with the 1982 or 1987 black oversamples into representative national samples, the file must be weighted by this variable. There is an implied decimal point between columns 833 and 834. For details of the 1982 and 1987 oversamples see Q. 1098 in GSS cumulative codebook.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	1606	100.0 %
	Total	1,606	100%

Based upon 1,606 valid cases out of 1,606 total cases.

- Mean: 1.00
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 876-876 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric